


Affiant:
David Shayler
The Lord



Respondent:
Charles Windsor
The King
Windsor Castle
Windsor
Windsor and Maidenhead
[SL4 1NJ]

AFFIDAVIT

A verified plain statement of fact, duty and law

Notice to agent is notice to principal, notice to principal is notice to agent

- 1. I, a man commonly known as David Shayler the Christ, hereinafter ‘Affiant’ and ‘I’, am competent to state the following matters that they are true, correct and complete, presented in good faith, and not intended to mislead.
- 2. Words have their natural meaning unless otherwise defined.
- 3. Herein, ‘man’ includes woman and is synonymous with ‘natural person’; ‘human being’ and ‘freeman’. It is the reality and nature of the thing, not how it is named that determines its properties and aspects:

Does a rose by any other word still smell as sweet?

- 4. The words ‘people’ and ‘persons’ can be the plural of ‘natural person’. Artificial entities are not people. In the singular, ‘a people’, means a ‘nation’.
- 5. If any, the term ‘UNITED KINGDOM’ means the corporation, and all agents, employees, subdivisions and representatives thereof, without any implied submission to the UNITED KINGDOM or such private corporate ‘statutes’, hereafter, the ‘UK’.
- 6. ‘HMG’ means either Her Majesty’s Government or His Majesty’s Government, depending on context. It is one continuous entity.
- 7. ‘RT-PCR’ or ‘PCR’ test refers to the or Reverse-Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction test.
- 8. ‘MI5’ refers to the UK’s domestic Security Service. ‘MI6’ refers to the UK’s Secret Intelligence Service. They are collectively referred to as the ‘intelligence services’.

9. 'The King' means you, the man who has taken the title of 'King Charles III of the United Kingdom'.
10. 'Nato' is the North Atlantic Treaty Organisation. The 'US' is the United States of America. The 'UN' is the United Nations Organisation. The 'WHO' is the World Health Organisation. 'mRNA' is messenger ribonucleic acid. 'ONS' is the UK Office for National Statistics. 'PM' is the Prime Minister of the UK. MHRA is the UK Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency.

Law

11. The rule of Law is paramount and mandatory, where 'rule' means 'highest authority' and 'mandatory' means 'to confer a duty', not its meaning in legalese, the language of the legal system, which only works with the consent of a man.
12. The Law is also known as 'Common Law' or 'Natural Law', or the 'Universal Law' which is enforceable and without exception, as opposed to man-made rules and rulings which are not but are often wrongly referred to as 'law'.
13. From context. 'Law' here is the Law of God, set out in God's Bible:

Jesus said unto him, 'Thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy mind. This is the first and great commandment.

And the second is like unto it, 'Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself'.

On these two commandments hang all the Law and the prophets.

Matthew 22:34-40
14. In the Bible, this is also expressed as: 'You reap what you sow' and 'Do as you would be done by'. It is therefore synonymous with the principle of karma, common to many religions across the world. Although the Law has been preserved in holy books used by people self-identifying as Jews and/or Christians, God makes the Covenant of 'Love your neighbour as you love yourself' with each and every man, no matter what his status.
15. To love your neighbour as yourself is to love God as the creator of the Law and each and every man. To love God, you must love your neighbour as yourself. If you love your neighbour as yourself, you love God as the creator of that Law and of each and every man. It is therefore the one Law of Love. When we talk about the 'universe', it is best interpreted as 'one line', as in chapter and 'verse' of the Holy Bible and in poetry.
16. Philosophers call the 'Love your neighbour...' principle – also expressed as 'Do as you would be done by' – as the 'Golden Rule' as it has no exceptions. Because, it has no exceptions – it is paramount and mandatory – it is in reality Law. The exception proves the rule. The 'Love your neighbour...' principle acquires the force of law because it conforms to reason.
17. It therefore follows that our contractual relationship with government – dictated by statute and constitution – cannot take precedence over a principle of the Law. This is supported by the following principles of Law:

If ever the Law of God and man are at variance, the former is to be obeyed in derogation of the later

That which is against Divine Law is repugnant to society and is void

18. Research into the human brain has shown that psychopaths lack brain activity in the areas associated with empathy or compassion: they are incapable of understanding the true effect of their actions on another man or woman (although this phenomenon is not solely associated with psychopaths).
19. To fail to obey the Law of 'Love your neighbour as you love yourself' is therefore indicative of the mindset of a psychopath who will work only in his or her own interests at the expense of the rights and liberties of others and is unlikely to understand the nature of duty under the Law, which may conflict with his interests.
20. A psychopath is therefore unlikely to act in the common good, the preservation of which maintains justice, the over-riding Principle of the Law, and therefore has no right to hold office or exercise authority over others.
21. Because the Law is paramount and mandatory, it conflicts with a man's duties under the Law if he grants another man or woman immunity under the Law.
22. However, the Bible teaches that any karmic debt – you reap what you sow – can be absolved through genuine, heartfelt repentance and making good the harm, loss or injury you have caused.

The Principles of Law

23. Law works on a series of principles, deriving rationally from the 'Love your neighbour as you love yourself' precept or Principle of Principles. In determining Law, a higher principle trumps a lower principle. A list of Principles of Law can be found from pp28-50, The Third and Final Testament, Part 1.

https://www.bookofthelaw.org/downloads/The_Third_and_Final_Testament_Part_1.pdf
<https://www.bookofthelaw.org/index.php/we-hold-these-truths-to-be-self-evident/>
24. A man arguing there are no principles is in fact arguing a principle. The principle that there are no principles has been tested by experience in recent decades because the people of the UK have not been informed of their rights and liberties under the paramount and mandatory Law, and the Principles deriving from it, meaning aspects of legality, like statutes and the ruling courts of equity, have been unlawfully enforced.
25. This is the principal cause of the injustices which now prevail in the UK and across God's earth.
26. 'Principle of Law' is synonymous with 'Maxim of Law'. A Principle or Maxim is so called because its dignity is chiefest, and its authority most certain, and because universally approved by all'.

<https://www.bookofthelaw.org/index.php/we-hold-these-truths-to-be-self-evident/>
27. Principles in law are like axioms in geometry. They are principles and authorities based on reason and not arbitrary concepts:

A self-evident truth that requires no proof. A universally accepted

principle or rule.

<http://dictionary.reference.com/browse/axiom>

28. A Principle of Law or maxim is defined in law dictionaries and books as:

An established principle or proposition. A principle of law universally admitted, as being just and consonant with reason.

Bouvier's Law Dictionary, 1856

The principles and axioms of law, which are general propositions flowing from abstracted reason, and not accommodated to times or men, are wisely deposited in the breasts of the judges to be applied to such facts as come properly before them.

When a principle has been so long practiced and so universally acknowledged as to become a maxim, it is obligatory as part of the law.

William C. Anderson, A Dictionary of Law, 1893

An established principle of proposition. A principle of law universally admitted as being a correct statement of the law, or as agreeable to reason. Coke defines a maxim to be, 'a conclusion of reason': Coke on Littleton, 11a. He says in another place, 'A maxim is a proposition to be of all men confessed and granted without proof, argument, or discourse': Coke on Littleton. 67a.

Black's Law Dictionary, 3rd Edition, 1933

<http://ecclesia.org/truth/maxims.html>

29. There is a clear consensus that maxims in the sense of principles are mandatory authorities in law because they are based on reason and, through long usage, experience – apart from the 4th edition of Black's Law, which for no apparent reason apparently reverses the authority of a maxim:

Maxims are but attempted general statements of rules of law and are law only to the extent of application in adjudicated cases.

Black's Law Dictionary, 4th Edition, 1951

30. It is notable that the definition of maxim itself is not changed. Black's Law Dictionary has simply made a legal ruling which does not conform to reason which violates a Principle of Law predating it: 'Nothing against reason is lawful'.
31. Up to the 3rd edition of Black's Law, case law in equity is subject to the force of a maxim or principle, which means it is subject to reason, wisdom and experience. After that, the position has reversed: a maxim only carries authority if it is part of case law in 'adjudicated cases' (even though the optional nature of equity means it cannot carry authority).
32. No reason or authority is quoted for this about turn. Crucially, it gives precedence to a previous ruling whether or not it is just and works in practice – a perverted form of 'case law' – over wisdom, reason and experience.
33. As this reversal of principle is not signed into Law; is only relevant to case law, which is derived from courts of equity, not Law; and conflicts with the Principles of Law deriving from the paramount and mandatory Law, the Black's Law ruling regarding a maxim cannot carry the force of Law.

34. To anyone consenting to this arbitrary ruling, 'law' ceases to be a living institution working practically for the common good. It instead becomes an arbitrary and authoritarian system which not only allows tyranny to flourish but is also the very heart of the mechanism of repression.

35. This is supported by a Principle of Law:

It has been said, with much truth, 'Where the law ends, tyranny begins'.

36. Although the word 'law' is used to describe aspects of the legal system, the legal system only carries the force of Law with the consent of a man, whereas the Law is paramount and mandatory because it deals with real harm, loss or injury to a man. In reality, it is the nature of the thing:

Does a rose by any other word still smell as sweet?

37. Where the phrase 'man's laws' exists in the Bible, it must therefore be interpreted primarily as: 'the laws man is bound by, the two commandments of Love' rather than 'the laws created by man' because man can only create legislation working with the consent of a man and only the One True God can create true law. This God is subject to the Law because, according to a Principle of Law, laws bind their maker.

Equity

38. The Common Law should not be confused with 'common law', as defined by the Encyclopedia Britannica. This 'common law' is a form of equity, meaning 'fairness'. This begs the question of: 'Fairness to whom?' Both multinational corporations and a man who consents to the legal fiction of his person are 'persons' for the purposes of the legal system but they are anything but equal. This in itself is clearly unfair to people.

<https://www.britannica.com/topic/common-law>
Page 81, The Third and Final Testament, Part 1
<http://www.bookofthelaw.org/index.php/downloads>

39. Given that courts of equity allow the legal fiction that corporations can experience harm, loss or injury, even though they are not sentient, the former's rulings have denied the true rights and liberties of man over corporations. A Principle of Law states that 'liberty is an inestimable good'.
40. Equity is not binding for this reason, whereas the Law is paramount and mandatory precisely because it protects any man or woman from harm, loss or injury by awarding compensation to any man or woman who has experienced these, restoring parity in the eyes of God and man.
41. Although a Principle of Law states: 'Equity is preferable to strict law', the meaning of the word 'law' in this context is clearly 'law' in the sense of 'statute' otherwise it would conflict with the Law being paramount and mandatory.
42. The higher courts, like the Court of Appeal and the so-called 'Supreme' Court, are courts of equity offering unsigned judicial opinion. As they are composed of multiple men and women, they are corporate legal fictions with no rights. In the case of the Supreme Court, the men and women hearing cases are also members of the House of Lords legislature, meaning that they

consider cases based on legislation they have already approved, even if it is only by silent consent.

43. Their failure to declare this conflict of interest – and the optional nature of equity – constitutes the offence of fraud, as defined by section 3 of the Fraud Act 2006, if we replace legal' with 'lawful' because legality cannot create a duty whereas the Law can:

A person is in breach of this section if he:

- a. dishonestly fails to disclose to another person information which he is under a legal duty to disclose, and
- b. intends, by failing to disclose the information—
 - i. to make a gain for himself or another, or
 - ii. to cause loss to another or to expose another to a risk of loss.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/35/section/3>

44. The Law Lords are paid to sit in the House of Lords and the Supreme Court. They therefore benefit from the failure to declare the nature of equity and their conflict of interest.
45. Each and every man who is part of a court of equity is still nevertheless bound by his duties under the paramount and mandatory Law.
46. Courts of equity produce rulings, which are not enforceable without consent. These rulings are not signed or sealed by the men and women who preside over these courts. Equity cannot be the Law, as dictated by the following Principles of Law:

Many things have been introduced into the common law, with a view to the public good, which are inconsistent with sound reason.

Nothing against reason is lawful

Reason and authority are the two brightest lights in the world.

The reason of the law is the soul of the law.

Nothing inconvenient [to reason] is lawful.

47. This is because under equity, the law of merchants was merged with the common law, which is not the Common Law or God's Law. It is not consonant with reason to believe that a corporation or artificial person (see Artificial person below) can experience harm, loss or injury.

48. And by the following Principle:

A man may obey the law and yet be neither honest nor a good neighbour.

49. Since the second commandment requires that you love your neighbour, then the 'law' in question cannot be God's Law because if you obeyed that you would be a good neighbour. Following statutes and other aspects of legislation without following God's Law that underpins it does not make you a good man or woman.

50. Matters of 'judgment' under the Law, which the Coronation Oath mentions (see Oaths and duties below), are outside the jurisdiction of courts of equity

and the legal fictions who preside over them (see also The Unlawful Eviction, Runnymede Freeman Village, 16th September 2015 below).

51. A Principle of Law states: 'The law is no respecter of persons'. From context, a 'person' here is an artificial person or corporation. It is therefore clear that corporations have no rights under the Law as they are not sentient. As stated above, it is a legal fiction that a corporation can experience harm, loss or injury.
52. Abstract concepts, among them 'national security' and 'society', cannot be harmed under the Law – although judicial rulings under the legal fictions of equity have held them to be so – because they are concepts and not a man with sentience.
53. Anyone who thinks equity has any credibility in reality might like to consider the legal definition of 'must', which under its normal or natural definition means 'to have a duty to'; 'to have to'; 'to be compelled or obliged to'.
54. Although the editors of Black's Law have removed 'must' from the 9th edition, previous editions held it to be synonymous with 'may'. However, the 9th edition still defines 'may' – 'to be permitted to' and 'to be a possibility' – as synonymous with 'must':

Loosely, is required to; shall; must [...].
In dozens of cases, courts have held may to be synonymous with shall or must, usually in an effort to effectuate legislative intent.
Black's Law, 9th edition
55. So in the language of legislation, legislators use the word 'must' or 'shall' but they do not create a duty because they actually mean 'to be permitted to' or 'to be a possibility'. This confirms that legislation is an offer we can lawfully refuse.
56. The insanity of allowing legal fictions to prevail over reality is exemplified by the ruling of the legal system supported by statute in some jurisdictions that a human male can identify as a woman and vice versa when biological sex is determined by the reality of a man – in the sense of a human male – having XY chromosomes and a woman – in the sense of human female – having XX chromosomes.
57. The word 'equality' derives from the Latin for 'without qualification' or 'without discrimination' on the grounds of status. For this reason, the Law deals with a 'man', in the sense of a human.
58. This does not mean that men and women are the same.
59. Testosterone produced by the testes promotes clear rational thinking and reasoned decision making in healthy men whereas low levels of testosterone provoke an emotional reaction, which compromises clear, objective decisions made on the basis of reason. One of the aims of the spiritual journey is to master but not eliminate the baser emotions, among them, fear, anger, hatred, covetousness and self-pity.

My experience in the legal system of courts of equity

60. Given that I have never been formally taught legalese, the language of the legal system, I do not comprehend or understand it. I could not therefore

consent to a trial which took place under the equity of the legal system. No one can consent to what he or she does not comprehend by virtue of their bemused silence.

61. The man who held the legal fiction title, Mr Justice Moses, at the time (he is since been titled Lord Justice Moses) failed to inform me of my rights under the Law and therefore failed to perform his duty as a man, under the Law, and under the judicial oath, committing the offence of fraud.
62. I was convicted as a 'person', a legal fiction, under section 1 of the 1989 Official Secrets Act for having worked for MI5 and disclosed information about MI5 without the permission of MI5, which clearly does not in itself cause harm, loss or injury to a man.
63. At trial, under threat of punishment for 'contempt of court', I was denied the right to freely cross-examine my accusers and witnesses against me; to freely speak in my own defence and to adduce evidence supporting the case I was trying to make, particularly with regard to the CX document confirming the existence of a conspiracy between men in MI6 and Islamic terrorists (see Other attacks blamed on Islamic terrorists below).
64. I spoke out to reveal that officers of MI6 had funded terrorists in Libya to murder the country's leader, in an operation which went wrong meaning that civilians were murdered and not the Libyan leader.
65. Given that the intelligence services and HMG were at the time of the threat posed to men and women by people motivated to acts of terrorism predicated on the Islamic religion, I spoke out to protect the rights and liberties of men and women, among them, the right to life.
66. Given the jury is there to ensure that justice is done, a judge has a duty to inform any member of a jury of the Law and the principles underpinning it but the legal fictions who preside over the courts of equity do not do so. Where this causes harm, loss, injury or injustice, this constitutes the offence of fraud under the Law, as happened at my trial.
67. A legal system which denies a man the right to argue in his defence he wished to expose the evil of the intelligence services murdering people and funding the terrorist enemies of his nation cannot by reason be a court conforming to the Law.
68. Being denied these rights, I was not able to establish the truth about my decision to speak out about the further injustices done to men and women by MI5 and MI6.
69. Because Mr Justice Moses coerced me into accepting his rulings which denied me fundamental rights and liberties under the duress of punishment – which is unlawful – justice could not be done by the jury in the absence of truth. He also coerced me into violating the witness oath to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth (although I affirmed rather than swore because I did not then believe in God) using similar forms of coercion.
70. This argument is backed by a number of Principles of Law, which reflect the paramount importance of establishing truth to do justice, a concept which is more important than creation itself, 'Let justice be done though the heavens fall':

Truth is the mother of justice

He who does not willingly speak the truth, is a betrayer of the truth.

He who does not speak the truth, is a traitor to the truth.

The truth that is not sufficiently defended is frequently overpowered; and he who does not disapprove, approves.

Suppression of the truth is equivalent to the expression of what is false.

Truth, by whomever pronounced, is from God.

Truth fears nothing but concealment.

We can do nothing against truth

<https://www.bookofthelaw.org/index.php/we-hold-these-truths-to-be-self-evident/>

71. Truth comes from God. Reject that which comes from God and you reject God and His Law. There is no neutrality in the Eternal War of Good and Evil.
72. During one of the pre-trial hearings in 2001, I asked the man bearing the title 'Mr Justice Moses': 'What about my duty to humanity? Given that duties are a key part of the Law, he had a duty to question me in this matter. His failure to do so immediately created an injustice against me. He also refused to rule on the difference between 'national security' and the 'national interest', which was germane to my case at the time.
73. This proves that the real man presiding over a court of equity as a legal fiction does not respect reason or rational argument and arrives at his rulings in an arbitrary way.
74. I would prove this with reference to court transcripts but I cannot afford them. The cost of court transcripts is an affront to the principles of justice founded on openness, equality and truth as I and many others cannot afford to access them. Under the Law, a man has the right to keep records of his own life, among them the record of any proceedings taken against him in law.
75. Given that I had not caused harm, loss or injury to a man or woman – and could not therefore be reasonably said to pose a threat to the rights and liberties of men and women – there was no lawful reason to detain me in HMP Belmarsh and later HMP Ford.
76. Given that I spoke out to protect the rights and liberties, among them the right to life, of men and women, the denial of my rights under the Law has meant that men and women have experienced further harm, loss and injury.

See also Chapter 20,

Spies, Lies and Whistleblowers, MI5, MI6 and the Shayler Affair, Annie Machon, May 2005

<https://www.scribd.com/doc/57439336/Spies-Lies-and-Whistle-Blowers>

Authority in the UK

77. Only the Law can confer 'authority', as confirmed by the following passage in the Holy Bible, which has been much misinterpreted by man, causing harm, loss or injury to men, women and children:

Let everyone be subject to the governing authorities, for there is no

authority except that which God has established. The authorities that exist have been established by God.

Romans 13:1, NIV

78. This does not mean that a man has a duty to obey anyone claiming to be 'an authority' or calling themselves 'an authority'. It means that a man has a duty to any man subject to the Law from which authority derives, distinguishing himself from a man who works purely in the interests of power or himself.
79. Throughout man's history, far, far more harm has been done to men and women by the words and actions of those following legislation and orders – which are not derived from the authority of the Law – than those disobeying them. Experience has shown that this observation carries the force of Law as a Principle of Law.
80. 'Let justice be done, though the heavens should fall' is a Principle of Law that stresses the over-riding importance of doing justice under the Law as the ultimate authority: it is preferable for creation and therefore life to end rather than subject men and women to living under injustice, which is most often created by men and women following the rules and rulings of the legal system.
81. The UK has no single written constitutional document, to act as a contract limiting the power of HMG and respecting the rights of the people. Under those constitutional documents, the UK is a constitutional monarchy under God and the Law, not a 'democracy'. The latter is in any case an ill-defined concept and can be interpreted as 'mob rule'.
82. A corporation is a form of mob, especially when it fails to recognise the unalienable rights and liberties protected by the Law. Authority cannot derive from a mob because a mob is not in itself sentient.
83. There is also evidence that the Holy Bible encodes the idea that men and women living in God's lands known as the UK have been chosen by God to preserve the Law if we understand that biblical texts can be better illuminated if you transliterate the original sacred letters, known to man as the Hebrew letters.
84. 'The Ark of the Covenant', serves as both a literal and figurative representation of the Law because it contains the two tablets of the Law and the Rod of Aaron (see paragraph 166 xxix below), – the symbol of the divine authority of the High Priest of Israel. It is composed of the letters Hey, Alef, Resh, (Final) Zadek, Hey, Beit, Resh, Yod, Tav. (Although the Hebrew text replaces Final Zadek with Vav and Final Nun, this is clearly a copying error). This transliterates as 'HARTzHBRI'.

For transcription of Hebrew letters, see:

<https://www.bookofthelaw.org/index.php/hebrew-english-conversion-table/>

Page 127, The Book of haShem, The Third and Final Testament, Part 1,

https://www.bookofthelaw.org/downloads/The_Third_and_Final_Testament_Part_1.pdf

85. In Aramaic, the spoken language of the Hebrew people, it could be pronounced 'Heart o' Britain'. Given that Alef Yod Shin or 'AISH' in Hebrew means 'man', 'British' means 'man of the covenant'. The Hebrew word for 'people' is spelt Ayin, Mem, OM, pronounced 'Om'. 'Briton' is therefore most likely a mutation of 'Britom', referring to the people of the covenant.

86. The Covenant of the Bible is God's promise that 'Love God' and 'Love your neighbour as you love yourself' is the Law, to which any code of law must conform because the Law is paramount and mandatory.
87. The British Isles are therefore the islands of God's Covenant with man that Love is the Law, making them the true Holy Land.
88. Under the constitutional documents of English law, like Magna Carta (see also Magna Carta below) the existence and therefore authority of God is not disputed:

FIRST We have granted to God, and by this our present Charter have confirmed, for Us and our Heirs for ever, that the Church of England shall be free, and shall have all her whole Rights and Liberties inviolable.

89. There is therefore an Established church or religion, which recognises God, of which you, the King, are governor and I, as the Lord, am the head. The holy book of this religion is the Holy Bible, which states the Law of God. It is also known as 'The Book of the Law'.
90. At your Coronation on 6th May 2023, you, the King, were presented with a copy of the Holy Bible by The Right Reverend Dr Iain Greenshields, Moderator of the General Assembly of the Church of Scotland. He drew your attention to its God-given nature and the fact it contains the Law and is therefore 'the most valuable thing that this world affords':

Sir, to keep you ever mindful of the law and the Gospel of God as the Rule for the whole life and government of Christian Princes, receive this Book, the most valuable thing that this world affords. Here is Wisdom; this is the royal Law; these are the lively Oracles of God.

91. You accepted these concepts initially by silent consent and then by your actions when you swore the Coronation Oath (see Oaths and duties below).
92. You cannot prove absolutely with evidence that a being who inhabits a different realm of creation exists. However, we do not have to prove matters beyond reasonable doubt to act, except in criminal cases of determining the guilty or not guilty verdict of a defendant. In reality, the test of truth is 'the balance of probabilities'.
93. Evidence gathered by scientists overwhelmingly supports the concept that the universe is 'fine-tuned':

[This concept] states that the conditions that allow the elements, matter and life to exist in the Universe can only happen with certain universal fundamental physical constants which themselves lie within an extremely narrow range.

In his book, *Just Six Numbers*, current Astronomer Royal, Martin Rees, argues that six numbers constitute a recipe for the Creation of the Universe. If any one of the numbers were different 'even to the tiniest degree, there would be no stars, no complex elements, no life.'

These parameters are so precise that they support the existence of an intelligent guiding hand, shepherding Creation as opposed to a load of random events which led to the Creation of elements, then galaxies, then stars, then planets, then somehow the evolution of life and – above all – us, self-consciously intelligent beings.

How can you say that God does not exist?

94. Rees is on record stating that the chances of the universe being randomly formed are 1 in 10^{66} . This level of fine tuning could not happen without a guiding hand or intelligent designer. The man of faith knows this intelligent designer as God.
95. The existence of a higher being or God is also all but proven by the existence of complex language. While scientific enquiry has shown that certain animals have simple descriptive language and understand the imperative, for example, 'Fetch the red ball', man comprehends complex language, for example, 'Yesterday I went to the cinema and saw a film I really enjoyed'.
96. How do you create a complex language without a complex language in the first place? How do you create the capacity in the brain to understand and use complex language without a complex language? The answers to these questions show that complex language most likely arose before man evolved.
97. Where the rulings of courts of equity conform to the Law, they by reason carry the force of Law. In law, 'Love your neighbour...' becomes a duty of care to another man:

The rule that you are to love your neighbour becomes in law you must not injure your neighbour; and the lawyer's question: 'Who is my neighbour?' receives a restricted reply.

You must take reasonable care to avoid acts or omissions which you can reasonably foresee would be likely to injure your neighbour. Who, then, in law, is my neighbour?

The answer seems to be - persons who are so closely and directly affected by my act that I ought reasonably to have them in contemplation as being so affected when I am directing my mind to the acts or omissions that are called in question.

Lord Atkin, *Donoghue v Stevenson*, [1932] AC 562, leading judgment, 26 May 1932
Quoted at page 60, The Third and Final Testament, Part 1 and
<https://www.bookofthelaw.org/index.php/is-it-not-written-in-your-law/>

98. 'Care' in this context means 'consideration', which conforms to the teaching of Christ that a man should show consideration to others before speaking or acting.
99. The 'person' referred to here must by reason be a natural person or man because 'Love your neighbour...' is the Law of God and God's Law does not recognise a 'person' when it is a legal fiction (see also Black's Law definition of 'person', discussed at Legality v reality below).
100. Although in his dissenting opinion in *Donoghue*, Lord Buckmaster stated that 'it is difficult to see how any common law proposition can be supported to formulate [the plaintiff's] claim', he failed to mention or deal with the Common Law principle of 'Love your neighbour...'.
101. Upholding *Donoghue's* appeal, Lord Macmillan specifically dealt with this omission quoting Lord Esher in *Emmens v Pottle* [CA 1885]:

Any proposition the result of which would be to show that the common law of England is wholly unreasonable and unjust, cannot be part of the

common law of England.

Quoted at page 60, The Third and Final Testament, Part 1
<http://swarb.co.uk/emmens-v-pottle-ca-1885/>

102. This 'authority' in case 'law' demonstrates that the 'Love your neighbour...' principle of the Common Law takes precedence over any other judicial precedent in case 'law', made under 'English law'.
103. It records therefore that which already exists under the Law: a man's authority to reject the contractual offer of a constitution or statute at any time and instead be judged on his duty of consideration to his fellow man.
104. 'The common law of England' is therefore synonymous with The Law (of God) rather than the 'common law', which is equity. This is supported by the following passage from Hale's The History of the Common Law in England:

That the Jurisdiction exercised in those Courts is derived from the Crown of England, and that the last Devolution is to the King, by Way of Appeal.
[...]

The Common Laws of this Kingdom have ever obtain'd and retain'd the Superintendency over them [civil laws and statutes], and those Signa Superioritatis before-mentioned, for the Honour of the King and the Common Laws of England.

The History of the Common Law of England, Matthew Hale, 1713 edition,
<http://www.efm.bris.ac.uk/het/hale/common>

105. Evidence shows that this goes back to the 9th century at least, when King Alfred the Great, King of England, wrote in his famous 'Dome-book' – from the Old English for 'law' hence 'free-dome' or 'freedom' – a guide to justice published for the general use of the whole kingdom:

To all who are charged with the administration of public affairs I give the express command that they show themselves in all things to be just judges precisely as in the Liber Judicialis it is written; nor shall any of them fear to declare the common Law freely and courageously.

From the Catholic Encyclopaedia
<http://www.newadvent.org/cathen/09068a.htm>

106. If we were in any doubt that the Common Law came from the Bible, as part of his exposition of it, King Alfred draws on the biblical 'Ten Commandments' as the starting point of interpretation of the Common Law and adds the solemn sanction Jesus Christ gave in the Gospel:

Do not think that I am come to destroy the Law, or the prophets; I am not come to destroy but to fulfil [complete it].

Matthew 5:17

107. Alfred also refers to the other biblical expression of the second commandment of the Law in writing:

As ye would that men should do to you, do ye also to them [...] From this one doom, a man may remember that he judge every one righteously, he need heed no other doom- book.

From the Catholic Encyclopaedia
<http://www.newadvent.org/cathen/09068a.htm>

108. This establishes beyond any doubt that the Law exists in England prior to the first constitutional document, Magna Carta, and that it has higher authority than any legislation passed by man because by applying its principles anyone can judge anyone else justly without referring to any other 'doom-book' or code of laws.

Oaths and duties

109. A Principle of Law says: 'To swear is to call God to witness, and is an act of religion'.
110. In the context of God's Law, 'religion' means 'binding together with God (again)' and must not be confused with its other meaning of binding people together under religious rules, as the word is commonly used today because self-styled Christian congregations have turned their backs on God's Law and consented to live under equity.
111. If you swear an oath before God, then it is axiomatic that you are aware that you accept God's authority – you are effectively asking God to witness your actions and then judge you, should you fail to abide by that oath and not be brought to justice by man.
112. If God is able to witness all that you do and judge you, it is clear God has the authority.
113. Once you have recognised God's authority, it follows that you are bound by God's two commandments, on which hang 'all of the Law'.
114. No man can force another man to swear the oath but any man in the UK consents to the oath – by not saying: 'No' – and therefore acknowledges the existence of God and the paramount and mandatory nature of the Law of God.

The Oaths of the King

115. On 10th September 2022, you, King Charles III, at your Accession Council, swore the following:

I, Charles the Third, by the Grace of God of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and of My other Realms and Territories, King, Defender of the Faith, do faithfully promise and swear that I shall inviolably maintain and preserve the Settlement of the true Protestant Religion as established by the Laws made in Scotland in prosecution of the Claim of Right and particularly by an Act intituled [sic]:

An Act for securing the Protestant Religion and Presbyterian Church Government

and by the Acts passed in the Parliament of both Kingdoms for Union of the two Kingdoms, together with the Government, Worship, Discipline, Rights and Privileges of the Church of Scotland. So help me God.

116. At your Coronation on 6th May 2023, which I witnessed for myself along with millions of other people via television, you swore the Coronation Oath, as follows:

The Moderator receives the Bible and places it before The King. The King stands and the Archbishop says:

Our Majesty, the Church established by law, whose settlement you will swear to maintain, is committed to the true profession of the Gospel, and, in so doing, will seek to foster an environment in which people of all faiths and beliefs may live freely. The Coronation Oath has stood for centuries and is enshrined in law.

Are you willing to take the Oath?

The King replies

I am willing.

The King places his hand on the Bible, and the Archbishop administers the Oath

Will you solemnly promise and swear to govern the Peoples of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, your other Realms and the Territories to any of them belonging or pertaining, according to their respective laws and customs?

The King replies

I solemnly promise so to do.

The Archbishop says

Will you to your power cause Law and Justice, in Mercy, to be executed in all your judgements?

The King replies

I will.

The King kneels at the Chair of Estate. The Archbishop says

Will you to the utmost of your power maintain the Laws of God and the true profession of the Gospel? Will you to the utmost of your power maintain in the United Kingdom the Protestant Reformed Religion established by law? Will you maintain and preserve inviolably the settlement of the Church of England, and the doctrine, worship, discipline, and government thereof, as by law established in England?

And will you preserve unto the Bishops and Clergy of England, and to the Churches there committed to their charge, all such rights and privileges as by law do or shall appertain to them or any of them?

The King replies

All this I promise to do.

The King places his hand on the Bible and says

The things which I have here before promised, I will perform and keep. So help me God.

The King kisses the Bible. The Archbishop says

Your Majesty, are you willing to make, subscribe, and declare to the statutory Accession Declaration Oath?

The King replies

I am willing.

I Charles do solemnly and sincerely in the presence of God profess, testify, and declare that I am a faithful Protestant, and that I will, according to the true intent of the enactments which secure the Protestant succession to the Throne, uphold and maintain the said enactments to the best of my

powers according to law.

The King signs copies of the Oaths, presented by the Lord Chamberlain, whilst the choir sings

Prévent us, O Lord, in all our doings with thy most gracious favour, and further us with thy continual help; that in all our works begun, continued, and ended in thee, we may glorify thy holy name, and finally by thy mercy obtain everlasting life; through Jesus Christ our Lord, Amen

The King kneels before the Altar and says

God of compassion and mercy whose Son was sent not to be served but to serve, give grace that I may find in thy service perfect freedom and in that freedom knowledge of thy truth. Grant that I may be a blessing to all thy children, of every faith and belief, that together we may discover the ways of gentleness and be led into the paths of peace; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

117. After recognising God's authority, the Coronation Oath recognises the monarch's authority who in turn recognises God's authority and my coming as Christ also known as the Lord by your swearing to maintain 'the true profession of the Gospels'. In this context, 'profession' is defined as:

the declaration of belief in or acceptance of religion or a faith:
the profession of Christianity.

<https://www.dictionary.com/browse/profession>

118. The Gospels of Matthew, Mark, Luke and John are the opening books of the New Testament, which appear to record the history of the coming of a Chosen One also known as 'Christ' and 'the Lord'. Given that the Chosen One is supposed to usher in the 7th Day or Age of Creation – the Age of Love and Peace – and does not go through a conventional death in the sense of ceasing life, it is clear that Christ did not come 2,000 years ago.

See Page 7, The Book of Kings, The Third and Final Testament, Part 2

https://www.bookofthelaw.org/downloads/The_Third_and_Final_Testament_Part_2x.pdf

119. The Gospels therefore foretell the coming of the Christ– also referred to as 'the Son', 'the Son of God', 'the Son of Man', 'the Messiah' and 'the Lord', among other terms – who will help mankind in his hour of need before final judgment, supported by the following passages of the gospel:

For the Father judgeth no man, but hath committed all judgment unto the Son:

That all men should honour the Son, even as they honour the Father. He that honoureth not the Son honoureth not the Father which hath sent him.

Verily, verily, I say unto you, He that heareth my word, and believeth on him that sent me, hath everlasting life, and shall not come into condemnation; but is passed from death unto life

John 5:22-24, KJV

<https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=John%205%3A22-24&version=KJV>

After Jesus said this, he looked toward heaven and prayed:

'Father, the hour has come. Glorify your Son, that your Son may glorify you. For you granted him authority over all people that he might give eternal life to all those you have given him.

'Now this is eternal life: that they know you, the only true God, and Jesus Christ, whom you have sent'.

'I have brought you glory on earth by finishing the work you gave me to do'.

John 17:1-4, NIV

<https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=John+17%3A1-4&version=NIV>

120. Three of the four gospels make specific mention of 'Love God' and 'Love your neighbour as you love yourself' – Matthew 22:36-40, Luke 10:26-28, Mark 12:29-32, while the Book of John expresses the concept as:

A new command I give you: Love one another. As I have loved you, so you must love one another.

John 13:34-35

<https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=John%2013%3A34-35&version=NIV>

121. You, the King, have therefore acknowledged the existence of the One True God of the Holy Bible which contains the commandment of 'Love your neighbour as you love yourself' by referring to a religion which accepts the Word of God as set out in the Holy Bible.
122. As the Holy Bible makes clear that the Lord is the highest authority or Sovereign under the Law, you, the King, have accepted that I, the Lord, have the highest authority under the paramount and mandatory Law, made mention of in the Coronation Oath.
123. You have also confirmed to act as 'Defender of the Faith'. Given that the Holy Bible sets out 'Love God' and 'Love your neighbour as you love yourself' as the two commandments of the Law, the 'Faith' in this context is the belief that eternal redemption comes through obeying the Law. It should not be confused with a 'faith', in the sense of a religious congregation sharing a particular view and method of worship because God does not recognise religious entities.

Judicial Oath

124. God's Law is not specifically mentioned in the judicial oath of office:

I, [NAME], do swear that I will well and truly serve our Sovereign Lord King Charles the Third in the office of ..., and I will do right to all manner of people after the laws and usages of this realm (colony), without fear or favour, affection or ill will. So help me God.

125. It does though mention 'God' and 'laws', which in the context of mandatory Law, as opposed to optional legislation, is most fittingly interpreted as 'the two commandments of God's Law', as supported by the Coronation Oath above which mentions: 'Law and Justice'; 'the Laws of God'; and the 'true profession of the Gospel'. The judicial oath makes no mention of the legal system.
126. 'Usage' is defined by the Oxford English Dictionary as:

The action of using something or the fact of being used;

The way in which a word or phrase is normally and correctly used;

Habitual or customary practice, especially as creating a right, obligation, or standard.

<http://www.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/english/usage>

127. As already established, the rule of the Law, 'Love your neighbour as you love yourself is the Golden Rule without exception and therefore 'Law' as recognised in English case 'law' in *Donoghue v Stevenson*.
128. By reason, 'usage' in this context most appropriately refers to the 'rights[s], obligation[s] [and] standard[s]' of the Law because legislation cannot create rights; obligations or standards because it acts upon the person, a legal fiction and is optional in that it can only carry the force of Law with the consent of a man.
129. Mention of the word 'Sovereign' in the judicial oath means any man swearing this oath has accepted that you, the King, have the highest authority under the Law in your society by virtue of swearing the Coronation Oath.
130. It does not mean that you, the King, have absolute sovereignty.

The Oath of Allegiance

131. Every man swearing the judicial oath will also have sworn the oath of allegiance to the highest authority under the Law in the society of the UK, you, the King:

I, [NAME], do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to His Majesty King Charles, her heirs and successors, according to law. So help me God.

The Promissory Oaths Act 1868

132. To do their duty to you, they must uphold 'Law and Justice' because you swore to do this (see Oaths and duties above).
133. In the context of the Law and the Bible in which it is written down, The Lord was the 'heir' – as in the 'heir of all things' (see Hebrews 1:2) – and successor, according to law. I am The Lord and therefore no longer the heir so I have inherited all things.
134. All recruits to the British Army, Royal Air Force and Royal Navy must take an oath of allegiance upon joining these armed forces, a process known as 'attestation'. Those who believe in God use the following words:

I swear by Almighty God that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to His King Charles III, his heirs and successors and that I will as in duty bound honestly and faithfully defend His Majesty, her heirs and successors in person, crown and dignity against all enemies and will observe and obey all orders of His Majesty, his heirs and successors and of the generals and officers set over me.

135. The same reasoning regarding God's Law applies to any man who has sworn the official oath:

I, [NAME], do swear that I will well and truly serve His Majesty King Charles in the office of [...] So help me God.

The Promissory Oaths Act 1868

136. Notaries public have refused to hear the oaths of freemen, proving they have failed to perform their duties to hear the oath without discrimination, according to the Law of God.
137. Notaries public have sworn or consented to an oath to the monarch; are servants of the monarch and must therefore have been instructed not to hear the oath according to the Law.

Police oath

138. The police officers swear the following oath:

I, ... of ... do solemnly and sincerely declare and affirm that I will well and truly serve the King in the office of constable, with fairness, integrity, diligence and impartiality, upholding fundamental human rights and according equal respect to all people; and that I will, to the best of my power, cause the peace to be kept and preserved and prevent all offences against people and property; and that while I continue to hold the said office I will to the best of my skill and knowledge discharge all the duties thereof faithfully, according to law.

139. In *Donoghue v Stevenson*, it was established that English law is the Common Law which enshrines a duty of care or consideration to other men and women. To act 'according to law', an officer therefore has a duty of care – in the sense of 'consideration' – to ensure he does not violate the rights of a man.
140. The police oath makes no mention of a 'person' or 'persons' so an officer of the Law has no duty to the legal fiction of a 'person' and by extension, the statutes which concern 'a person' or 'mask'.
141. The police oath also makes fealty to you, the King, who has consented to the Coronation Oath which compels you to uphold 'Law and Justice' and the 'Laws of God'.
142. Having sworn fealty to you, the King, an officer of the Law submits to your oath and the authority deriving from it to maintain the Law of God.
143. At 10:41, 22nd January 2014, I sent an email to Ian Rennie, the general secretary of the Police Federation – to which all officers of the Law belong – at email address: gensec@polfed.org, making mention of the Law, as opposed to legislation, and the duties of an officer of the Law, as follows:

To perform your duties according to the oath of office you swore, you will need to ensure that every member of the Police Federation sees my research into the Law, the Third and Final Testament, and understands their duties to the Law.

Those officers who enforce legislation act unlawfully, particularly with regard to the God plant, cannabis sativa, which can quite literally save the day.

I have allowed a period of grace for you to prepare for the enforcement of the Law so your officers should be up to speed with their duties by now.

Those who cause harm, loss or injury to a man or woman will be subject to the full penalties of the Law.

To spell this out clearly, any officer violating the Law will in the first instance have his pension taken away.

144. There can be no dispute that the representative body of the officers in question was informed about the Law and the penalties for violating that law.

Privy Council oath

145. The following oath is administered to Privy Counselors as they take office:

You do swear by Almighty God to be a true and faithful Servant unto the Queen's Majesty, as one of Her Majesty's Privy Council. You will not know or understand of any manner of thing to be attempted, done, or spoken against Her Majesty's Person, Honour, Crown, or Dignity Royal, but you will let and withstand the same to the uttermost of your Power, and either cause it to be revealed to Her Majesty Herself, or to such of Her Privy Council as shall advertise Her Majesty of the same.

You will, in all things to be moved, treated, and debated in Council, faithfully and truly declare your Mind and Opinion, according to your Heart and Conscience; and will keep secret all Matters committed and revealed unto you, or that shall be treated of secretly in Council.

And if any of the said Treaties or Counsels shall touch any of the Counselors, you will not reveal it unto him, but will keep the same until such time as, by the Consent of Her Majesty, or of the Council, Publication shall be made thereof.

You will to your uttermost bear Faith and Allegiance unto the Queen's Majesty; and will assist and defend all Jurisdictions, Pre-eminences, and Authorities, granted to Her Majesty, and annexed to the Crown by Acts of Parliament, or otherwise, against all Foreign Princes, Persons, Prelates, States, or Potentates.

And generally in all things you will do as a faithful and true Servant ought to do to His Majesty. So help you God.

146. Members of the House of Commons or of the House of Lords are required to take the Oath of Allegiance in the House at the beginning of a new Parliament, as well as after a Demise of the Crown.
147. Section 84 of the Scotland Act 1998 requires members of the Scottish Parliament to take the Oath of Allegiance at a meeting of the Parliament. Members of the Scottish Executive and junior Scottish Ministers are additionally required to take the Official Oath.
148. Section 20 of the Government of Wales Act 1998 requires members of the National Assembly for Wales to take the oath of allegiance in either English or Welsh.
149. Given you, the King, are the only man who assents to a statute, on the advice, not authority, of parliament because a corporate entity cannot have authority under the Law, you take responsibility for it. You, the King, are therefore liable for any harm, loss or injury resulting from it, involving among these factors, the unlawful enforcement of the rules therein.
150. It therefore reasonably follows that you, the King, can therefore lawfully repeal any statute by swearing a statement that it conflicts with Law and its Principles.

151. The convention that the Sovereign of the UK automatically assents to a statute has no meaning under the Law, as it would mean that you, the King, would be obliged to enforce rules that undermine the paramount and mandatory Law you have sworn an oath to uphold and the fact that these rules have not been arrived at according to reason.
152. In addition, the whip system in Parliament means that Members of Parliament vote in favour or against the rules of a proposed statute under coercion rather than applying reason to the likely outcome of their terms and conditions. The above two points mean that the legal system violates the Principle of Law that forbids anything not consonant with reason.
153. In addition, MPs receive funding from corporate bodies in return for favourable treatment most often at the expense of the rights and liberties of man. This constitutes the offence of bribery, as defined by section 2 of the 2010 Bribery Act:
- a. A person ("R") is guilty of an offence if any of the following cases applies.
 - b. Case 3 is where R requests, agrees to receive or accepts a financial or other advantage intending that, in consequence, a relevant function or activity should be performed improperly (whether by R or another person).
 - c. Case 4 is where:
 - i. R requests, agrees to receive or accepts a financial or other advantage, and
 - ii. the request, agreement or acceptance itself constitutes the improper performance by R of a relevant function or activity.
 - d. Case 5 is where R requests, agrees to receive or accepts a financial or other advantage as a reward for the improper performance (whether by R or another person) of a relevant function or activity.
 - e. Case 6 is where, in anticipation of or in consequence of R requesting, agreeing to receive or accepting a financial or other advantage, a relevant function or activity is performed improperly:
 - i. by R, or
 - ii. by another person at R's request or with R's assent or acquiescence.
 - f. In cases 3 to 6 it does not matter—
 - i. whether R requests, agrees to receive or accepts (or is to request, agree to receive or accept) the advantage directly or through a third party,
 - ii. whether the advantage is (or is to be) for the benefit of R or another person.
 - g. In cases 4 to 6 it does not matter whether R knows or believes that the performance of the function or activity is improper.
 - h. In case 6, where a person other than R is performing the function or activity, it also does not matter whether that person knows or believes that the performance of the function or activity is improper.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2010/23/section/2>

154. The convention of 'Parliamentary Sovereignty' is in reality contradicted by:

- a. the Royal Prerogative, the highest instrument of law in the UK, which can only be exercised by the monarch.
- a. the Prime Minister and all other office holders, among them judges, MPs, policemen and members of the armed forces, swearing an oath to serve the Sovereign of the UK, not parliament.
- b. the fact that parliamentary legislation or statutes cannot exist without assent from the Sovereign of the UK.

155. In addition, you, the King, have authority over:

- a. His Majesty's Treasury, His Majesty's Revenue and Customs and His Majesty's Prisons
- b. public policy via the Privy Council.
- c. a variety of other institutions governed by Royal Charter, among them, the BBC and the Bank of England:

The Royal Charter is the constitutional basis for the BBC. It sets out the public purposes of the BBC, guarantees its independence, and outlines the duties of the Trust and the Executive Board.

The original Royal Charter of 1694, granted by King William and Queen Mary, explained that the Bank was founded to 'promote the public Good and Benefit of our People'.

https://www.bbc.co.uk/bbctrust/governance/regulatory_framework/charter_agreement.html#:~:text=The%20Charter,Trust%20and%20the%20Executive%20Board.

<https://www.bankofengland.co.uk/about/history#:~:text=Bank%20of%20England%20founded&text=The%20original%20Royal%20Charter%20of,anded%20Benefit%20of%20our%20People%27>.

156. In the latter case, the Bank of England violates God's Law forbidding usury, the making of money simply from money (see pp84-88, Third and Final Testament). This conforms to several Principles of Law:

Usury is odious in law.

A contract founded on a base and unlawful consideration, or against good morals, is null.

If ever the Law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.

That which is against Divine Law is repugnant to society and is void.

See also Many are called; few are chosen, The Sermons, The Third and Final Testament

<https://www.bookofthelaw.org/index.php/many-are-called-few-are-chosen/>

157. This has been recognised in English legislation:

'Act relating to Usury'

Another bill was brought in against usury, which passed both houses, and

was made a statute. By it, an act passed in the 37th [year] of the late king that none might take above 20 per cent on money lent, was repealed; which they said was not intended for the allowing of Usury, but for preventing farther inconveniences.

And since Usury was by the word of God forbidden, and set out in divers places of Scripture as a most odious and detestable vice, which yet many continue to practise, for the filthy gain they make by it.

Therefore, from the 1st of May [1552], all usury or gain from money lent was to cease; and whosoever continued to practise to the contrary, was to forfeit both principal and interest, to suffer imprisonment, and to be fined at the king's pleasure.

Cobbett's Parliamentary History of England volume one, p.596
https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=LEIYAAAAYAAJ&printsec=frontcover&source=gbs_ge_summary_r&cad=0#v=snippet&q=usury%20above%2020%25&f=false

158. It conforms to reason that it is unlawful to repeal legislation which carries the force of Law because it conforms to the Law, offering men, women and children in a society the protection of the Law.
159. A Principle of Law states: 'Punishment is due if the words of an oath be false', meaning that those who: lie under oath or fail to adhere to the oath they have sworn may be subject to punishment because when the truth is undermined, it inevitably creates injustice by causing harm, loss or injury to men and women.

My oaths

160. On 24th August 2010, I swore under oath a statement of law, duty and fact before God, which I sent to the former monarch shortly after. In that affidavit, I swore that I am the Sovereign under the Law:

No one has brought just reason to challenge my statement that I am God, incarnated as Holy Spirit and Man, and therefore sovereign.

Page 104, The Third and Final Testament, Part 1
Full affidavit quoted at pages 101-106, The Third and Final Testament, Part 1

161. On 6th October 2010, having received no reply, I swore before God under oath and before two witnesses that I had received no reply and sent that document to the former Sovereign of the UK, shortly after, alerting her to the fact that the Affidavit remained unopposed and unrebutted and would become unchallengeable in law.
162. On 4th February 2011, still having received no reply I swore before God under oath and before two witnesses that I had received no reply and sent that document to the former Queen, shortly after.
163. I alerted her to the fact that the truth of my Affidavit had become law as – when the opportunity was offered – it had not been rebutted by the monarch, the highest authority in law in the UK, prior to the arrival of the Lord.
164. As she failed to rebut any point made in that sworn statement, the facts and law set out therein have become *stare decisis* – beyond judicial decision – under the higher Law and therefore *res judicata* under the legal system.

165. As the office of the former Sovereign of the UK has accepted by tacit agreement all the evidence and analysis set out in my affidavit to her dated 24th August 2010 as truth, you are also bound by the truth of that evidence and analysis by being the man who lawfully succeeds her in the Office of the Sovereign of the UK.

166. I set out here the un rebutted points made in that affidavit, not otherwise mentioned herein:

- i. The section of God's Bible known as 'The Torah' means the 'instructions' or 'the code', not 'the Law' in the sense of that which is paramount and mandatory.
- ii. The Torah is said to contain the whole of the Law under the Mosaic Covenant.
- iii. The Torah includes the line: 'Love your neighbour as you love yourself', the whole of the Law under the Mosaic Covenant.
- iv. The Book of God's Bible, known as the Book of Hebrews in the New Testament, sets out the Law, making it clear that Jesus Christ is Sovereign or the Most High Authority under the Law, when he comes.
- v. In God's Bible, Jesus Christ states: "Think not that I am come to destroy the law, or the prophets: I am not come to destroy, but to fulfill" (ref: Matthew 5:17).
- vi. The prophets in God's Bible predict the coming of the Chosen One of God to save man in his hour of need.
- vii. The narrative about Jesus Christ published in God's Bible in the books known as the Gospels is inconsistent and cannot therefore be history.
- viii. The vast majority of men have heard of a Chosen One of God who will come to offer man salvation in his hour of need.
- ix. A variety of non-Christian cultures, among them the Jewish and Islamic faiths, have recorded and disseminated said prophecy across the ages.
- x. Our age is characterised by usury, violence, subjugation, slavery, debt, exploitation and injustice, creating humanity's hour of need for the Chosen One of God.
- xi. God's Bible refers on many occasions to a Chosen One also known as the Christ or the Messiah, meaning 'anointed one' who will arrive in the end times or at the end of days to help save each soul incarnated as a man.
- xii. God's Bible and other texts indicate that the beginning of a general human awakening led by the Christ will be associated with '777' or '7777'. I was anointed by Jesus – spelt Yod He Vav He in Hebrew – the One True God, on 29th June 2007.
- xiii. The One True God told me I was proclaimed Chosen One by the ringing of church bells at St Mary Redcliffe Church, Bristol on Saturday, the 7th day of the week, 7th July 2007 or 7777.

- xiv. I was born on 24th December, the day the Three 'Kings' or stars of the constellation Orion align with the 'wandering star' or Sirius.
- xv. I have sworn on God's Bible that I am the Chosen One of God, God incarnated as Holy Spirit and Man also known as Messiah also known as Jesus Christ also known as the Lord Jesus Christ also known as the King of Kings and Priest of Priests also known as Kadosh Kadosh also known as the Name of Names and other names.
- xvi. On 19th January 2009, I stated as God's truth that I have the authority of God, and therefore the Crown, before a judge under oath in a Law Court at the Royal Courts of Justice without objection or counter-claim.
- xvii. I have already issued Orders with lawful authority to the Prime Minister and Gordon Brown.
- xviii. The failure to follow these orders is unlawful on the part of Gordon Brown and in no way contradicts the authority of God's Chosen One.
- xix. Other Orders I have issued have not been lawfully challenged.
- xx. No one has brought just reason to challenge my statement that I am God, incarnated as Holy Spirit and Man, and therefore sovereign.
- xxi. I am the last man to incarnate from the soul of the One True God, Jesus.
- xxii. I have related the teachings of the biblical Jesus Christ on the Internet.
- xxiii. The secret central to the history of Man is that certain men and women have incarnated from the soul of the One True God Jesus and the final incarnation will be the Christ or Messiah or Chosen One.
- xxiv. As such, this secret could only be published in code when written down.
- xxv. God's Bible, in particular the section the Jews call the Torah, and texts deriving from it, when decoded, show that phonetically 'David M Shayler' is the Chosen One of God also known as the Messiah.
- xxvi. The letters 'D M O U O S V A V V' on the monument at Shugborough House, Staffordshire, England are said to point to the location of the Holy Grail,
- xxvii. Said letters decode to 'D M Shyoluaa, 777' when transcribed into Hebrew and retranscribed into English using different recognised transcriptions of the Hebrew letters.
- xxviii. 'Holy Grail' is a corruption of the French 'Saint Real' or 'Holy True One'.

- xxix. God's Bible and texts deriving from it show that anagrams of Hebrew letters which transliterate into English as 'David M Shayler', or near variations thereof, can be found on the Rod of Aaron, with the Hebrew letters 'Xadek Chet Vav' which translates as 'righteous king', taken from the initial letters of the Ten Plagues of Egypt made mention of in God's Bible; the initial letters of the 12 tribes of Israel made mention of in God's Bible; from the 22-letter Name of God based on a phrase made mention of in God's Bible; the 33-letter Name of God, the 42-letter Name of God, and the 72 3-letter Names of God.
- xxx. The section of God's Bible known as the Torah records that God's name will be found in the Chosen One's Name,
- xxxi. Whereas 'Yah' is the accepted transliteration of the Hebrew letters Yod He, the name of God.
- xxxii. 'Shayler' contains 'Yah' spelt in the same direction as Hebrew is read, right to left, rendering 'S-haY-ler',
- xxxiii. 'Yeshua' can be transliterated as 'I H U, Sh Yol', pronounced 'I ah you, Shayol', phonetically near to 'I are you, Shayle', or made into an anagram which can be pronounced, 'I, you, Shayleh'.
- xxxiv. The Hebrew Book of Commentary on the Torah, the Talmud, contains 'David', 'M', 'Shiloh' and 'Shila' in the context of a discussion of the Messiah's name, in a section of the Talmud called the Sanhedrin.
- xxxv. The role of the 'Sanhedrin' is believed by many Jews to confirm the identity of the Messiah.
- xxxvi. I have communicated the teachings of Unconditional Love in public and pursued Truth and Justice with no concern for my own benefit, the tasks of Jesus Christ predicted by the prophets in God's Bible.
- xxxvii. I have challenged the forces of darkness which are motivated by Zionism and have lived.
- xxxviii. I have survived numerous attempts to kill me.
- xxxix. I talk to the soul and God, Jesus, and am given secrets and insights not shared with humanity.
- xl. Other souls incarnated as men have told me that Jesus has told them I am the Chosen One.
- xli. I can interpret the signs of God.
- xl.ii. A variety of cultures, including but not limited to the Mayans predict that the world and human consciousness will change in 2012, meaning we are now in the End Times or End of Days.

See the Book of haShem, The Third and Final Testament, Part 1
https://www.bookofthelaw.org/downloads/The_Third_and_Final_Testament_Part_1.pdf

167. In my extensive research, I have found no evidence indicating that anyone else is the Chosen One of God also known as Jesus Christ; Yeshua Meschiach; the Mahdi; the True Confucian Man; and the Final Boddhisatva, among others.
168. In my extensive research, I have not found any evidence I am not the Chosen One of God and I believe none exists.
169. As far as I am aware, no one has brought a challenge or any counter-claim in law to my statement that I am the Chosen One of God.
170. The day before your Coronation, 5th May 2023, I swore that I am the Lord and would give justice to any man at the Magna Carta monument at Runnymede, Surrey.
- <https://youtu.be/rPaatYm7sUc?t=117>
171. I am therefore the Sovereign under the Law so you must only use the Royal Prerogative, where its use conforms to the Law, set out in this affidavit.

The Land and the Law

Magna Carta

172. On 15th June 2015, the Prime Minister, the Archbishop of Canterbury and the Princess Royal, who have all sworn on oath of fealty to the office of the sovereign of the UK, which you now hold – attended the Magna Carta Memorial Monument, Runnymede, Windsor Road, Old Windsor, Windsor, Surrey, as part of the 800th anniversary of the original signing of the document. It has plaques specifically commemorating: 'Freedom under Law'; 'the rule of Law'; and the 'Principles of Law'
173. As the highest power in the land under the Law, who will be given authority by swearing the oath, you, the King, will assent to the still existing terms of Magna Carta, where they recognise God and his Law as paramount and distinguish between the 'person' of a baron and 'freemen'.
174. The principles behind the document therefore continue to guarantee the rights, freedoms and liberties of the Law to all freemen of the realm and their heirs 'for ever':

1. FIRST We have granted to God, and by this our present Charter have confirmed, for Us and our Heirs for ever, that the Church of England shall be free, and shall have all her whole Rights and Liberties inviolable. We have granted also, and given to all the Freemen of our Realm, for Us and our Heirs for ever, these Liberties under-written, to have and to hold to them and their Heirs, of Us and our Heirs for ever: [...]

29. NO Freeman shall be taken or imprisoned, or be disseised of his Freehold, or Liberties, or free Customs, or be outlawed, or exiled, or any other wise destroyed; nor will We not pass upon him, nor condemn him, but by lawful judgment of his Peers, or by the Law of the land. We will sell to no man, we will not deny or defer to any man either Justice or Right.

175. Any right inviolably given to 'all freemen' is by reason given to a 'freeman'. A servant is not the peer of a freeman because a freeman has no master other than God and is free to act on conscience according to law, whereas a servant has a human master and has to obey orders and instructions or face penalties under the rules of the society he lives under.

176. A servant has a master and a slave has a master. Although slavery as it existed under the Mosaic covenant (see page 12, The Third and Final Testament, Part 1) has no specific modern parallel, *Easton's 1897 Bible Dictionary* defines 'slave' as 'servant':

In Rev[elation]18:13 the word 'slaves' is the rendering of a Greek word meaning 'bodies'. The Hebrew and Greek words for slave are usually rendered simply 'servant', 'bondman' or 'bondservant'.

<http://dictionary.reference.com/browse/slave>

177. Any man who adopts his person accepts that he is no longer free and is a servant or slave of his master, the monarch or the highest power in the society to which he has consented to belong.

178. There is though in reality a discernible difference between a 'slave' and a 'servant'. A servant can choose to no longer consent to his status and reasonably free himself, whereas a slave cannot.

179. If you cannot free yourself from a society, then it is what is pejoratively called a 'cult'.

180. The still existing clauses of Magna Carta make it clear that any man acting or speaking against a freeman must have authority under the Law: 'by lawful judgment of his Peers, or by the Law of the Land', (see clause 29 quoted above). In this case 'The Law of the Land' has to mean the Law of God, which can be guaranteed because it is eternal and unchanging.

181. The phrase was coined to distinguish between the two systems of law in existence, the Common Law and civil 'law', among them admiralty and maritime 'law' also known as 'the law of the sea' which are in reality policy not Law and are subject to the paramount and mandatory Law. This interpretation is also supported by the following Principle of Law:

The Law of God and the law of the land are all one, and both favour and preserve the common good of the land

182. 'The Law of the Land' cannot be interpreted in its more modern and misused meaning of 'the collected body of laws of any given country', because:

- a. This would be a misinterpretation of the word 'laws' which primarily must be interpreted as 'the two commandments of God's Law' before being considered in its misused way where it is falsely held to be synonymous with legislation.
- b. Legislation is constantly changing. Magna Carta could not insist that anyone be bound by 'laws' or legislation yet to be passed when it was signed.
- c. The concept of Parliamentary legislation did not exist when Magna Carta was signed into law.

183. Under the Law, it is clear that any man has a greater claim to hold land under the Law than any fictional 'person' claiming 'absolute title' under civil law as 'person' and 'absolute title' are both legal fictions.
184. According to the Bible, God gives man – not persons – dominion over the earth.
185. Leviticus, Chapter 25, verses 8-24 contains the following statements of Law:
- 'Count off seven sabbath years—seven times seven years—so that the seven sabbath years amount to a period of forty-nine years. [...]
- 'Consecrate the fiftieth year and proclaim liberty throughout the land to all its inhabitants. It shall be a jubilee for you. [...]
- 'If you sell land to any of your own people or buy land from them, do not take advantage of each other. [...] Do not take advantage of each other. [...]
- 'The land must not be sold permanently, because the land is mine and you reside in my land as foreigners and strangers. Throughout the land that you hold as a possession, you must provide for the redemption of the land'.
186. The above also encodes a date in the form of the numbers 7, 7, 7, 7, 50. Read in the direction of Hebrew from right to left, this gives 05777/7 ('49' is 7 squared so acts to indicate the presence of a code) or the the Hebrew year, 5777, beginning of the seventh ecclesiastical month of Tishrei, New Years' Day in the Hebrew calendar, or 3rd October 2016 in the Anno Domini calendar.
187. The previous monarch, who like you, the King, is said to descend from the biblical King David, failed to redistribute the land to the people from this date to prepare for the 7th Age.
188. All title to land is a legal fiction. It is unlawful to in any way permanently buy or sell land or take advantage of anyone with regard to its distribution. The legal fiction of title to land is probably the greatest cause of suffering, poverty and dependency known to man.
189. As the trustee of the nation and on behalf of God, the monarch holds allodial right to land in English law. Black's Law 9th edition has done its very best to obscure the distinction between 'allodial' and 'absolute' title in its definitions:

Allodial

Held in absolute ownership [...]

The term 'allodial' originally [...] meant land held in absolute ownership, not in dependence upon any other body or person in whom the proprietary rights were supposed to reside [...] It would thus properly apply to the land which in the original settlement had been allotted to individuals, while bookland was primarily applicable to land the title to which rested on formal grant. Before long however the words appear to have been used synonymously to express land held in absolute ownership.

Kenelm E Digby, An introduction to the History of the Law of Real Property, 11-12 (5th edition, 1897)

[...]

Absolute title

An exclusive title to land; a title that excludes all others not compatible with it.

Black's Law 9th edition

190. However, the difference in meaning can still be discerned. Under allodial right, ownership is absolute (in the true sense of the word). So-called 'absolute title' is 'exclusive' of others not 'compatible with it'. Where the two clash, it is clear that absolute title is not compatible with an allodial right, which takes precedence as, in the case of you, the King, it is part of your duties under the Law, expressed in the Coronation Oath to do 'Justice' in your 'judgments' under the 'Law'.
191. The monarch's allodial right to land comes from God. Title to land is a creation of man. Indeed, earlier editions of Black's Law make the distinction much clearer:

ALLODIAL

Free; not holden of any lord or superior; owned without obligation of vassalage or fealty; the opposite of feudal. [...]

Absolute title: as applied to title to land, an "absolute" title means an exclusive title, or at least a title which excludes all others not compatible with it; an absolute title to land cannot exist at the same time in different persons or in different governments. [...]

TITLE

[...] real property law. Title may be defined generally to be the evidence of right which a person has to the possession of property. The word "title" certainly does not merely signify the right which a person has to the possession of property; because, there are many instances in which a person may have the right to the possession of property, and at the same time have no title to the same. In its ordinary legal acceptance, however, it generally seems to imply a right of possession also. It therefore appears, on the whole, to signify the outward evidence of the right, rather than the mere right itself.

Black's Law, 2nd edition, 1910

192. From the above, it is clear that allodial right takes precedence over 'absolute' title. We also have to wonder why Black's Law 9th edition sees fit to quote a work from 1897:

Before long however the words appear to have been used synonymously to express land held in absolute ownership.

193. This gives the impression that somehow 'allodial' and 'absolute' became synonymous in the 19th century when in fact Black's itself continued to distinguish between the two in its 2nd edition, which existed later than the source quoted, in 1910. To be charitable, it is a curious reference work which ignores its own 'authority'.
194. To be accurate, this is clear proof that Black's Law is an attempt to undermine the rule of Law – which is mandatory – by the underhand method of redefining words. The reality of the Law deals with the nature of the thing:

Does a rose by any other word still smell as sweet?

195. It is also clear that any man has a greater claim to hold land under the Law than any 'person' claiming 'absolute title' under civil law as 'person' and 'absolute title' are both legal fictions. According to the Bible, God gives man – not individuals or persons — dominion over the earth.
196. Any man can and may hold land, subject to the Law.
197. 'An English man's house is his castle' is a Principle of Law. He therefore has the right to use force against anyone trying to enter his property without consent or lawful authority. Legal orders are not binding because they come from courts of equity, not Law and are not signed or sealed.
198. Subject to the Law, the True Sovereign – not you, the King – is the highest judge in any dispute over the use of land.

The Unlawful Eviction, Runnymede Freeman Village, 16th September 2015

199. I now relate an account of an unlawful eviction and its implications under the Law, which was accepted as fact and law by the former holder of the office of monarch of the UK by tacit agreement by virtue of her failure to rebut my sworn affidavit to her, dated 26th October 2015.
200. On the morning of 16th September 2015, I was conveyed by a friend to Runnymede Freeman Village, arriving at a point in the late morning to find officers of the Law from Surrey Police outside the property, the land a number of men and women – myself among them, from November 2012 to unlawful removal on 16th September 2015 – have been enjoying according to law.
201. The officers of Surrey Police presented a legal instrument known in your society as a 'General Form of Judgment or Order', reference: B00SM101, dated 16 June 2015 –hereinafter referred to as the 'Order' – against a number of named persons.
202. I was not named on the document, nor was the 'artificial person', or 'legal fiction', 'Mr David Shayler'.
203. As an unsigned document, it has no basis in Law, does not meet the test of an enforceable judgment under the Law and therefore cannot be enforced in law.
204. When asked, each and every officer of Surrey police consented to the proposition that they would not vacate their house simply on receipt of an unsigned document.
205. Because they then allowed others to suffer this violation of their rights, they failed the 'Do as you would be done by' test of the Law, demonstrating that, in this instance, they were incapable of compassion, a behavioural trait of the psychopath.
206. Men and women I knew from the village were trying to peacefully resist removal, kidnap and other violations of the Law so I tried to go to their aid as I have a duty under the Law to protect those having their rights undermined.

207. I was prevented from entering the village at the usual right of access points and from entering through a gap in the iron fence, erected by agents of the corporation and legal fiction, Orchid Runnymede – without my consent or the consent of other men and women who stay at Runnymede Freeman Village – about 40 yards down from the grounds of the Commonwealth Air Force Memorial.
208. Although the officers of Surrey Police told me there was a 'possession order' (their words) they were unable to produce any document which conformed to law.
209. I therefore acted lawfully by trying to peacefully disrupt the bailiffs in attendance – who were in the process of harassing, cowing, assaulting, kidnapping and falsely detaining men and women. They were unable to produce authority or lawful reason for their actions.
210. Officers of Surrey Police told me they were there to prevent a 'Breach of the Peace', a concept that exists under the Law to protect men and women from harm and harassment and other violations of their rights by other men and women and 'persons'.
- <http://www.inbrief.co.uk/offences/breach-of-the-peace.htm>.
211. Since the very presence of the bailiffs and servants of the 'security' company was without authority or lawful basis, they acted as 'persons' whose actions constitute a breach of the peace – as well as harassment, cowing or intimidation, assault, kidnap and false detention and imprisonment, among others – of the men and women in question.
212. The 1361 Justices of the Peace Act – from which the legal concept of Breach of the Peace comes – is a statute which can only carry the force of law with the consent of a man or 'natural person'.
213. I told officers of the Surrey Police to do their duty under the Law and arrest those who had no lawful authority for their presence and they refused to carry out this act which conformed to law.
214. Since the bailiffs and other agents of the unlawful entity, Orchid Holdings Ltd, had no lawful authority, any arrests made by officers of the Law constitute breaches of that Law and meet the three tests of false arrest and false imprisonment:
- i. wilful detention;
 - ii. without consent;
 - iii. without authority of law.
215. The 'Order' mentions that the 'Recorder' heard 'counsel' for the plaintiff and a 'submission' from the defendants. This clashes with the following Principles of Law:

Unequal things should not be joined.

Every consent implies a submission but not every submission implies consent.

A twisting of language is unworthy of a judge.

216. This instrument states 'it is ordered'. As it is unclear which real man is making the 'Order', the instrument in question can have no lawful validity and must not be enforced.
217. The 'Order' has no validity without signature. It stands as a contractual offer to the legal fiction of a person or mask under the rules of a society to which no man belongs, only 'persons'.
218. The 'Order' or request fails to mention it may only carry the force of Law with the consent of a man and woman.
219. Since it was entirely foreseeable that the unlawful enforcement of the 'Order' would cause harm, loss or injury under the Law to a man by depriving him of his home, this omission constitutes fraud causing harm, loss or injury under the Principle of 'Love your neighbour...':

Who then, in law, is my neighbour? [..]

The answer seems to be - persons who are so closely and directly affected by my act that I ought reasonably to have them in contemplation as being so affected when I am directing my mind to the acts or omissions that are called in question.

Lord Atkin, *Donoghue v Stevenson*, [1932] AC 562, leading judgment, 26 May 1932

220. This is supported by a Principle of Law (although in this case, there is no element of 'good and just'):

What otherwise is good and just, if it be sought by force and fraud, becomes bad and unjust,

Page 36, *The Third and Final Testament*, Part1
<https://www.bookofthelaw.org/index.php/we-hold-these-truths-to-be-self-evident/>

221. 'Force' here by context must have the sense of 'aggression' as force is lawful in the sense that the lawful command of a judge can be enforced without consent.
222. Any attempt to represent legislation or an 'Order' as enforceable law therefore constitutes fraud, perverting the course of justice and, potentially, treason.
223. Even then any lawful judgment of a judge is open to appeal to the monarch or Highest Officer of Law of the UK and finally the Lord, Sovereign under the paramount and mandatory Law.
224. Only a judgment freely arrived at and sworn under oath according to Law by a man who has freely elected to be a judge rather than a servant; slave; or the legal fiction of 'Recorder' can carry the force of Law.
225. Only a man who subjects himself to the One True God, Jesus, and his Law of 'Love God' and 'Love your neighbour as you love yourself' can make a binding enforceable judgment.

Legality v reality

226. A Principle of Law states: 'Legality is not reality' because it holds legal fictions to be true for the purposes of determination of rights.
227. Although Parliamentary legislation cannot impose a duty on anyone without their consent, it is nevertheless worth examining the real meaning behind the definition of 'person', to which legislation refers.
228. In order to include a man in the contract 'law' of statute, the government creates a legal 'person' with the same name as you but adding a title, among them, 'Mr', 'Mrs' 'Dr' or 'Queen'. This is usually done by registering the birth with the state in return for a birth certificate.
229. For the purposes of determination under English contract 'law', this 'person' has ostensibly the same status as a corporation.
230. Since a corporation is usually some form of document or register incorporating individual men and women into a society, a person is a piece of paper.
231. Pieces of paper do not have any rights under the Law.
232. Any notional rights accorded to a piece of paper are a 'legal fiction' because a piece of paper cannot suffer – in the sense of experience – harm, loss or injury, necessary to establish an infringement of a man's rights under the Law because it is not sentient.
233. Black's Law dictionary has changed its definition of 'person' over the years, which has only served to confuse the issue. A lack of clarity to a reasonable man in written law means that it cannot carry the force of the Law. Black's Law, 3rd edition, defined 'person' as 'legal fiction'.
234. Black's Law, 9th edition, offers the following definitions:
- 'human being also termed natural person';
 - 'an entity (such as a corporation) that is recognised by law as having most of the rights and duties of a human being. In this sense the term includes partnerships and other associations, whether incorporated or unincorporated';
 - 'artificial person', 'fictitious person' defined as 'an entity, such as a corporation, created by law and given certain legal rights and duties of a human being: a being real or imaginary, who for the purposes of legal reasoning is treated more or less as a human being;
 - persona ficta *Latin 'false mask'+Historical . 'A fictional person such as a corporation'.

Black's Law, 9th edition

235. It is still clear that there is a difference between a real man created by God – whether you choose to call that man a human being or a 'natural person' – and the 'person', a title created by a state with the intention that a man be judged under the same legislation as a corporation – which Black's Law defines as a fictitious or artificial person, in other words a legal fiction.

236. The reason a corporation cannot have all the rights and duties of a human being or man – as conceded by Black's in the definitions above – is that a corporation cannot reject legislative rules or rulings from a court of equity in favour of its Common Law rights whereas a man or a natural person with a conscience can.
237. Corporations are therefore bound by the rules and rulings of the legal system.
238. From historical context cited above, it is also quite clear that the person is a role or fiction – a mask – we adopt by consent to enter into English statute and contract 'law'.
239. The person is a mask you adopt to play a role. Once you no longer consent to play that role, you cast off the mask or person in a way that you cannot cast off your status as a real man or woman.

Persons or corporations and the Law

240. Two Principles of Law state:

The Law is no respecter of persons.

The status of a person is his legal position or condition.

241. The Law of God makes no provision for corporations as they are incapable of love or taking responsibility for their actions. In fact, they display all the characteristics of a psychopath, working solely in their own interest without conscience.
242. Because the Law is paramount and mandatory, any man acting for a corporation will be in violation of the Law, if he refuses to perform a duty of the Law. He is still therefore bound to establish truth and do justice, as part of his duty of consideration to others who will be reasonably affected by his words, deeds or actions, before acting or speaking.
243. By this reasoning, the person is a contractual offer made under legislation to which a man can refuse consent, whether the legalese behind the legislation calls him a 'man', a 'human being' or a 'natural person'. It is the nature of the thing:

Does a rose by any other name still smell as sweet?

244. A man can refuse to consent to legislation, which is not binding and is only a contractual offer, even where it is said to be introduced for his benefit. A Principle of Law confirms this: 'Anyone may renounce a law introduced for his own benefit'. 'A law' here must be legislation as you cannot renounce the Law of God. This demonstrates that legislation does not carry a duty of obedience.
245. No one is obliged to accept a benefit against his consent, therefore. This is a Principle of Law.
246. If you insist that you are a real man – a truth in reality– no one can judge you or otherwise act against you as a legal fiction.
247. This is backed by the following Principles of law:

Where truth is, fiction of law does not exist

Fiction of law is wrongful if it causes loss or injury to any one

Consent makes the law. A contract is a law between the parties, which can acquire force only by consent.

Artificial person

248. A piece of paper cannot hold anything nor can it suffer harm, loss or injury precisely because it is not sentient.
249. A man under the Law has full liability in law for his actions. An artificial person does not as it is limited by insurance.
250. Even If 'Orchid Runnymede' has title to land in your society, all title is legal fiction, which has no meaning to a real man who has a right to hold land with respect to the rights of others (see p89, The Third and Final Testament, Part 1).

251. This is supported by the following Principles of Law:

Legality is not reality

Fiction of law is wrongful if it works loss or injury to anyone.

There is no fiction without law.

Fictions arise from the law, and not law from fictions

Pages 35 and 36, Third and Final Testament, Part 1

252. You cannot serve God and Mammon, where Mammon means the 'monetary system', in which states have a central bank which violates God's Law forbidding usury, see p84-88, The Third and Final Testament, Part 1.
253. Any attempt to entice a free man into your society is an infringement of his right to be free of any master other than God, which has paramount protection under the Law of 'Love God' and 'Love your neighbour as yourself'.
254. For the purposes of the Law, a man is the natural holder of the land he uses or stays on. Under the rules of your society, 'possessions' are not synonymous with 'property' or 'land'. The latter two can only be taken from a man by a process of Law, not legislation or by any legal fiction.
255. The foreseeable effects of your breaking the Law with regard to real property rights will be men and women – mothers with children, among them – forced from their homes onto the streets. In addition to causing each man or woman loss through deprivation of his property, you will also be exposing young children to serious harm to their health and well-being.
256. You would not leave your home on sight of an unsigned, unenforceable 'Order' which did not originate from a court of Law so why expect others to do so? 'Do as you would be done by'.
257. A compassionate human being would have intuitively understood that his duty to protect men and women overrode any unsigned piece of paper.
258. By law, any freeman may exercise his right to answer, not surrender, only to a sworn affidavit, witnessing that he has caused harm, loss or injury to a human being.

259. This is supported by a Principle of Law: 'No one is believed in court except upon his oath'. It does not restrict this Principle to the witness who swears to his truth under oath but to any judge making a judgment.
260. The evidence and analysis above were included in my sworn affidavit to the former Sovereign of the UK, dated 26th October 2015. They were unrebutted by process of affidavit when given notice of opportunity to cure, dated 2nd December 2015, and by notice of default, dated 7th December 2015. They are therefore *stare decisis* and *res judicata* to any man electing to take up the Office of Sovereign of the UK.
261. To deny a man his rights and liberties under the Law constitutes the offence of blasphemy, as set out by the Biblical Christ, although this passage has been almost universally misunderstood by mankind:

And so I tell you, every kind of sin and slander can be forgiven, but blasphemy against the Spirit will not be forgiven. Anyone who speaks a word against the Son of Man will be forgiven, but anyone who speaks against the Holy Spirit will not be forgiven, either in this age or in the age to come.

Mark 12:31-32, NIV

<https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=Matthew+12%3A22-32&version=NIV>

262. To blaspheme against the spirit of the Law, the holy spirit, which is Love, constitutes the offence of blasphemy and cannot be forgiven. In other words, a man who denies another man his rights and liberties under the Law of Love and judgment by his equals under the Law because of the harm it causes men and women by denying justice cannot be shown mercy.
263. Although many versions of the bible have translated the 'holy spirit' as the 'Holy Ghost', this is again wrong. The Holy Ghost is Christ as the host or incarnation of the divine soul.
264. Only a small-minded man or woman could believe that the Lord God – who is a soul in the realm above, not a spirit – would be bothered by an insult, especially when a man or woman is punished for it under the legal system. Not even I, the Lord and Christ, can forgive – or accord mercy – to those who deny the Law to a man. In these circumstances, punishment is due.

Establishing truth

265. Truth is determined by the reasoned analysis of primary source evidence. The absence of evidence does not necessarily indicate evidence of absence. The absence of evidence where it might reasonably be believed to be is likely to be a key determinant of truth.
266. The very fact this is lost on academics whose 'bread and butter' ought to be the objective gathering of data and its informed and rational analysis shows the extraordinary levels of ignorance we now live under.
267. The very fact I have to state this shows how unquestioning humanity – and the political class, in particular – has become in an age of centralised, state education.

268. In this day and age of the internet, there can be no excuse for failing to verify the primary evidence.
269. There is no defence of ignorance under the Law, especially in a sworn officer of the Law.
270. Simple reference to secondary sources – like academic papers and official reports and their conclusions – does not in itself advance the cause of truth.
271. This distinction was not made clear in the Cabinet Office's Don't Feed the Beast' (of Misinformation) publicity campaign, launched shortly before the 'pandemic':

Source: make sure information comes from a trusted source.

Headline: always read beyond the headline.

Analyse: check the facts.

Retouched: does the image or video look as though it has been doctored?

Error: look out for bad grammar and spelling.

<https://www.facebook.com/UKgovernment/videos/752780105237435/>

272. It does not distinguish between the type of sources, which determine how successfully you 'check the facts':

Primary source: raw data, a recording of an event, an eye witness statement, among others;

Secondary source: an academic paper, a news article, a book, a documentary, among others;

Tertiary source: a publisher, a broadcaster, a social media site, a website, among others.

273. This distinction appears to be lost on those currently working in the government and the mainstream media.
274. Truth is not determined simply by listening to the opinions of individuals with letters after their names. Their expert knowledge of the evidence can though lead to more reasoned analysis but this can only be fully established by cross-examination.
275. Self-styled experts belong to knowledge groups, access to which is determined by accepting received ideas, often not based on sound evidence or analysis. They have made their reputation and living in these circumstances. They are therefore less likely to agree with assessments which undermine these, no matter how well-based the evidence and how well-reasoned the analysis.
276. Qualified scientific researchers have shown they are prey to blindly accepting misinformation second-hand, which they then repeat in 'echo chambers' in the way that the powers-that-be ascribe to 'conspiracy theorists' and 'fake news'. They also seem to have no problem skewing the design of their experiments and their findings to garner more research grants, rendering their findings unreliable.
277. The ad hominem attack – on the man or woman presenting the evidence and analysis – in no way advances the cause of truth. Nor does the so-called 'appeal to authority', where the opinion of a so-called expert in his field is

cited without cross-examining him about the evidence and analysis, on which his evidence is based. These responses are highly irresponsible because as more often than not they are employed to dismiss examination of primary source evidence which proves that the official version of the truth is actually causing harm, loss or injury.

278. The selective use of evidence can be used to prove anything.
279. The best interpretation is made from things preceding and following; that is, the context' is a Principle of Law.

The Defining Events of Our Times

280. The two greatest events of this millennium are the 9/11 attacks on the US and the world-wide 'Covid-19 pandemic'. In both cases, the official accounts are not just slightly wrong. They are the exact opposite of the truth:
281. In both cases, the evidence simply never existed to support the official line supported by the political class; the legal system; the mainstream, corporate media; and non-governmental agencies, among others.
282. In both cases, evidence pointing to the uncomfortable truth did exist but the visible powers-that-be either ignored it or suppressed it, meaning millions of people have unnecessarily died or suffered other severe forms of harm, injury or loss.
283. This represents a conspiracy to wage war on the people of the world in concert with shadowy, vested interests. It is happening by design, not accident.

The 9/11 attacks

284. The account ventured by The National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States (hereafter 'the 9-11 Commission') is physically impossible, particularly with regard to the alleged involvement of hijacked planes; the cause of the collapse of the Towers; and the damage done to the Twin Towers and the Pentagon.
285. In 2005, my attention was drawn to the glaring gaps in the Official Account of the 9/11 attacks carried out by the US Congressional Commission into the events of that day. Having worked on the Lockerbie attack in December 1988 – in which a civilian jetliner was blown out of the skies – I was particularly concerned by the fact that:
- a. no air crash enquiries had been carried out, even though these are required for each air craft that goes down, by international treaties and domestic law;
 - b. none of the planes' black boxes had been recovered, even though these are designed to withstand crashes and transmit a signal to their location after a plane goes down;
 - c. no forensics had been carried out on the crime scene;
 - d. there was no evidence whatsoever to link the alleged mastermind, Osama Bin Laden, to the plot (meaning that the FBI were unable

to indite him in connection with the operation).

286. A summary of what I learnt is available in a documentary, which I co-authored and presented.

9/11 and the British Broadcasting Conspiracy
Official Confusion Productions, Vimeo, 2007
<https://vimeo.com/423779766>

287. It also looks at how the BBC has failed to adhere to journalistic standards and the scientific methodology when reporting on the event that 'changed the world', a failure the BBC ignorantly and contentiously repeated on the 20th anniversary of the attacks in September 2021.

<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/58469600>

288. This BBC article acted as an echo chamber for already discredited official accounts and reports. It did not explain how the Reuters news agency had supplied information to the corporation about the collapse of World Trade Centre Building 7 (also known as the Salomon Brothers building, hereafter 'WTC7') some 20 minutes or so before it actually happened, on which the BBC based a report. It also reported on the cause of the collapse – fire weakening the steel – before the collapse happened.

289. Before the 11th September 2001, no steel-framed building had ever been brought down by fire. Neither has any since, even where they have burned for much longer than the North Tower, the South Tower or WTC7.



I swear that the above image is an accurate screenshot taken from a report given by BBC reporter, Jane Standley, at around 21:54, GMT, 11th September 2001 on the BBC News channel with 'Jane Stanley[sic], BBC journalist' and 'WTC7 is still standing' as annotations

See also 40min30, 9/11 and The British Broadcasting Conspiracy, Vimeo, 24 June 2007

Published at <https://vimeo.com/423779766> in 2020

290. Although the BBC claimed in response that its journalists make mistakes when reporting world events as they unfold, I challenge it to find another example of the corporation reporting an event shortly before it actually happened.

291. To the objective investigator, it is a clear example of someone releasing a report ahead of a script which was being otherwise being followed.

See also pages 28 to 36, The Truth Manifesto, Scribd, May 2010-
<https://www.scribd.com/doc/53236857/Final-Manifesto#>

292. The BBC's failure to objectively consider the implications of this means it has joined the conspiracy surrounding the attacks on behalf of the shadowy forces waging war on mankind rather than disseminate the truth; protect lives and serve the common good, the duty of us all.

293. Independent analysis by a variety of architects, engineers and relevantly qualified scientists has shown that the hypothesis that the Towers collapsed into their own footprint due to fire weakening the steel structures is a physical impossibility, not least because jet fuel, kerosine, does not burn at sufficiently high temperatures to weaken reinforced steel.

294. In its final report, the 9/11 Commission failed to mention the collapse of WTC7, although the report made mention of the building on several occasions. In the absence of any evidence that the Commission enquired into the collapse of WTC7, we may reasonably infer that at the time the report was published, the 9/11 Commissioners were ignorant about the collapse, despite later claims made by individual Commissioners to the contrary.

295. Although the National Institute of Science and Technology, part of the US Department of Commerce, produced a report on the collapse, it has not released much of the data on which its conclusions were based. It also failed to carry out due diligence by failing to consider evidence publicly available at the time or hear evidence from witnesses on the day. It also refused to consider any evidence after the point of collapse, chiefly the fact that WTC7 collapsed into its own footprint in around seven seconds, far more likely indicating a controlled collapse. Selective evidence can be used to prove anything.

<https://www.nist.gov/publications/final-report-collapse-world-trade-center-building-7-federal-building-and-fire-safety-0>

296. Examination of the evidence gathered on the day incontrovertibly proves that the Twin Towers and WTC7 were collapsed using Directed Energy Weapons, most likely fired from a satellite. This is beyond the capabilities of Al Qaeda or any other terrorist or insurgent group.

297. In 2006, Dr Judy D Wood, a former professor of mechanical engineering – along with Morgan Reynolds, a retired professor of economics at Texas A&M University turned independent 9/11 investigator – published an expert analysis of evidence observed on the day of the attacks and privately gathered for forensic examination in the aftermath in the failure of the powers-that-be to do so.

298. Wood and Reynolds concluded that the Twin Towers had been brought down by Directed Energy Weapons also known as 'beam weapons' on 11 September 2001 rather than collapsing after fire weakened the steel frame of the structures.

Star Wars Beam Weapons and Star Wars Directed Energy Weapons, 2006
<https://www.drjudywood.com/articles/DEW/>

Where Did the Towers Go? Evidence of Directed Free-Energy Technology on 9/11,
Amazon, 2010
<https://www.amazon.co.uk/Towers-Evidence-Directed-Free-energy-Technology/dp/0615412564>

299. She has created a slideshow of the evidence on which she has based her research. It is divided into the following key evidence which proves that only DEWS could have been responsible:

- Dustification of the Towers.
- Toasted Cars parked far from the WTC.
- Energy and Heat levels.
- Weather, the observation of the Hutchison Effect.
- Seismic and Bathtub, including earthquakes.
- 'The Towers didn't burn up'.





I swear this screenshot is a true copy of an image in the presentation at
<https://www.drjudywood.com/wp/dustification/>

300. The 9/11 Commission had no choice but to only include evidence and analysis which supported the hijacked planes hitting the Twin Towers causing fires leading to the collapse of the buildings hypothesis – and exclude or ignore that which disproved it – because the US had already invaded Afghanistan, as part of Nato, using the fake version of events as a pretext.
301. The 9/11 Commission did not seek to take evidence from competent pilots or engineers, like Dr Judy Wood or John Lear, nor did it hear directly from eye witnesses who were present at the crashes at the Twin Towers or the Pentagon. This constitutes a grave dereliction of duty on the part of the men and women who constituted the 9/11 Commission, which has caused severe and chronic harm, loss and injury to men, women and children.

302. As it can be demonstrated beyond reasonable doubt that the objects going into the Towers and the Pentagon were missiles not planes, then there is no evidence of hijacked planes, going into buildings, that day. If there were no hijacked planes, there were no hijackers — Muslims or otherwise (see Physical impossibility of the 9/11 Commission's Account below).
303. The use of exotic weaponry – proven by the unique phenomena observed that day, which is consistent with Directed Energy Weapons being fired, most likely fired from a satellite – means the 9/11 attacks are clearly beyond the capability of Islamic terrorists.
304. The technology and the execution of the 9/11 attacks – and the cover-up of the truth – could only have been carried out by men and women who had penetrated the key agencies and departments of the US Federal Government, not operating under the Law or the Constitution of the United States.
305. HMG has known for years now that the 9/11 Congressional Commission's hypothetical accounts of the events that day are physically and scientifically impossible but its ministers have failed to correct the record. This has caused harm, loss and injury to men and women as HMG has used falsehoods to unlawfully justify restrictions on the rights and liberties of the people of the UK and other jurisdictions.

Physical impossibility of the 9/11 Commission's Account

306. The 9/11 Commission account of events is disproven by the scientific and physical impossibility of jet airliners flying at the speeds which radar shows the objects went into the Twin Towers so close to sea level.
307. Radar data shows that the object which was alleged to be Flight AA11, which went into the WTC North Tower, and the object which was alleged to be Flight UA175, which went into the South Tower, were travelling at speeds of 430mph and 560mph respectively on impact:



I swear that the two graphs above are accurate screenshots taken from Dr Daniel R Bower, Senior Aerospace Engineer, Rada Data Impact Speed Study, AA11 and UA175, National Transportation Safety Board, 7 February 2002,
https://web.archive.org/web/20071219230238/http://911myths.com/images/c/c1/Radar_Data_Impact_Speed_Study-AA11%2C-UA175.pdf

308. This evidence – gathered by Dr Daniel Bower, the Senior Aerospace Engineer at the National Transportation Safety Board, which is responsible for aircraft safety in the US – was provided to the 9/11 Commission in a letter dated 17 July 2003, along with other radar data collected by the NTSB (see page 3 of attachment to letter).

Ronald S Battocchi, General Counsel, National Transportation Safety Board,
 Letter to Daniel Marcus, General Counsel, National Commission on Terrorist Attacks
 Upon the United States, 17 July 2003

309. The Commission does not specifically refer to the speeds in its final report – if it had done, it would have given the game away. The Commission does though otherwise rely heavily on the radar data to form its conclusions about the events of that day. In its final report, there is nothing to indicate other than it accepted these impact speeds yet still attributed them to ‘Flight AA11’ and ‘Flight UA175’.
310. We know these recorded speeds for the two planes are not an error for four reasons. The speed of the second object at least has been verified in an independent investigation by Richard D Hall. Based on the video footage’s record of the time taken for it to cross New York and hit the tower in relation to the distance covered by the object, he calculated that ‘UA Flight 175’ was travelling at around 580mph.

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/w0xO2uYqV9ZB/>

311. The manufacturer of the 767, Boeing, has confirmed that their jetliners cannot fly that fast so close to sea-level. A spokeswoman, Leslie Hazzard, told a 9/11 investigator, there was: 'Not a chance' the aircraft could be going at 500 mph at 700 feet altitude.

Call To Boeing, 767 Speed, World Trade Centre, Youtube, 15 August 2011
<https://www.bitchute.com/video/w0xO2uYqV9ZB/>

312. In addition, the impossible speed has been sworn under oath by a former pilot:

The argument that the energy of the mass of the Boeing 767 at a speed of 540 mph fails because:

- a. No Boeing 767 could attain that speed at 1,000 feet above sea level because of parasite drag which doubles with velocity and parasite power which cubes with velocity.
- b. The fan portion of the engine is not designed to accept the volume of dense air at that altitude and speed.

John Lear, Sworn statement, paragraph 8D, 28 January 2008
https://morganreynolds.files.wordpress.com/2012/02/080128_94affidavitlear.pdf

313. If Lear's sworn statement was wrong, then the powers-that-be had a duty to rebut it. I can find no record of them having done so and believe that none exists. His account is supported by scientific analysis:



The speeds are based on the weight ranges in the A1NM Type Certificate data sheet which also give an altitude range:

VD = 420 KCAS to 17,854 ft/.91M above 23,000 ft, linear variation between these points.

The above diagram [see below] is good from sea level, up to almost 18,000 feet. Above that, the Vg diagram moves to the left. In other words, structural failure speeds are less in terms of Indicated at higher altitudes. Real pilots can see this as they climb.

The VMO indicator (Barber pole) actually moves to a lower airspeed once you climb above the crossover altitude. The reason for this is the aircraft is no longer limited by raw dynamic pressure, rather it is now becoming limited by the effects of Mach.

Quoted at page 55, 9/11 to Covid-19, Fakery and the War waged on Mankind, David Shayler
Book of the Law, 17 February 2022
https://www.bookofthelaw.org/downloads/911_to_covid19_fakery_and_the_war_waged_on_mankind.pdf



I swear the above is a true screenshot of two graphs available at http://pilotsfor911truth.org/wtc_speed_part2.html until February 2020, mentioned at page on page 55, 9/11 to Covid-19: Fakery and the War waged on Mankind, Book of the Law, 17 February 2022 https://www.bookofthelaw.org/downloads/911_to_covid19_fakery_and_the_war_waged_on_mankind.pdf

314. Although this evidence and analysis was available on The Pilots for 9/11 Truth website under unique reference number http://pilotsfor911truth.org/wtc_speed_part2.html until February 2020, it has since been removed. No reason has been given for its removal.
315. The immediate damage done to the Twin Towers or the Pentagon is not consistent with them being hit by planes as there is no fracturing or decomposition of the objects hitting the North and South Towers.



I swear that this sequence of images is a series of screenshots taken from footage filmed by Antonio Rosario of Spiegel TV on the day of 9/11

316. There is no evidence the objects left a wake vortex – or hot vapour trail – behind them, which jet engines do, as the subsequent explosive balls of smoke and fire recorded at the North Tower and South Tower of the WTC did not distort in a way consistent with this.
317. A comparison of audio from the Naudet brothers' footage of the object that the 9/11 Commissioners claim is 'Flight AA11' demonstrates that the sound made by the object in the sky closely resembles the 'whistling' sound of a missile and not the low rumbling a jet engine would make at such a low altitude.

9/11 Planes v Cruise Missile Audio Comparison, Bitchute, 7 November 2019
<https://www.bitchute.com/video/aRAibmUPWRIo/>
318. In addition, very few witnesses to the attacks on the Twin Towers mention hearing planes before seeing them, as would have been the case if the objects had been planes.
319. The same conclusion applies to the object which went into the Pentagon. Although the Pentagon has many CCTV cameras around it, only images from two cameras have been released.



I swear this is a true representation of the above images as released by the Pentagon with the wrong date and time stamp

320. Even then, they are incorrectly dated and timed. It is the duty of those who support the 9/11 Commission's account of the attacks to resolve this. In any case, a computer enhanced close-up of the vapour trail left by the object, created for the BBC's Conspiracy Files programme, shows it has a clear corkscrew shape:



I swear the above screenshot is a true representation of the enhanced image of the object and its trail taken from 47min31 in 9/11 and the British Broadcasting Conspiracy

321. A jet engine does not leave a visible vapour trail so close to sea level. Certain missiles do though leave a corkscrew vapour trail. Given this information, the apparent appearance of a plane in the image above is most likely explained by it being a hologram surrounding a missile.
322. Additional evidence from images taken shortly after the attack on the Pentagon shows damage to the building inconsistent with it being hit by a jetliner. The image below is reported to have been taken from the back of the C-ring of the Pentagon, meaning that the object causing the damage would have had to pass through several reinforced concrete walls:



I swear that this screenshot is a true representation of an image taken at 48min33, 9/11 and the British Broadcasting Conspiracy

323. This level of penetration could be achieved with a missile but not a plane.
324. We can therefore conclude with a high degree of certainty that the attacks on the Twin Towers of the WTC and the Pentagon were carried out using missiles, probably Tomahawks, surrounded by holograms – technology that is beyond the reach of Islamic terrorist groups but is within the capabilities of the US military.

Proof of the existence of hologram technology

325. US military analysts had recognised holograms as part of the new 'psywar' – or war waged by psychological deception and mind control – before the 9/11 attacks.

326. In 1999, Timothy L Thomas, a retired US army lieutenant colonel, wrote a book about Psy War, where he specifically drew on holograms as part of the war of deception:

Holograms are also being considered for their value in propaganda productions, such as morphing images of political leaders. Soldiers require training to recognise misleading information produced from holograms, voice synthesis or other psychological tricks.

Soon both sides will have the ability to use holograms and other IT manifestations that will offer the opportunity to completely fool one another.

Timothy L Thomas (USA Ret.) analyst at the Foreign Military Studies Office, Information Technology: US/Russian Perspectives and Potential for Military-Political Cooperation, Macmillan, 1999
<https://web.archive.org/web/20060217030720/http://fmso.leavenworth.army.mil/documents/infotech.htm>

Part of PSYWAR is a fourth type of war, more important than land, sea and air warfare. [...Its] techniques include image projection technology (holograms) and camouflage by transfiguration to deceive an enemy.

Mind control can be achieved via electronic wave technology, sound and microwave weapons.

Timothy L Thomas, Russian and Chinese Information Warfare: Theory and Practice, Foreign Military Studies Office, Fort Leavenworth, Kansas, undated
<https://apps.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/a467510.pdf>

327. There is also plenty of evidence that the technology has existed for some time. As early as 1996, the US Air Force acknowledged the technology existed to project a 3D visual image precisely into a selected area removed from the display generator:

The holographic projector displays a three-dimensional visual image in a desired location, removed from the display generator. The projector can be used for psychological operations and strategic perception management. It is also useful for optical deception and cloaking, providing a momentary distraction when engaging an unsophisticated adversary.

Page, 114, An Operational Analysis for Air Force 2025: An Application of Value-Focused Thinking to Future Air and Space Capabilities, A Research Paper, May 1996
https://web.archive.org/web/20010711074909/http://www.au.af.mil/au/2025/volume4/chap03/b5_6.htm

328. A scientific paper has explained how the technology projects an image which can appear real to the observer:

Hologram technology uses a laser to illuminate an object and write its image into a photo-refractive crystal, while another laser projects that image into a liquid scattering material.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/311595352_Invisibility_cloak_with_image_projection_capability

329. At the same time, the technology has been patented:

Holography Image Formation, first filed in US, 24 June 1970

<https://patents.google.com/patent/US3653736>

Optical Control Shaping Beam, first filed in US, 20 February 1967

<https://patents.google.com/patent/US3529887>

Other attacks blamed on Islamic terrorists

330. HMG has also failed to investigate solemnly and with due diligence similar events to 9/11 – like the 7/7 attacks in London in 2005 and the Manchester bombing in May 2017, the bloodiest attacks on British soil in terms of reported deaths and injuries, because in both cases there is evidence linking the British intelligence services – either MI5 or MI6 – to the attacks.

See also Mind the Gap, Official Confusion Productions, July 2006, Vimeo
<https://vimeo.com/423746136>

331. On 10 January 2022, I offered to give my evidence to the enquiry into the Manchester bombing in an email sent to the enquiry's official account, marking it for the attention of John Saunders, the official chairing the investigation. I have not since received a reply. This constitutes a grave dereliction of duty on the part of John Saunders.

See also The Night of the Bang, Rich Planet TV, 13 June 2020
https://www.richplanet.net/richp_genre.php?ref=283&part=1&gen=99

332. I intended to give evidence stating that Ramadan Abeidi, the father of the reported perpetrator of the Manchester bombing, Salman Abeidi is most likely the man who was codenamed TUNWORTH by MI6 (see paragraphs 335 to 340 below). Ramadan Abeidi is reported to have still been a member of the Libyan Islamic Fighting Group at the time of the Manchester bombing.

<https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2017/may/24/bombers-father-fought-against-gaddafi-regime-with-terrorist-group>

333. The Libyan Fighting Islamic Group has been held responsible for the attack on Colonel Gaddafi in Libya in 1996, which I disclosed to HMG on 31 July 1998 via my solicitor John Wadham, then Director of the human rights group, Liberty:

The LIFG was a Sunni jihadist group that aimed to depose Qaddafi and reestablish an Islamic state in Libya. In its first communiqué in 1995, the LIFG accused Qaddafi's government of apostasy and stated its goal of establishing Shariah law in Libya.

https://cisac.fsi.stanford.edu/mappingmilitants/profiles/libyan-islamic-fighting-group#highlight_text_8486

334. According to media reports, the Abeidi family were close associates of Anas al-Libi, reportedly a leading member of Al Qaeda and associate of Osama Bin Laden, based in Didsbury, Manchester. The intelligence services suspect that al-Libi was behind the bombing of US embassies in Kenya and Tanzania in 1998:

It was while in south Manchester that the alleged al-Qaeda commander cemented his relationship with the Abedi family, fellow Libyan exiles and Muslims with a common hatred for their homeland's secular ruler Colonel Muammar Gaddafi.

Al-Libi was respected by Ramadan Abedi, a fellow pillar of a small but tight-knit community of Libyan expats who had made south Manchester their home. Like Ramadan, he had been associated with the Libyan Islamic Fighting Group (LIFG), who opposed Gaddafi. A number of LIFG men, including al-Libi, would also become senior al-Qaeda operatives.

Both al-Libi, and his friend Ramadan Abedi, would be enthusiastic participants in the Arab Spring uprisings which ended in the death in Libya of the hated Gaddafi.

But the hatred didn't end with Gaddafi - it extended to all regimes considered 'godless' according to radical Islamist ideology.

And, at the heart of politically charged chatter inside the Abedi household, were radicals like Ramadan and his friend, al-Libi.

It was this atmosphere which helped to shape the young minds of of [sic] Ramadan's sons Salman and Hashem Abedi, jointly responsible for the bombing of Manchester Arena one Monday night in May 2017, as children were leaving a concert by the American pop star Ariana Grande.

The radicalisation of the brothers can be traced back to the likes of al-Libi and - and even to al-Libi's commander in chief, Osama bin Laden.

John Scheerhout

The Didsbury student who plotted mass murder with Osama bin Laden and helped shape the minds of the Manchester Arena bombers
Manchester Evening News, 20 September 2021

335. In 1995 and 1996, TUNWORTH acted as a conduit for MI6 funding of Islamic terrorists in Libya to assassinate its ruler, Muammar Gaddafi. The terrorists planned to take power in Libya in a coup d'état:

The coup plotters would launch a direct attack on Gaddafi and would either arrest him or kill him. [...]

The military officer said that the plotters would have cars similar to those in Gaddafi's security entourage with fake security number plates. They would infiltrate themselves into the entourage in order to kill or arrest Gaddafi.

CX95/ 53452, 4 December 1995

336. The text of the document is available at <https://cryptome.org/shayler-gaddafi.htm>, with my footnotes to clarify understanding of it and a press release commenting on the results of a Met police investigation which took place three years after I had first blown the whistle on the attack. This period gave men and women in MI6 ample time to doctor or destroy evidence implicating them in terrorism and murder.
337. The police investigation nevertheless found 'relevant material', contradicting a statement by then Foreign Secretary, Robin Cook, that my account was pure fantasy with no basis in fact (see below).
338. When I used a lawful route to alert Prime Minister Tony Blair, who will have sworn the Oath of Office to lawfully enter office, to the MI6 conspiracy with Islamic terrorists, I was imprisoned in France after HMG filed an urgent extradition request against me with the French government.
339. Rather than hear my evidence about MI6's role in terrorism and funding those sharing the philosophy of Al Qaeda, who were at the time assessed by MI5 to pose a significant threat to the safety and interests of the British people, the Prime Minister had me locked up. This prompted the BBC to show a Panorama investigation based on an interview with me, carried out before my arrest.
- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lb9aFSraUE0>
See also
Chapters 10,15 and 17, Spies' Lies and Whistleblowers
<https://www.scribd.com/doc/57439336/Spies-Lies-and-Whistle-Blowers>
340. While I was detained in prison in France as a result of HMG's request to extradite me, the Foreign Secretary in the Blair Cabinet, Robin Cook, claimed that my disclosure was 'pure fantasy [...] with no basis in fact'. In the interview on BBC's Breakfast with Frost programme, he confirmed that the Conservative Foreign Secretary at the time of the attack in 1996, Malcom Rifkind, had not given permission for the operation, making it unlawful under English law.
- <http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/uk/147940.stm>
341. After I had spent four months in prison, the French appeal court declared my 'offence' to be political and therefore exempt from the terms of the extradition treaty so I was freed.
- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-PzIZs77VXs>
342. In my case, the powers-that-be were actually aware of my evidence showing the crimes and failures of the British intelligence services. However, because ministers and MPs hadn't actually heard it from the horse's mouth and confirmed it by investigation, they were able to ignore it when it came to assessing the reliability of evidence and intelligence relating to the 9/11 attacks and the allegation that Iraq was harbouring weapons of mass destruction.
343. The British state has a woeful record for establishing the truth and ensuring that justice is done in a timely fashion in cases involving the intelligence services or police malpractice.
344. The powers-that-be also hid the unreliable nature of intelligence presented to the Bloody Sunday enquiry to falsely indicate that Irish republicans caused the events of that day.

Bloody Sunday

345. On 30 January 1972, British soldiers turned on demonstrators at a civil rights parade in Derry, Northern Ireland, wounding 26 demonstrators and killing 14, all of them Catholics. The first government enquiry, the Widgery Tribunal, held in the aftermath, cleared the soldiers and their command of blame. The enquiry accepted their claims that they shot at gunmen and bomb-throwers. At the time, the report was widely criticised as a 'whitewash'.
346. In 1998, the Saville enquiry was set up to re-investigate what happened that day. Following a twelve-year investigation, Saville's report was made public in 2010, nearly forty years after the killings. It concluded that the killings were 'unjustified' and 'unjustifiable'. Lord Saville found that all of those shot were unarmed, that no bombs were thrown, that none were posing a serious threat and that soldiers knowingly put forward false accounts to justify firing on demonstrators.
347. During the enquiry, MI5 submitted evidence from an agent codenamed Infliction claiming that Martin McGuinness, a leading member of the republican political party Sinn Féin, had admitted firing a shot which provoked the violent response of British soldiers. In 2003, I gave evidence to the enquiry stating that MI5 knew this agent was a 'bullshitter'. MI6 redacted the assessment of Infliction's reliability – 'not fully established' – from a document which was part of its submission to the enquiry.
- See also Chapter 19, Spies, Lies and Whistleblowers, MI5, MI6 and the Shayler Affair
<https://www.scribd.com/doc/57439336/Spies-Lies-and-Whistle-Blowers>
348. Because I was able to blow the whistle, the relatives of those gunned down by British soldiers finally got justice – after nearly 40 years of campaigning.
349. In the cases of the deaths of Liverpool fans at Hillsborough and the murders of Stephen Lawrence and Daniel Morgan, it took decades for the relatives of the deceased to get justice.
350. In these cases – which all involve either corrupt police or the reported involvement of the security services – the media has conspired with the powers-that-be to undermine the campaigners seeking justice.

Waging war

Performing my duty under the Law

351. In September 2001, shortly after the 9/11 attacks on the US, I went on to public platforms to warn people that it was negligent and criminal to invade Afghanistan without first properly establishing that Osama Bin Laden and 19 Muslim terrorists had been behind the 9/11 attacks. I also pointed out that history had shown us that no military force had ever successfully controlled the whole of Afghanistan so any invasion and occupation was likely to fail in the long run.
352. In August 2021, after a 20-year occupation, Nato forces were obliged to hastily withdraw from the country allowing the Taliban they had set out to

replace – in the false belief that they were connected to the 9/11 attacks by giving safe haven to Osama Bin Laden – to resume power.

353. In the year running up to the invasion of Iraq in Spring 2003, I spoke out about the unlawful nature of the proposed invasion and the detrimental effect it was likely to have on the stability of the region. I made it clear that, from my experience in MI5, Saddam Hussein had not had time to develop the programmes of nuclear, chemical or biological warfare that were being alleged to justify the invasion.
354. Before each invasion happened, I made it absolutely clear that these military actions stood to act as a clarion call to many people, particularly young men, to take up arms to defend themselves and their family and friends, as had happened after the Bloody Sunday massacre in Northern Ireland twenty years earlier. Or for them to take up the philosophy and practices of Al Qaeda – which happened with the rise of Isis, Al Qaeda rebranded – in the surrounding countries, destabilising the region and causing millions to be murdered, injured or displaced.
355. By its very nature, intelligence is 'conspiracy theory' in the sense that it is predicated on anonymous sources who cannot be cross-examined like a normal witness. In the case of the Iraq's Weapons of Mass Destruction (hereafter 'IWMD report'), published in September 2020, the intelligence was contradicted by a witness who could be questioned, a defector from the Iraqi army who insisted that Saddam Hussein did not have the weapons in question.

Chapter 22, Spies, Lies and Whistleblowers, MI5, MI6 and the Shayler Affair,
Annie Machon, May 2005
<https://www.scribd.com/doc/57439336/Spies-Lies-and-Whistle-Blowers>

356. Like 'conspiracy theorists', the authors of the report also used selective evidence, consciously ignoring intelligence from agents who expressly stated that Saddam did not have the weapons. The report also neglected to point out useful context:
- a. objectively verifiable satellite photos had shown no data consistent with the preparation or movement of WMDs.
 - b. the US had insisted a few years earlier that Saddam had a chemical weapons factory, which turned out to be an innocuous pharmaceuticals plant – but only after the US had bombed it and killed innocent people.
357. In 2016, the enquiry into the war, chaired by Sir John Chilcott, concluded the war had no clear basis in law because peaceful alternatives had not been exhausted:
- a. Saddam Hussein did not pose an urgent threat to British interests;
 - b. intelligence regarding WMDs was presented to give it a certainty it did not merit;
 - c. the UN Security Council had not sanctioned the invasion.
- <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-politics-36721645>
358. This is as close as any British judge will ever come to openly concluding and declaring that members of Her Majesty's Government, including the former monarch, Elizabeth II, committed a war crime.

The 45-minute claim re Weapons of Mass Destruction

359. The most eye-catching claim in the IWMD report was the allegation that Iraq could launch 'biological and chemical' weapons at 45 minutes notice, which prompted front page newspaper headlines enormously influencing public opinion – was later publicly established to refer not to long range warheads – which could hit UK interests – but battlefield munitions with a limited range. But by that time, the US and UK coalition had already invaded Iraq.
360. The Prime Minister knew this at the time of publication, yet he used this disinformation uncritically to support HMG's case for war by claiming that the people of the UK were under threat of biological and chemical attack and needed to be defended by military action. Waging war is a terrible crime in the first place but lying to wage war is even worse as it constitutes the offence of fraud under the Law and undermines confidence in HMG and parliament.
361. Like the wilder and more excitable 'conspiracy theorists' out there, those acting on behalf of HMG relentlessly hounded an informed critic of their case, government scientist Dr David Kelly. In this case, if you believe the official story, they drove him to commit suicide, far worse than anything achieved by the usual conspiracy types on the net.
362. However, HMG failed to hold a formal inquest into this suspicious death. It instead held a wider enquiry chaired by Mr Justice Hutton, in which witnesses did not give their evidence under oath and could therefore be dishonest in their accounts without fear of prosecution for perjury. The enquiry was held to be a whitewash using cherry picked evidence. I offered to give evidence to the enquiry but did not receive a reply.
363. It has also emerged that the intelligence in the Iraq's Weapons of Mass Destruction report was not extensive and comprehensive as claimed by Blair in the report itself. It revealed the very opposite: the intelligence services were making assessments on the basis of very little information and where it existed at all was of no better provenance than rumour and gossip.
364. But I already knew this at the time. When in MI5, I had researched the threat from the Libyan regime. I came to the following conclusion: 'The Security Service does not have enough reliable intelligence to come to an informed assessment about any threat the Libyan regime may pose to the UK'.
365. Strangely enough this thoroughly researched Box 500 report never went out to HMG or other government departments and agencies. It would though have been a useful fact for HMG, the media and the people to have known when assessing the reliability of the IWMD report.
366. In reality, military action against Iraq was motivated by the economic interests of the US. In October 2000, the Iraqi regime, which at the time had the world's second largest oil supply, began to sell its oil in Euros rather than dollars. Until then, the dollar was the only currency used to trade oil, creating a demand for the US currency. If other nations had followed Iraq's example, the dollar would have become worthless as since 1971 – when it came off the international gold standard – the US currency had not had the intrinsic value of being backed by gold reserves.

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/2003/feb/16/iraq.theeuro#:~:text=A%20bizarre%20political%20statement%20by,for%20the%20more%20multilateral%20euro>.

367. In 2011, Nato, of which the UK is a member, took military action in Libya, supposedly to prevent humanitarian atrocities. However, the action was really predicated – as in the case of military action in Iraq – on the need to protect the US dollar:

According to more than a few observers, Gadhafi's plan to stop selling Libyan oil in U.S. dollars — demanding payment instead in gold-backed 'dinars', a single African currency made from gold — was the real cause. The regime, sitting on massive amounts of gold, estimated at close to 150 tons, was also pushing other African and Middle Eastern governments to follow suit.

It had the potential to bring down the dollar and by extension the world monetary system, according to analysts.

Page 29, The Book of Reckoning, The Third and Final Testament, Part 2
https://www.bookofthelaw.org/downloads/The_Third_and_Final_Testament_Part_2.pdf

<http://thenewamerican.com/economy/markets-mainmenu-45/9743-gadhafis-gold-money-plan-would-have-devastated-dollar>

368. Protection of the monetary system – or 'Mammon', as it is referred to in the Bible as 'the root of all evil' – is not a lawful reason for waging war or military aggressive action, especially because the usury on which the monetary system is based is unlawful. According to the Holy Bible, a man cannot serve God and Mammon (Luke 16:13).
369. Iraq is now ruled by a military dictatorship which works in interests of the US regime rather than the Iraqi people and Libya has descended into chaos with men, women and children being openly sold into slavery.
370. During the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq, Nato and the coalition respectively – of which men and women loyal to the monarch were a part – deployed the chemical weapons white phosphorus and depleted uranium against civilian populations.

The Principles of Nuremberg

371. However, it is clear that under the Principles of International Law Recognised in the Charter of the Nuremberg Tribunal and in the Judgment of the Tribunal, hereafter 'the Principles of Nuremberg', that the actions of HMG over the last twenty five years constitute war crimes and crimes against humanity:

Principle I

Any person who commits an act which constitutes a crime under international law is responsible therefore and liable to punishment.

Principle II

The fact that internal law does not impose a penalty for an act which constitutes a crime under international law does not relieve the person who committed the act from responsibility under international law.

Principle III

The fact that a person who committed an act which constitutes a crime under international law acted as Head of State or responsible Government official does not relieve him from responsibility under international law.

Principle IV

The fact that a person acted pursuant to order of his Government or of a superior does not relieve him from responsibility under international law, provided a moral choice was in fact possible to him.

Principle V

Any person charged with a crime under international law has the right to a fair trial on the facts and law.

Principle VI

The crimes hereinafter set out are punishable as crimes under international law:

(a) Crimes against peace:

- (i) Planning, preparation, initiation or waging of a war of aggression or a war in violation of international treaties, agreements or assurances;
- (ii) Participation in a common plan or conspiracy for the accomplishment of any of the acts mentioned under (i).

(b) War crimes:

Violations of the laws or customs of war which include, but are not limited to, murder, ill-treatment or deportation to slave-labour or for any other purpose of civilian population of or in occupied territory, murder or ill-treatment of prisoners of war, of persons on the seas, killing of hostages, plunder of public or private property, wanton destruction of cities, towns, or villages, or devastation not justified by military necessity.

(c) Crimes against humanity:

Murder, extermination, enslavement, deportation and other inhuman acts done against any civilian population, or persecutions on political, racial or religious grounds, when such acts are done or such persecutions are carried on in execution of or in connection with any crime against peace or any war crime.

Principle VII

Complicity in the commission of a crime against peace, a war crime, or a crime against humanity as set forth in Principle VI is a crime under international law.

https://www.nurembergacademy.org/fileadmin/media/pdf/The_Nuremberg_Principles_International_Law_Commission_7_1_1950.pdf

372. In 1950, the International Law Commission submitted these principles to the General Assembly of the United Nations, following the trials of members of the German government which took place from 20th November 1945 to 1st October 1946 at the Palace of Justice in Nuremberg, Germany.
373. After hearing the evidence during the trials, The International Military Tribunal agreed with the prosecution that aggression was the gravest charge against the accused, stating in its judgement that because war in general is evil:

To initiate a war of aggression, therefore, is not only an international crime; it is the supreme international crime differing only from other war crimes in that it contains within itself the accumulated evil of the whole.

Kirsten Sellars, *'Crimes Against Peace' and International Law*.
Cambridge University Press, 2013

374. The report with these Nuremberg Principles, together with commentaries, later appeared in the Yearbook of the International Law Commission, 1950.
375. Although there have been legal opinions quibbling with the justice behind the Principles where allegations of war crimes and crimes against humanity have been met with the 'I was just following orders' defence, these are answered in Principle IV which recognises that a 'moral choice' must be in fact possible for the defendant in the circumstances in which his actions were committed.
376. It has been universally accepted that waging a war of aggression is the worst form of crime because it causes the severest harm, loss and injury to men, women and children.
377. The Principles of Nuremberg define crimes against peace, war crimes and crimes against humanity along with individual responsibility for them and the right to a fair trial so are therefore consistent with the Law and its Principles.

The lessons which must be learnt

378. In both the case of Afghanistan and Iraq, the US-led invasions were based on a failure to act with due diligence with regard to faulty intelligence and evidence – or the lack of them – and their assessment of them on the part of the British intelligence services.
379. The services failed to show the requisite courage, when the respective leaders of their countries, George Bush and Tony Blair, pressured them to find to find a case for war. For this, they have blood on their hands.
380. Given that the powers-that-be are again now using a dubious case to wage a proxy war against a country they wrongly perceive to be a threat to the people of the UK and their interests – in this case, Russia – to justify military action, it is imperative that HMG takes evidence about the misinformation used to justify the invasion and occupation of Afghanistan on the part of Nato.
381. Otherwise, there is an enormous, current risk that any Nato action will provoke a third world war, in which the lives of British soldiers will be unnecessarily sacrificed along with those in the civilian populations of the world. Once again, young people who signed up in the service of their country will be turned into war criminals, not heroes, while God's children will suffer and die.
382. It was also no co-incidence that the decision of HMG, the US government and the North Atlantic Treaty Organisation – with the unquestioning compliance of UK domestic and international mainstream media and international and Non-Governmental Organisations – to provoke Russia into a humanitarian military action – to protect the Russian-speaking people of the Donbass region from crimes of aggression and war and crimes against humanity carried out by the Ukrainian military – was predicated at the very time that people were waking up to the fraudulent nature of the 'Covid-19 pandemic'.

The 'Covid-19' Pandemic

Background

383. According to the official narrative, the 'Covid' pandemic began on 12 December 2019, when two men and a woman linked to an open-air, wholesale seafood market in Wuhan, Hubei province, central China, were admitted to hospital, displaying symptoms associated with coronaviruses (but also other diseases): fever, dry cough, breathing difficulties, headache and pneumonia. They did not respond well to traditional antibiotics.
384. Scientists currently think there are six types of 'coronavirus' which cause disease in humans. Four of them — 229E, OC43, NL63, and HKU1 — are prevalent and said to typically cause common only cold symptoms in individuals in good health. Although the other two coronaviruses, SARS-Cov and SARS-Mers, provoked apocalyptic headlines across the world in 2003 and 2012 respectively, they were in reality only responsible for miniscule numbers of cases and a negligible number of deaths worldwide, according to official WHO figures:

SARS-Cov: 8,098 cases; 774 associated deaths during the 2003 outbreak.

<https://www.cdc.gov/sars/about/fs-sars.html>

SARS-MERS: 2,578 cases, 888 associated deaths by end of October 2012

<http://www.emro.who.int/health-topics/mers-cov/mers-outbreaks.html>

385. By 26 January 2020, Chinese doctors had identified 2,794 cases with similar symptoms to the three individuals originally admitted to hospital in Wuhan, which led to 80 deaths – in a population of 11,212,000 people – with a further 33 deaths reported in ten other countries. On this basis, the Chinese powers-that-be concluded that there was a novel, highly contagious deadly disease.

The unreliability of medical research

386. Before considering the reliability of the evidence concerning the 'virus' SARS-Cov2 and the pandemic, it is worth examining what the medical literature and informed analysis have to say about the reliability of medical research itself, evidence that was available to the ministers of HMG when they made decisions resulting from the alleged pandemic affecting the rights and liberties of the British people.
387. That research clearly shows that the process of peer-review has been undermined by the pursuit of pharmaceutical industry research grants and profits making peer-reviewed medical literature – in the conclusion of two eminent doctors – wholly unreliable:

Everyone should know that most cancer research is largely a fraud, and that the major cancer research organisations are derelict in their duties to the people who support them.

Linus Pauling, PhD, and two-time Nobel Prize winner
<https://allauthor.com/quotes/66435/>

It is simply no longer possible to believe much of the clinical research that

is published, or to rely on the judgment of trusted physicians or authoritative medical guidelines. I take no pleasure in this conclusion, which I reached slowly and reluctantly over my two decades as an editor of the New England Journal of Medicine.

Dr Marcia Angell, physician and long-time editor in chief
of the New England Medical Journal

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4572812/>

388. Writing in The Lancet in 2015, Richard Horton, editor-in-chief of the magazine and a medically qualified doctor, backed their conclusions:

The case against science is straightforward: much of the scientific literature, perhaps half, may simply be untrue. Afflicted by studies with small sample sizes, tiny effects, invalid exploratory analyses, and flagrant conflicts of interest, together with an obsession for pursuing fashionable trends of dubious importance, science has taken a turn towards darkness.

In their quest for telling a compelling story, scientists too often sculpt data to fit their preferred theory of the world. Or they retrofit hypotheses to fit their data. Journal editors aid and abet the worst behaviours.

Richard Horton, What is medicine's 5 sigma?

The Lancet, 11 April 2005

[https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736\(15\)60696-1/fulltext](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(15)60696-1/fulltext)

389. He also drew attention to the politicisation of science in the 'Covid pandemic', with regard to the misrepresentation of medical data, in the light of the Omicron variant and the feud between US congressman Rand Paul and Dr Tony Fauci, director of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases or NIAID – part of the US Institute for Health responsible for advising the US federal government on health matters.

[https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736\(22\)00093-9/fulltext](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(22)00093-9/fulltext)

390. Horton's conclusions are supported by the earlier findings of John Ioannidis, a professor of medicine, statistics and bio-data science at Stanford University, who applied statistical analysis to a number of published studies. He established that:

Simulations show that for most study designs and settings, it is more likely for a research claim to be false than true. Moreover, for many current scientific fields, claimed research findings may often be simply accurate measures of the prevailing bias.

John Ioannidis, Why Most Published Research Findings Are False,
Public Library of Science, 30 August 2005

<https://journals.plos.org/plosmedicine/article?id=10.1371/journal.pmed.0020124>

391. He identifies several areas which help create false conclusions. For example, modelling the framework creates false positive findings meaning any results are simply accurate measures of the prevailing bias in science. He found that this applies to most research designs in most fields. His paper was accessed 3million times, the most for any paper published by the Public Library of Science.

<https://profiles.stanford.edu/john-ioannidis>

392. Another serious concern is how conflict of interest compromises researchers' ability to assess objectively and in an unbiased fashion the data they collect, particularly in industry-funded studies, which are often designed in a way that is more likely to produce favourable results to the backer. Even then, the decision to publish the results may be affected by the favourability of the findings to the corporation funding the research. We simply cannot know much scientific research contradicting the prevailing orthodoxies of modern science has been effectively censored by not being published.

393. In a study published in 2003, Bodil Als-Nielsen, a researcher at the Trial Unit of the Centre for Clinical Intervention Research at Copenhagen University Hospital, found that almost 75% of US clinical trials in medicine were paid for by private companies and that raw data were only considered evidence once they had been interpreted in a way that reflected scientific thinking.

https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/?term=Als-Nielsen+B&cauthor_id=12928469

394. In one case, an experimental drug was recommended as treatment of choice in 16% of trials funded by non-profit organisations, 30% of trials not reporting funding, 35% of trials funded by both non-profit and for-profit organisations, and 51% of trials funded by for-profit organizations. In the world of drug research, it appears that 'he who pays the piper, calls the tune'.

395. This holds particularly true for research which is likely to be used by the pharmaceutical industry – to create a market for its products – even after being supposedly subjected to peer-review as part of the publication process in prestigious journals.

396. In the studies that claim to have isolated 'SARS-Cov2' we review below, we see all of these criticisms made manifest, poor design of the trial, confirmation bias; a failure to apply the scientific method and a willingness to tailor results, if not to the corporation funding the studies, then to ensure that future funding is secured from these or other sympathetic bodies.

397. Academic publishing is in itself a highly lucrative market and is therefore cursed by the corruption which money seems to bring to anything it touches.

398. According to research in The Guardian from 2017, the academic publishing market was then worth \$19billion (£14billion) with a profit margin of around 40%, comparable to the tobacco industry. A successful non-academic publisher makes a profit of around 12-15%.

<https://www.theguardian.com/science/2017/jun/27/profitable-business-scientific-publishing-bad-for-science>

399. According to The Guardian article, 50% of the academic publishing market is controlled by five publishing houses: Elsevier, Black & Wiley, Taylor & Francis, Springer Nature and SAGE – not to be confused with the British Scientific Advisory Group for Emergencies – with the largest, Elsevier, controlling around 16% of the total market with more than 3000 academic journals.

400. The ultimate scam is that governments have to pay the publications for access to research they have themselves funded. In other words, taxpayers fund the research then have to pay again to access the material they have funded.

Lack of controls in 'virus' experiments

401. Scientific study is meaningless without a control, with which to compare the findings of any enquiry. That virologists have presented their findings and been taken seriously without ever adopting this basic tenet of scientific research is most likely explained by the fact the pharmaceutical industry funds them and makes so much money developing treatments, based on the resulting germ theory of disease, which bring in long term revenue rather than cures which do not.
402. Without an uninfected sample –or a placebo group in the case of a new drug trial – to check the findings against, any observation and conclusion are meaningless, as any true scientist will tell you.
403. Imagine, for example, you want to trial a new medical drug for a new disease. You give it to 200 people who are all showing symptoms of the disease. Six weeks later, 175 of them have recovered, 10 still have it and 15 have died. You might be tempted to think this was a miracle cure. But without controls, I have no idea if the drug worked to cure people or kill them.
404. But if I give 200 people showing symptoms the new drug (and get the same results as above) but also monitor another 200 people showing symptoms without giving them the new drug and 195 of them get well and 5 die, then it is obvious that it is not the drug that is curing them. In fact, the evidence shows the drug is killing them. (In practice, the trial would also need a placebo-control group, which is told they are getting the drug but in reality are given a sugar pill, because medical studies have shown that even the application of a suggested cure can work to combat a disease in the recipient).
405. In the case of all the so-called 'virus isolation' studies cited below, the researchers should have undertaken the same processes on a sample which did not come from an infected specimen – that is an individual who had not displayed similar symptoms or on samples which had not been exposed to the disease – in order to check whether the same or similar evidence could be observed under the electron microscope after being added to the Vero solution and toxified (in line with Rivers' Postulates, see paragraph 418 below)
406. Why wasn't this pointed out by the qualified scientists carrying out the peer-review process?
407. Where a control has been used in an experiment to 'isolate a virus', the data showed there was no difference in the results for an 'infected' material and non-infected material. We can therefore conclude that the hypothesis that viruses cause disease is null and void:

All claims about viruses as pathogens are wrong and are based on easily recognisable, understandable and verifiable misinterpretations. [...]

All scientists who think they are working with viruses in laboratories are actually working with typical particles of specific dying tissues or cells which were prepared in a special way. They believe that those tissues and cells are dying because they were infected by a virus. In reality, the infected cells and tissues were dying because they were starved and poisoned as a consequence of the experiments in the lab. [...]

The death of the tissue and cells takes place in the exact same manner when no 'infected' genetic material is added at all. The virologists have apparently not noticed this fact. According to [...] scientific logic and the

rules of scientific conduct, control experiments should have been carried out. In order to confirm the newly discovered method of so-called 'virus propagation' ... scientists would have had to perform additional experiments, called negative control experiments, in which they would add sterile substances [...] to the cell culture.

These control experiments have never been carried out by the official 'science' to this day. During the measles virus trial, I commissioned an independent laboratory to perform this control experiment and the result was that the tissues and cells die due to the laboratory conditions in the exact same way as when they come into contact with alleged 'infected' material.

The Misconception called 'Virus', Dr Stefan Lanka,
Wissenshaftplus, January 2020
<https://davidicke.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Paper-Virus-Lanka-002.pdf>

See also:

Why Virology is a Fake Science, Dr Stefan Lanka, 10th November 2021
<https://stateofthenation.co/?p=95400>

Stefan Lanka, Dismantling the Virus, Wissenshaftplus, June 2015
<https://wissenshaftplus.de/uploads/article/Dismantling-the-Virus>

Interview with Dr Stefan Lanka, CPE - Control Experiment, 21 April 2021
[https://odysee.com/\\$/embed/cpe-english/faf4b8e1ef54a290f15b6e3b813f6b89e1808fff?](https://odysee.com/$/embed/cpe-english/faf4b8e1ef54a290f15b6e3b813f6b89e1808fff?)

408. Just because a research paper has been peer-reviewed doesn't make its findings reliable because science has become highly politicised and medical publishing is a lucrative industry with high profit margins, subject to the corruption that money brings to men and women without principles. The lack of peer-review is not though a reason in itself to dismiss the findings of any research, but is a reason to check its data and findings for yourself and with other experts and their findings.

Fraudulent use of the word 'isolation'

409. In their November 2021 review of the Covid fraud, Dr Mark Bailey and Dr John Bevan-Smith identified 'isolation' as the first of the four pillars propping up the Covid fraud. Here, we find that virologists are not using the word 'isolate' in the way most people would understand it:

Verb

Chemistry Biology

Obtain or extract (a compound, microorganism, etc.) in a pure form.

Noun

Biology

A culture of microorganisms isolated for study.

Lexicon, Oxford English Dictionary

<https://www.lexico.com/definition/isolate>

410. If I boil up peas and carrots in the same pan of water, then drain the water off, would I consider what I had left as 'isolated' peas or carrots. Of course not. If I mashed them all together with, say, potato, would anyone seriously think that I had isolated, for example, peas from the mix, even though I could

see still green fragments that were originally peas? If I then got ill from eating this mixture, would I be able to credibly claim that the peas had caused my illness as opposed to the carrots or potato?

411. The layman would believe that to 'isolate a virus' meant removing organic material to leave only particles which looked similar and which could be sequenced to establish their genome or genetic code. Modern virologists do not carry out this process of filtration and purification, although there are techniques available to them.

Statement on Virus Isolation

412. In a statement on virus isolation released at the end of 2020, Sally Fallon Morell, Dr Andrew Kaufman and Dr Thomas Cowan, author of *The Contagion Myth*, describe the correct way to isolate in the sense of 'purify' a 'virus', using a common virology technique, known and carried out for decades to isolate bacteriophages and so-called giant viruses in every virology lab:

- a. Take samples (blood, sputum, secretions) from many people (say, 500) with symptoms which are unique and specific enough to characterise an illness;
- b. Without mixing these samples with ANY tissue or products that also contain genetic material, macerate, filter and ultracentrifuges the specimen until purified;
- c. Demonstrate with electron microscopy that thousands of identically sized and shaped particles exist.

413. These particles are the isolated and purified 'virus', which can then be checked for uniformity by physical and/or microscopic techniques. Once the purity is determined the structure, morphology, chemical composition and genetic make-up of the particles can be examined using genetic-sequencing techniques, such as Sanger sequencing, that have also been around for decades. Then a final analysis would be carried out to confirm that these uniform particles are exogenous (external) in origin as a 'virus' is conceptualised to be.

414. Even then, the authors conclude:

As of May 2020, we know that virologists have no way to determine whether the particles they're seeing are viruses or just normal breakdown products of dead and dying tissues.

Statement On Virus Isolation,
Dr Andrew Kaufman, Dr Thomas Cowan and Sally Fallon Morell, not dated but available from at least December 2020
<https://andrewkaufmanmd.com/sovi/>

Virus isolation: is it real?

Andrew Kaufman responds to Jeremy Hammond,
Bitchute, 19 January 2021

https://www.bitchute.com/video/UnpfmjmXNH0O/?utm_source=MASTER%20NEWSLETTER%20LIST&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=Virus%20Isolation-%20Is%20it%20Real%3F%20%28YrHAVg%29&_kx=ALPX23ml7vr0vcQl rkX28lnBfaqFs9mucdbbkVL9BA8%3D.UpXyYd

Proof there was no isolated SARS-Cov virus

415. In November 2002 – some months after the appearance of the disease which became known as Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome or SARS in Foshan, Guangdong, China – Nature magazine published an article trumpeting that Koch's Postulates – the gold standard of isolation of microbes and their relationship to the cause of disease and therefore its diagnosis and treatment– had been met for SARS.

Fouchier et al, Koch's postulates fulfilled for SARS virus, Nature, 15 May 2003
<https://www.nature.com/articles/423240a>

416. Koch's postulates were devised and published by Robert Koch, one of the founders of modern bacteriology, in the late 19th century. They use the following criteria for determining whether a microbe has a causal relationship to a disease:

1. The microorganism must be found in abundance in all organisms suffering from the disease, but should not be found in healthy organisms.
2. The microorganism must be isolated from a diseased organism and grown in pure culture.
3. The cultured microorganism should cause disease when introduced into a healthy organism.
4. The microorganism must be reisolated from the inoculated, diseased experimental host and identified as being identical to the original specific causative agent.

417. In 1937, these were revised by Thomas M Rivers, a researcher at the Rockefeller Institute for Medical Research in New York, to identify whether a specific 'virus' caused a particular condition. They vary from Koch's version because, according to current scientific thinking, viruses can only reproduce themselves in cells but not in a pure, non-biological culture, like bacteria can:

1. The particle in the host material must be isolated from any other microorganism.
2. The particle obtained must be cultivated in host cells.
3. The particle obtained must be filtered from those host cells.
4. The 'virus' obtained must then produce the specific disease in another suitable healthy host.
5. Similar material (viral particle) from the newly infected host (test organism) must be isolated and capable of transmitting the specific disease to other healthy hosts.
6. Testing must provide evidence of infection by the detection of a specific immune response to the 'virus'.

Thomas M Rivers, J Bacteriol, 33, 1-12, 1937
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/labs/pmc/articles/PMC545348/pdf/jbacter00773-0005.pdf>

418. Although many people will quote these postulates, they will omit the most pertinent observation of Rivers mentioned in his paper: that the postulates must be used with 'proper controls' and the application of 'common sense, proper training and sound reasoning' (p11, Ibid).

419. In October 2020, Kaufmann published a presentation in which he forensically examined whether the claim made by Nature magazine that Koch's Postulates had been met for SARS-Cov – and SARS-Cov2 – coronaviruses.

Koch's Postulates: Have they been proven for viruses?

Dr Andrew Kaufmann, Bitchute, 6th October 2020

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/qyPL15FmD7xl/>

420. He established that from the outset, the article was highly misleading, serving as a clear example of the misleading nature of material published in medical journals. Although headlined: 'Koch's postulates fulfilled for SARS virus', the report began:

According to Koch's postulates, as modified by Rivers for viral diseases, six criteria are required to establish a virus as the cause of a disease.

The first three criteria – isolation of virus from diseased hosts, cultivation in host cells and proof of filterability – have been met for SCV [SARS Corona Virus] by several groups.

Fouchier et al, Koch's postulates fulfilled for SARS virus,
Nature, 15 May 2003

<https://www.nature.com/articles/423240a>

421. The first line therefore contradicts the eye-catching title of the article: it admits the study is examining the reported existence of a novel virus with reference to River's – and not Koch's – postulates which require a more thorough standard of 'isolation'. It seems that headlines in prestigious scientific journals are no more reliable than those in the tabloid press.

422. The article goes on to state that the first four criteria of River's Postulates have been met in the following four footnoted research papers:

S M Poutanen et al, Identification of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome in Canada, New England Medical Journal, 15 May 2003

<https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa030634>

C Drosten et al, Identification of a Novel Coronavirus in Patients with Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome, New England Medical Journal, 15 May 2003

<https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/nejmoa030747>

T G Ksiazek, et al, Novel Coronavirus Associated with Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome New England Medical Journal, 15 May 2003

<https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/nejmoa030781>

J S M Peiris et al, Coronavirus as a possible cause of severe acute respiratory syndrome, Lancet 361, 19 April 2003

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12711465/>

423. This is the same Dr Drosten who has been discredited over his PCR test paper and his claimed credentials (see The Corman-Drosten Study and the PCR test below). But the claim that these six criteria of River's Postulates have been fulfilled is not correct. Detailed examination of the source material – not discussed in the Nature article – shows that in all of these studies:
- The researchers obtained only generalised genetic material, not an isolated virus;
 - Examination of the obtained material under an electron microscope did not produce evidence of a new 'virus';
 - The genetic material was cultivated with Vero host cells from a monkey kidney, creating contamination;
 - Researchers did not filter the product of this. They simply screened it for bacteria and other 'viruses'.
424. Despite claims to the contrary on the part of Nature, these studies did not therefore prove that the first three of River's Postulates had been met. In the Nature article, Fouchier et al then went on to describe how their work had fulfilled the other three criteria set out by Rivers:
- We have tested for the three remaining criteria: production of comparable disease in the original host species or a related one, re-isolation of the virus, and detection of a specific immune response to the virus. We inoculated two macaques with Vero-cell-cultured SCV [SARS Corona Virus] isolated from a fatal SARS case.
- Fouchier et al, Koch's postulates fulfilled for SARS virus,
Nature, 15 May 2003
<https://www.nature.com/articles/423240a>
425. The authors make the presumption that their sample has been taken from 'a fatal SCV case' but, in the absence of proof of such a 'virus', there is no evidence that the individual in question died from SARS-Cov. The proof there is a 'virus' is the purpose of this study so it is a non-sequitur to claim that what the study is trying to prove exists already exists for the purpose of the study. Again, you don't have to be a scientist to understand that: just a man of reason.
426. Of the two inoculated macaque monkeys, one did show respiratory symptoms but the other did not. The lung pathology findings were also different. The authors did not re-isolate the virus and, although the authors claimed that there had been the requisite specific and unique antibody response, the paper only describes a general antibody response.
427. Rather than meeting all six criteria of River's postulates – let alone all four of Koch's Postulates, as advertised in the article's headline –the study which was limited to two macaques provides no evidence that any of these criteria have been met. Even if the criteria had somehow been met, this does not prove that any isolated material would have caused SARS in a human being.
428. The lead author of the original article, Ron Fouchier, belongs to the SARS Aetiology Study Group, which is part of the WHO, funded by the Bill Gates Foundation, which profits from vaccines.
429. Not a single one of these papers, not the original Nature article, nor the studies it draws upon, use any form of control. Without it, they make any conclusions meaningless. This glaring omission is not mentioned anywhere

in any of their literature, even though it provides a good scientific reason to rebut the findings and conclusions and set it aside until more compelling evidence can be gathered on the subject.

Proof there is no isolated SARS-Cov2 virus

430. I can find no evidence anywhere that there is an isolated SARS-Cov2 virus, despite the claims of so-called 'factcheckers' and other uninformed commentators. The peer-reviewed studies which are widely quoted as having isolated the SAR-Cov2 'virus' are listed below with a later study, published by an arm of the US Centers (sic) for Disease Control, some months later:

Fan Wu et al, A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China Nature, volume 579, 3 February 2020
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32015508/>

Peng Zhou et al, A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin, Nature, volume 579, 3 February 2020
<https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-020-2012-7>

Na Zhu et al, A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, The New England Journal of Medicine, 20 February 2020
<https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/nejmoa2001017>

Jeong-Min Kim et al, Identification of Coronavirus Isolated from a Patient in Korea with COVID-19, Osong Public Health Res Perspect, February 2020
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7045880/>

Caly et al, Isolation and rapid sharing of the 2019 novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) from the first patient diagnosed with COVID-19 in Australia, MJA, 212/10, 9 March 2020
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32237278/>

Jennifer Harcourt et al, Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 from Patient with Coronavirus Disease, United States, Emerging Infectious Diseases, June 2020
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/labs/pmc/articles/PMC7258473/>

431. I repeat Bailey and Bevan-Smith's analysis of the four early papers claiming to have 'isolated the virus' paper by paper here:

Fan Wu et al

It is important to note that the samples sent for sequencing were not physically isolated viruses but crude samples containing millions of unique genetic fragments from the patient himself, innumerable microbes, even from the air the patient had breathed on the way to the hospital. [...]

Thus, a 'genome' that was as close genetically as a human is to an Abyssinian house cat became the template used for primer design for the RT-PCR method to supposedly detect a virus that had not been shown to exist. [...]

One year later, Dr Wu Zunyou of the China CDC, in an interview with Janis Mackey-Frayer, would state that isolation had never taken place: 'They didn't isolate the virus', he said. 'That's the issue [why no data has been

shared]. I do not suspect it's coming from what we originally thought'.

Peng Zhou et al

[This was published in the same edition as the study above even though the paper was received by Nature 13 days later and would therefore have had far less time to be considered for thorough peer-review]

These authors likewise claim successful isolation of what they call '2019-nCoV BetaCoV/Wuhan/WIV04/2019' (EPI_ISL_402124) [SARS-Cov2] but on the basis of the CPEs [Carbapenemase Producing Enterobacteriaceae] they observed in three cell lines. However, these are illegitimate proxies for the postulated infection of a healthy (non-diseased) animal or host. [...]

Bizarrely, on the basis that RNA of unknown provenance was part of the culture in which many cells died by way of induced starvation and stress with cytotoxic [toxic to living cells] ingredients, the authors claimed that they had successfully isolated their virus, 2019-nCoV BetaCoV [SARS-Cov2], not that the cocktail of cytotoxic ingredients had decimated their abnormal cell lines. [...]

In short, Peng Zhou et al fulfilled none of the postulates to identify the virus or confirm it as being causative of any disease [River's Postulates]. The alleged virus had not even been physically isolated and purified for biochemical characterisation and hence remained entirely theoretical.

[It is notable that neither of these studies published electron microscope images of the previously unidentified 'virus' for independent verification]

Na Zhu et al

[The authors] describe their study of lower respiratory tract samples, including bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF), collected from four patients with pneumonia of unknown cause, all of whom had visited the Huanan Seafood Market in Wuhan shortly before their clinical presentation.

Despite claiming isolation of the virus, it is clear that the authors do not mean 'isolation' in the dictionary and postulated sense but virology's substituted antonymic meaning and the substitution of diseased for non-diseased host cells to establish causality between a purported virus and the patient's illness.

Unlike Fan Wu et al and Peng Zhou et al, Na Zhu et al did produce images of what they described as '2019-nCoV particles' but without any verification of their biochemical composition from a purified specimen.

It is simply impossible to establish from the proffered images that the particles are viruses (i.e., infectious and disease causing) or that they contained the alleged SARS-Cov2 genome.

Yet despite this double deception that haunts virology, virologists still cling to their beliefs and their jobs. For as Na Zhu et al put it: 'Although our study does not fulfil Koch's postulates, our analyses provide evidence of *implicating* 2019-nCoV in the Wuhan outbreak'. The basis of this claim seems to be the authors placing arrowheads on extracellular vesicles of unknown composition and christening them '2019-nCoV'.

Leon Caly et al

Leon Caly et al reported using the single case of a 58 year-old man from

Wuhan who 'felt unwell' when he arrived in Melbourne on 19 January 2020. They were unable to find 'virions' [the complete, infective form of a virus outside a host cell, with a core of RNA and a capsid] with the purported spike protein, so they added more trypsin to the cell culture medium, [...] the function of which is to digest proteins, [they ended up with] 'the characteristic crown-like fringe of spike proteins, which, they added without the slightest hint of irony, 'immediately improved virion morphology'.

In other words, when the exosomes did not look like their desired virus, they gave it a little trypsinised encouragement. The alleged virions were not purified so their biochemical composition could not be established: the proffered 'genome' was in fact put together after generating 'approximately 30,000,000 reads' from the tissue culture mix.

pp 11-19, Covid Fraud, War on Humanity,
Dr Mark Bailey and Dr John Bevan-Smith, 11 November 2021.

432. None of these original studies provided an explanation as to how these particles are known to cause disease or whether these very same particles exist inside humans. Although Caly et al do provide electron microscope images of prepared tissues *in vitro*, whether they even resemble living cells is called into question by the Bailey/Bevan-Smith paper, although they admit it is outside the scope of their work to come to any firm conclusion.
433. In the absence of a formal study, we must conclude there is insufficient evidence to make that case.
434. Although the Bailey/Bevan-Smith study was not available until September 2021, some eighteen months into the 'pandemic', any competent man could have pointed out that the results of any experiment are meaningless without a control and any competent and independent biologist could have made the same critiques, if they had been asked by HMG at the outset of the pandemic. I can find no evidence that the ministers of HMG sought any views, evidence or analysis other than that which confirmed their biases.
435. The Bailey/Bevan-Smith study did not consider the Jeong-Min Kim et al paper. The latter authors though discredit themselves in the first paragraph when they boldly state:

Following reports of patients with unexplained pneumonia at the end of December 2019 in Wuhan, China, the causative agent was identified as coronavirus (SARS-Cov2).

436. As we have already discussed, none of the previous three papers published on the subject, Fan Wu et al, Peng Zhou et al and Na Zhu et al, have isolated a 'virus' in any way, let alone established a causal link between what they observed and the symptoms displayed by the subjects they took samples from.
437. These original studies do not even consider their findings against River's Postulates, although one, N Zhu et al admits that their findings do not conform to 'Koch's Postulates'. The Jeong-Min Kim et al paper has a footnote linking to another paper, appearing to verify the source of the claim.

D Paraskevis et al, Full-genome evolutionary analysis of the novel corona virus (2019-nCoV) rejects the hypothesis of emergence as a result of a recent recombination event
Infectious Genet Evolution, 29 January 2020.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/labs/pmc/articles/PMC7106301/>

438. However, this study does not claim to have isolated the 'SARS-Cov2 virus'. It instead fails to find evidence supporting the claim that the novel coronavirus came about as a result of combining with a mutating bat 'virus'. Given that there is no evidence of the SARS-Cov or SARS-Cov2 'viruses' being isolated in the first place, we are left wondering how the authors can stand up the following claim:

Full genome sequencing showed that the virus genome exhibited sequence homology of more than 99.9% with SARS-Cov2 which was isolated from patients from other countries, for instance China. Sequence homology of SARS-CoV-2 with SARS-Cov, and MERS-Cov was 77.5% and 50%, respectively.

Ibid

439. The authors of the study mention using a 'published SARS-CoV-2 DNA sequence', this is a reconstructed laboratory version of the 'virus' (see Genomic Sequencing below).
440. You can of course claim that something you've spliced together by combining bits of RNA shares 99.9% similarity with something that has also been spliced together in the lab but, if you don't have an example of the already existing phenomenon derived from an infected host in nature, then this is a meaningless statement for the purposes of determining the cause of disease. I can paint an exact copy of a landscape painting of a particular vista but that does not in itself confirm that the original painting I have copied is an accurate representation of the vista in reality.
441. The study is otherwise subject to the same critiques as the three papers coming out of China:
- There is no isolation of a 'virus'.
 - The Vero monkey culture in which the infected sample is placed has most likely contaminated any findings, given there is no filtration;
 - There is no evidence that River's Postulates have been met with regard to re-infection and specific antibody response in a human patient.
 - There are no controls to verify whether a sample taken from an uninfected individual produces similar observable phenomena to a sample taken from an infected individual.
442. Harcourt et al reported some months after the papers we have already discussed. Their study involved one US man who had been 'diagnosed with Covid-19' in January 2020. The paper makes the same canard as we have already discussed:

A novel coronavirus, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), has been identified as the source of a pneumonia outbreak in Wuhan, China, in late 2019.

Jennifer Harcourt et al, Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 from Patient with Coronavirus Disease, United States, Emerging Infectious Diseases, June 2020
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/labs/pmc/articles/PMC7258473/>

443. It appears that supposedly prestigious, well-funded research is subject to the same curse often attributed by the powers-that-be to what they call 'fake news' or 'misinformation' websites (which in reality challenge official dogma): it acts as an echo chamber of rumour and unverified allegation posing as

established fact. The paper does not otherwise differ in methodology and error from the papers already discussed. The same critique applies, particularly with regard to lack of control against which any findings can be judged.

444. There is one further report of the 'SARS-Cov2 virus' being 'isolated'. In March 2020, under the headline, 'McMaster researcher plays key role in isolating Covid-19 virus for use in urgent research', McMaster University reported that one of its researchers had played a role in successfully isolating the virus and growing copies of 'the virus responsible for Covid-19'. Despite the claims, I cannot find any evidence of an academic research paper by the university's scientist being published on this subject.

Wade Hemsworth, McMaster researcher plays key role in isolating Covid-19 virus for use in urgent research,
Brighter World, McMaster University, 12 March 2020
<https://brighterworld.mcmaster.ca/articles/mcmaster-researcher-plays-key-role-in-isolating-covid-19-virus-for-use-in-urgent-research/>

445. There may be other papers which have claimed to 'isolate the SARS-Cov2 virus'. However, if they have not used a control in the study, they are subject to the same critique as for the studies above.

Genomic Sequencing

446. In their paper, The Covid-19 Fraud & War on Humanity, authors Bailey and Bevan-Smith conclude there are four pillars to the fraud.

- a. Isolation
- b. Genomic Sequencing
- c. PCR
- d. Outbreak modelling

447. We have already thoroughly exposed the first: there is no isolated 'SARS-Cov2 virus' which can be said to cause 'Covid-19'. Any claim to isolation was not under the usual definition of the word – and the so-called 'SARS-Cov2 virus' –in so far as viruses can be said to exist at all – has never been detected in nature, as opposed to being something cobbled together in a lab. In any case, no control was used to see if similar results could be observed from a non-diseased specimen

448. However, if you venture the idea the pandemic is made-up because of the misapplication of the PCR test (see The Corman-Drosten Study and the PCR test below) or the failure to identify the 'SARS-Cov2 virus', people who think they are well-informed will send you links from the 'factcheckers' to papers claiming to have entirely sequenced the genome of the 'SARS-Cov2 virus', to 'prove' that it exists:

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 isolate SARS-CoV-2/human/TUR/IMU-SP-02/2020, complete genome
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MN908947>

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 isolate Wuhan-Hu-1, complete genome
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MT560525.1>

449. In their report, Covid-19 Fraud & War on Humanity, Bailey and Bevan-Smith demonstrate that genomic sequencing to piece together a 'virus' in silico, digitally as opposed to observing it 'in vitro' – on a slide or in a laboratory test tube or petri-dish; or 'in vivo' – from a living organism – proves nothing about the existence of a real virus or a causal relationship between their invented genome and any actual disease:

From the biological 'soup' taken from patient's lungs or nose swabs containing all sorts of material from the human subject, innumerable commensal microbes and potential contaminants, de novo assembly platforms search for short genetic fragments.

After finding millions of unique fragments in the brew, these software programmes piece together a 'genome' (one long piece) based on parameters set in the programme. Along the way there is a bit of cut-and-pasting and if pieces are 'missing', other ready-made templates can be added to fill the gaps.

However, the man-made algorithms, probability models and arbitrary selections cannot deliver the 'yes' or 'no' answer to the question of its physical existence in nature, not least because any coronavirus 'genome' used as a template in its production will likewise be propositional, the methodology providing no confirmable connection with the material or physical universe, making the new member of the coronavirus genus merely another product of virology's sui-referential processes. [...]


What takes place is simply the shotgun sequencing of crude samples which contain genetic fragments of unknown provenance. Therefore, there is no evidence whatsoever, not even the vaguest guarantee, that the resulting in silico 'genome' exists in nature or has anything to do with a 'virus'.


In this manner, however, the invention of the 'virus' is presented as a *discovery*, its faux status retroactively secured through the act of denotation whereby its naming purports its prior existence and its pathogenicity.

That a notional hypothetical genome invented by such anti-science should lie at the heart of this assault on humanity is a scientific and ethical outrage.

Second Pillar, Genomic Sequencing, pp 19-22, ibid

450. The MHRA has confirmed that the DNA template for the 'SARS-Cov2 virus' provided to producers of the treatment, like Pfizer, does not come directly from an isolated virus from an infected human and is instead generated via a combination of gene synthesis and recombinant DNA technology (see emails below):





I swear the above screenshots are true representations of the emails cited at:
<https://hive.blog/worldnews/@francesleader/email-exchange-with-uk-mhra-exposing-the-genomic-sequence-of-sarscov2>

Evidence of a man-made origin for 'SARS-Cov2'

451. Some people have put forward the theory that 'SARS-Cov2' may have been genetically engineered in the laboratory, possibly as part of a biological warfare programme, which is quaintly referred to in the mainstream media as 'gain of function' research.
452. While analysing 'SARS-Cov2 samples' in 2020 in an attempt to create a 'vaccine', Professor Angus Dalgleish – professor of oncology at St George's University, London, best known for his breakthrough creating the first working 'HIV vaccine' – and Norwegian scientist Dr Birger Sørensen, discovered 'unique fingerprints' in the 'virus' which made it distinctly different from the original SARS-Cov virus:

It is a matter of fact that there are unique inserts in the SARS- Cov2 spike protein when they are aligned with other SARS-Cov sequences as shown in (Zhou et al., 2020) [See above].

Angus Dalgleish et al, Biovacc-19: A Candidate Vaccine for Covid-19 (SARS-CoV-2) Developed from Analysis of its General Method of Action for Infectivity, Quarterly Review of Biophysics, 29 May 2020

453. They conclude that the 'SARS-Cov2 virus' could only have arisen from manipulation in a laboratory. Dalgleish and Sorenson carried out further research into their apparent discovery, which confirmed their initial finding.
454. The two then spent a year trying to persuade academic publications to publish their evidence, which they believed would overturn the consensus that 'Covid-19' had occurred naturally but were rejected. Eventually, they got their findings published in a Norwegian periodical, Minervanett:

Our discovery of the high pI number, the high accumulated charge and how it comes about, in the course of our bio- chemical analysis, suggested several features which individually seem unlikely to be the result of natural evolution and which, taken together, and applying Occam's Razor to hone the most parsimonious hypothesis, make natural evolution a less likely explanation than purposive manipulation, specifically for Gain of

Function.

Birger Sørensen, Angus Dalgleish & Andres Susrud,
The Evidence which Suggests that This Is No Naturally Evolved Virus: A
Reconstructed Historical Aetiology of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike,
Minervanett, 1st July 2021

455. Sørensen and Dalgleish clearly believe that Peng Zhou et al have isolated a virus which occurred naturally in an infected specimen which had already been subjected to genetic tampering before Zhou et al isolated it – which was then provided to them to help develop a vaccine to counter it. However, they were instead supplied with a genome created by combining bits of RNA found in a genetic soup with already existing genetic templates – the ‘unique insert’ spotted by Dalgleish and Sorenson –posing as a naturally occurring single organism, as Bailey and Bevan-Smith’s findings have made clear:

After finding millions of unique fragments in the brew, these software programmes piece together a ‘genome’ (one long piece) based on parameters set in the programme. Along the way there is a bit of cut-and-pasting and if pieces are ‘missing’, other ready-made templates can be added to fill the gaps.

P19, Second Pillar, Genomic Sequencing,
Covid Fraud, War on Humanity,
Dr Mark Bailey and Dr John Bevan-Smith, 11 November 2021
<https://drsambailey.com/covid-19/the-covid-19-fraud-war-on-humanity/>

456. In August 2021, the Office of the Director of the US National Intelligence Council declassified its updated assessment on the origins of the ‘SARS-Cov2 virus’. In the middle of what often appear to be contradictory assessments made to toe the political line, its report observed;

Repeated passage of a closely related virus through animals or cell culture—which we consider laboratory adaptation and not genetic engineering—could result in some features of SARS-Cov2, according to publicly available information.

P13, Updated Assessment of The Origins of Covid-19,
Office of the Director, National Intelligence Council, undated but ‘up to August 2021’
<https://www.dni.gov/files/ODNI/documents/assessments/Declassified-Assessment-on-COVID-19-Origins.pdf>

457. The authors of the report do not explicitly state that the alleged original ‘genome’ for the ‘SARS-Cov2 virus’ is wholly created through the ‘laboratory adaptation’ which involves combining bits of RNA with existing templates, indicating that they too do not understand the nature of laboratory ‘isolation of a virus’, although they do appear to hint at it in the above quote in regard to ‘laboratory adaptation’:

Repeated passage of a closely related virus through animals or cell culture— [...] could result in some features of SARS-Cov2, according to publicly available information.

458. At the same time, the NIC report hints at it by briefly mentioning that the original SARS-Cov virus itself bore signs of an insertion, which did not appear to have a natural origin:

Academic literature has indicated that a FCS [furin cleavage site] had previously been inserted into SARS-CoV-1 [SARS-Cov], the causative agent of SARS, complicating differentiation of how such a feature may have

appeared.

P4, Ibid

459. In fact, the component parts which are now said to make up the 'SARS-Cov virus' were patented in 2007 which, by definition, would require the genome to be somehow man-made.

Curtis et al, Methods for producing recombinant coronavirus,
United States Patent No: US 7,279,327, 9th October 2007
<https://patentimages.storage.googleapis.com/a8/c0/6a/0584dd67435ef2/US7279327.pdf>

460. Although the US Supreme Court has ruled that naturally occurring DNA sequences cannot be patented because they are not man-made, the act of combining RNA with existing genetic sequence templates could be viewed as the invention of something new and therefore within the purview of patent, copyright or intellectual property law.
461. The very fact that the 'SARS-Cov virus' was patented proves that it is not a naturally occurring phenomenon but was the invention of scientists in a laboratory. This is not though the genetic engineering of a 'virus' as such. It is merely what modern virologists do in the laboratory to wrongly claim they have identified a new 'virus'.
462. It is an extraordinary reflection of the compartmentalisation of modern scientific study that Dalglish and Sorenson when charged with creating a vaccine for 'Covid-19' did not know this and wasted a year trying to convince people that the insertion into the genome of the 'SARS-Cov2 virus' was a form of genetic manipulation.
463. None of the above of course rules out the fact that hundreds of millions of dollars have been spent by shadowy US research institutions trying to create a 'chimera virus'– sometimes spelt 'chimaera,' based on the Greek mythological fire-breathing hybrid monster – which can be deployed as a bioweapon (see The Rockefeller mind control chimera below for the Rockefeller Institute creation of just such a genetically engineered chimera, although it is more likely based on an exosome, given that there is no evidence of the existence of viruses).

See also:

Colonel Michael J Ainscough, Gene Therapy as a Weapon,
Next Generation Bioweapons,
pp19-20, The Counter-Proliferation Papers, USAF Counter-Proliferation Centre
April 2002,
<https://irp.fas.org/threat/cbw/nextgen.pdf>

David Shayler, pp 101-103, 9/11 to 'Covid-19': fakery and the war waged on mankind,
February 2022
https://www.bookofthelaw.org/downloads/911_to_covid19_fakery_and_the_war_waged_on_mankind.pdf

The Corman-Drosten Study and the PCR test

464. On 23 January 2020, Dr Christian Drosten – Deputy Coordinator, Emerging Infections, the German Centre for Infection Research at The Charité Institute of Hygiene and Environmental Medicine in Berlin – published a paper in

Eurosurveillance – ‘Europe’s journal on infectious disease surveillance, epidemiology, prevention and control’ – stating:

In the present case of 2019-nCoV [later renamed SARS-Cov2] virus, isolates or samples from infected patients have so far not become available to the international public health community. We report here on the establishment and validation of a diagnostic workflow for 2019-nCoV screening and specific confirmation, designed in absence of available virus isolates or original patient specimens.

Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR,
Eurosurveillance 25/3, 23 January 2020
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/labs/pmc/articles/PMC6988269/>

465. You cannot devise a test for something you do not have an example of. It is the equivalent of asking surveillance officers to track a suspect without giving them a photograph of him or any identifying details. Drosten therefore cannot devise a PCR test to detect a ‘virus’ that he admits he has no record of. This is irrational and scientific nonsense.
466. Although the study claims to have designed and validated the test for the novel ‘SARS-Cov2 virus’ by the close genetic relatedness to the 2003 ‘SARS-Cov virus’, this only serves as an admission that the test was devised for a completely different coronavirus, which we have already seen did not cause the number of infections, 8,098, or deaths, 774, that shrieking media headlines claimed it would.
467. Later research established that the ‘SARS-Cov2 virus’ shared 75% genetical material with ‘SARS-Cov’. Human beings share around 98% of their DNA with chimpanzees, yet are very different species in physical characteristics, mentality and behaviour.
468. In any case, any claimed resemblance to the ‘SARS-Cov virus’ should only have served to quell the fears of the powers-that-be that this was not likely to be a highly contagious disease with a high fatality rate.
469. To continue our analogy, it is like intelligence officers trying to positively identify a reported suspect (who may not even exist) by giving them a picture of another individual who is suspected to be his cousin.

Formal refutation of the Corman-Drosten Study

470. On November 27 2020 – only seven months into the pandemic so government ministers cannot say they did not know– a group of 23 international virologists, microbiologists and related scientists published a call for Eurosurveillance to retract the original article. Their peer-review of it established ten fatal flaws of fact and methodology and an incompetence that cannot be attributed to simple error:

The published RT-qPCR protocol for detection and diagnostics of 2019-nCoV [SARS-Cov2] and the manuscript suffer from numerous technical and scientific errors, including insufficient primer design, a problematic and insufficient RT-qPCR protocol, and the absence of an accurate test validation. Neither the presented test nor the manuscript itself fulfils the requirements for an acceptable scientific publication. [...]

We provide compelling evidence of several scientific inadequacies, errors and flaws. Considering the scientific and methodological blemishes presented here, we are confident that the editorial board of

Eurosurveillance has no other choice but to retract the publication.

Review report Corman-Drosten et al,
Eurosurveillance, 27 November 2020
<https://cormandrostenreview.com/report>

471. Furthermore, they pointed out that the authors of the Corman-Drosten paper had failed to declare serious conflicts of interest on the part of the authors: Drosten himself was a member of the board of Eurosurveillance so was considering the merits of his own material for publication.
472. One of Drosten's co-authors, Olfert Landt, also failed to declare a conflict of interest until 29 July 2020: he was the CEO of TIB Molbiol, the maker of a PCR kit based on the published assay sequence in the paper under review. There have also been reports that Drosten – who, as we have seen above, also authored a paper claiming to have 'isolated' the original SARS-Cov virus said to cause Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome in 2003 – lied about his PhD qualification. If true, he is also guilty of the crime of fraud.

The RT-PCR Test created the 'Pandemic'

473. Official case figures for 'Covid-19' are almost wholly based on a 'positive' result from a sample taken from an individual's saliva – whether they were symptomatic or not – that was then subjected to the RT-PCR Test. Any death up to 28 days after a positive RT-PCR test was recorded as a 'Covid death', even where there were significant co-morbidities – which was actually observed in the vast majority of fatalities.
474. This has obviously meant that deaths caused by other factors like heart disease and cancer were wrongly attributed to 'Covid-19', enormously inflating the apparent death toll from the disease (see No Long-Term or World-Wide Evidence of a Pandemic below).
475. However, the inventor of the test, Kary Mullis – who coincidentally died in August 2019 at the age of 74, just four months before the pandemic began in Wuhan – is on record stating that the technique was never meant to be employed to detect for viruses. (He also on record criticising NIAID director Tony Fauci for his lack of experience in actual clinical practice in the field of treatment):

Kary Mullis Explains the PCR Test, Youtube, 4 October 2020
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZmZft4fXhQQ>

Kary Mullis on Fauci, Youtube, 8 December 2020
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5aISPITLbJo>

476. In their review paper of the 'Covid fraud', Dr Mark Bailey and Dr John Bevan-Smith identified the RT-PCR test as the third of four pillars which propped up the fraud:

The third pillar of the COVID-19 fraud concerns the misapplication of the PCR (modified as RT-PCR, reverse-transcriptase-PCR in order to detect single-stranded RNA because the PCR can only reliably amplify DNA).

This misapplication centres on the amplification of RNA sequences taken from human subjects said to belong to SARS-Cov2 and also a disease termed Covid-19. However, the PCR cannot confirm either of these things; its capability is solely confined to the amplification of the selected nucleotide sequences, not to determining their provenance or significance.

[...]

With regards to the short nucleotide sequences being detected by the PCR kits in use, they exist but come from somewhere else, not from a virus labelled 'SARS-Cov2'. [...]. Furthermore, the PCR cannot diagnose the infectious status of a human in any proven way and no consistent link has ever been found between a disease state and the PCR results.

Dr Mark Bailey and Dr John Bevan-Smith, Four pillars of the Covid-19 Fraud, PCR, Covid Fraud, War on Humanity,
11 November 2021
<https://drsambailey.com/covid-19/the-covid-19-fraud-war-on-humanity/>

477. The authors also draw attention to the fact that at higher amplification of the RNA from the original sample, the PCR test cannot be considered reliable. However, the World Health Organisation from the outset of the so-called pandemic insisted that the PCR protocol was done at 40 times or more amplification. Yet, over 35 times amplification is generally considered to be absolutely worthless in diagnostic terms. This was known to Fauci, early on in the current 'pandemic':

This Week in Virology, Episode 641, COVID-19 with Dr Anthony Fauci,
American Society for Virology, 2020
<https://asm.org/Podcasts/TWiV/Episodes/COVID-19-with-Dr-Anthony-Fauci-TWiV-641>

478. So far, we have established that the paper proposing the PCR protocol, the Corman-Drosten paper, has been wholly refuted while the test itself has been withdrawn:

In short, the misapplication of the PCR means that Covid-19 is a scientifically meaningless construct that represents nothing more than a [self] referential illusion.

Four pillars of the Covid-19 Fraud, Third Pillar, PCR, Ibid

479. In other words, the PCR test has through its misapplication and the resulting inaccuracy of its results created a wholly unreliable record of a 'pandemic' rather than the numbers being a result of considered clinical diagnoses and analysis of any actual disease. This fraud – which was known to public health bodies – was the principal mechanism used to create the idea of a deadly pandemic.
480. In September 2021, the US CDC announced it was to withdraw its Emergency Authorisation Use of the PCR test on 31 December of that year.

Variants

481. At this moment, it is worth briefly pointing out that without a template of a naturally occurring virus which has been proven to cause any unique symptoms attributed to 'Covid-19', there can be no evidence of a mutated variant of that 'virus'. Any scientist claiming to have identified a variant was most likely simply finding similar but different material in the genetic soup after using the discredited RT-PCR technique to test amplified RNA.
482. In any case, I can find no record of a modified PCR test which can specifically distinguish between one variant and the other. Without a specific test, any claim to have identified a variant with any certainty is highly unscientific.

483. The 'variant', Omicron, led to far fewer deaths and hospitalisations (subject to the usual discredited and unreliable 'positive PCR test'). This did not though initially prevent the powers-that-be from using it to threaten more lockdowns and justify the continuation of other restrictions.
484. Given the inaccuracy of the PCR test, particularly at the 40 times or more amplification, governments in the UK – particularly in Scotland and Wales – were in late 2021 and early 2022 essentially using wild, computer-modelled projected cases of the common cold – also recognised by virologists as a 'coronavirus' – to justify further restrictions on the freedom and liberties of the British people.

Rebutting Germ Theory

485. Rather like in the case of 9/11, the current thinking of the powers-that-be is not just slightly wrong. It is the complete opposite of the truth. That failure to establish the truth has meant that the response of governments and health bodies across the world has not just been wholly ineffectual. It has actually contributed to the cause of disease.
486. In the 1850s, Florence Nightingale reportedly reduced the mortality rate in military hospitals during the Crimean War from 42% to 2% partly by opening the windows to let fresh air into the wards.
487. The findings above utterly destroy 'germ theory' as an explanation for the transmission of disease. Although the layman will quote the example of measles parties giving (some of) the children who attend them the measles, this does not provide evidence of transmission by microbe. Yawning and laughter can be highly infectious yet no one seriously suggests that they are spread by germs.
488. As have already demonstrated earlier in this paper, the application of the scientific method with regard to the use of a control has disproved the claim that viruses are what cause a disease to be transmitted from one host to another.
489. On further investigation, the germ theory of transmission of disease – like the idea that 19 Muslims flew planes into buildings which then collapsed due to fire and gravity – is proved to have never been more than a putative hypothesis, which when tested against evidence has been found to be wholly disproved.
490. This means that the genetically sequenced 'viruses' invented in the laboratory – see Genomic Sequencing above – are in fact composites of exosome RNA. This is particularly relevant when we reconsider the Rockefeller Institute's invention of a genetically engineered 'virus' which determines a form of mind control (see The Rockefeller mind control chimera below).
491. These researchers are in fact using the properties of the endosome/exosome to breach the cell wall and then lock on to the shape of a specific toxin to neutralise it but genetically engineered to create a door into the cell and a key to open that door and enter the cell.
492. Because viruses do not cause or transmit disease, all the massive amounts of funding that have been spent to develop biological warfare have been a vast waste of money.

493. Contrary to the propaganda of the pharmaceutical industry, diseases like polio, smallpox and diphtheria were rapidly dying out before the introduction of vaccines – which in fact slowed down their eradication – most likely as a result of the body's defences adapting to any new pathogen, as it always does. Scarlett fever and whooping cough died out without vaccines.
494. This is borne out by the experience with the actual disease called 'Covid-19', which I do not dispute exists. Where it is not flu, I believe on the evidence I have seen that it is more likely caused by lifestyle and, particularly, environmental factors:
- a. atmospheric pollution and Covid-19,
<https://aaqr.org/articles/aaqr-20-07-covid-0438>
 - b. review of evidence re 'chemtrails' or persistent contrails,
<http://thehealthcoach1.com/?p=3403>
 - c. EMFs and 5G in particular (see Covid, 5G and Wireless Radiation or EMF below)
495. The failure of HMG to investigate or enquire into solemnly and with due diligence the evidence that toxins are being sprayed into the skies, which are likely to harm the health of men and women, is a chronic, grave dereliction of ministers' duties under the Law.
496. A polluted environment introduces toxins into the body, weakening the natural mechanisms of defence and inducing below-optimum health, which in turn induces disease, referred to as the 'terrain theory' of disease.
- <https://www.bitchute.com/video/zJOso8jkbTTO/>

The Pandemic response

The run-up to first lockdown

497. As we have already established, there was never any evidence of a new 'virus'. But let us suppose scientists had isolated a new 'coronavirus'. Let us not benefit from hindsight or the proofs established here. Let's instead put ourselves in the shoes of a government minister (wrongly) believing the 'SARS-Cov2 virus' existed due to 'the science'.
498. At this time, there were six 'coronaviruses', according to the scientific consensus. Four of them — 229E, OC43, NL63, and HKU1 — were known to be no more serious than the common cold. The two other coronaviruses had led to 8,098 cases in the case of SARS-Cov, leading to 774 associated deaths and 2,578 cases in the case of SARS-MERS with 888 associated deaths.
499. Given that the latter two diseases had first been greeted with shrieking apocalyptic headlines warning of ravaging pandemics which didn't come to pass, ministers had a particular duty to have been on their guard. (The same argument applies to any other touted pandemic like bird or swine flu).
500. They also had no reason to think that SARS-Cov2 would be a highly contagious, deadly disease, given its close genetic relationship with the

'SARS-Cov virus' – 75% of shared genetical material – and with 'SARS-MERS' – 50%.

501. In the three weeks running up to lockdown being announced, there were a negligible number of 'cases' in the UK. (Here we are also turning a blind eye to the pseudo-science behind the Drosten PCR test, which was published in January 2020 and was therefore available to any minister who wished to verify the information for himself).
502. On 4 March 2020, 'cases' of Covid-19 were said to 'surge' in the UK, even though there were only 34 new cases, bringing the total to 87 in a population of 65million. By 10 March, six deaths had been attributed to the illness in the UK, while 373 had tested positive. A week after that, the UK's death toll attributed to the disease 'Covid-19' had risen to 55, with 1,543 confirmed 'cases,' though the powers-that-be were at the time claiming that up to 10,000 people had already been infected. Although this can sound alarming, an average of around 13,500 people die every day in the UK.
503. The next day, 19 March 2020, the government announced Covid-19 was not a High Consequence Infectious Disease:

Status of COVID-19

As of 19 March 2020, Covid-19 is no longer considered to be a high consequence infectious disease (HCID) in the UK. There are many diseases which can cause serious illness which are not classified as HClDs. [...]

They have determined that several features have now changed; in particular, more information is available about mortality rates (low overall), and there is now greater clinical awareness and a specific and sensitive laboratory test, the availability of which continues to increase.

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/high-consequence-infectious-diseases-hcid>

504. Although the official line in the statement above claims that diseases can still be dangerous even when not categorised as a 'High Consequence Infectious Disease', this is disingenuous. If a disease does not conform to the definition of a 'HCID', then that's because it is not one and not dangerous. If a genuinely dangerous disease falls outside the definition of an 'HCID', then the definition needs to be changed. What is the point of medical professionals, civil servants and politicians coming up with these definitions, if they are not going to be adopted when faced with a real-world situation?
505. The government report also confirms that the mortality rate from 'Covid-19' is low overall.
506. Remember, even if you accept the falsehood that 'Covid-19' was caused by the 'SARS-Cov2 virus', previous experience had at this time shown that its close relatives in the coronavirus family had easily been contained, while reported pandemics like bird and swine flu had not come to pass (see Professor Neil Ferguson's Wild Projections below).
507. Yet during this period, the government ministers set about the following:
 - a. The PM began daily press briefings, urging everybody in the UK to work from home and avoid pubs and restaurants.
 - b. The postponement of sporting events began with football fixtures being suspended while schools were closed.

- c. The Chancellor of the Exchequer, Rishi Sunak who is now the PM of the UK, committed a £12billion emergency package followed by £330billion-worth of government-backed loans and more than £20billion in tax cuts and grants for companies threatened with collapse, the biggest package of emergency state support for business since the 2008 financial crash.
 - d. The government committed to paying up to 80% of workers' wages under the furlough scheme.
- 508. On 23 March 2020 – with worldwide cases attributed to Covid-19 standing at a mere 270,000 cases and attributed deaths at 11,000 in a world population of 7.8billion – not taking into account the figures were vastly inflated based on the wholly unreliable PCR test – the PM Boris Johnson announced a full-on lockdown – to be enforced by fines for failure to comply – to come into effect the next day.
- 509. The decisions of the Chancellor were more likely based on the need to supply liquidity or cash flow to an economy on the brink of collapse – which could not be justified in normal circumstances – rather than the need to protect the population from a dangerous, contagious disease, for which there was no evidence at the time and no evidence now.
- 510. The government had been heavily criticised outside the mainstream for bailing out financial institutions a decade earlier to the tune of billions of pounds with the taxpayer expected to pick up the tab along with the compound interest for the failures of bankers and economists.
- 511. Given the economic deprivations, injustices and inequalities caused by the 2008-9 bailout, people were at the time of the outset of the 'pandemic' attending demonstrations in ever greater numbers and with ever greater intensity across the planet. The 'gilets jaunes' or 'yellow jackets' in France and other countries were the most prominent but not the only example of this.
- 512. In these circumstances, ministers were looking for any use excuse to distract or control the population.
- 513. From the above, combined with the fact that no coronavirus or other disease like bird or swine flu had ever caused mass deaths across the planet, it is clear there was no compelling reason under the Law for the actions ministers undertook.
- 514. Yet, on 25 March 2020 – after just four days of debate in Parliament – the 2020 Corona Act became law, extending HMG's powers under the 1984 Public Health (Control of Disease) Act in England, giving the following powers:
 - a. the appropriate minister to make provisions to prevent or control the spread of infection, including provisions to close schools, shops, restaurants and other premises, prohibiting or restricting events or gatherings, and limiting the activities of the public.
 - b. a magistrate Act to make a person submit to a medical examination, detain them in hospital, hold them in quarantine, and make them abstain from working or trading.
- 515. Under devolved health policy, the governments of Scotland, Wales, and Northern Ireland, whose ministers swear an oath to you, the King, also used regulations created under the 1984 Public Health Act to enforce compliance

with Covid-19 policies, even though it is unlawful to enforce policy without consent

516. The test of adoption of emergency powers under the Act is ‘significant harm to human health’, yet on the 19 March 2020, HMG had admitted that ‘Covid-19’ had low overall mortality rates and was not a High Consequence Infectious Disease, meaning that the restrictions did not meet the test of UK legislation, let alone the Law.
517. Instead, the ministers of HMG commissioned and relied on computer models produced by an institute which had provided wildly inaccurate projections about the spread of infectious diseases in the past.

Professor Neil Ferguson’s Wild Projections

518. Prior to lockdown, ministers in HMG had commissioned academic Neil Ferguson, professor of mathematical epidemiology at Imperial College’s MRC Centre for Global Infectious Disease Analysis – a centre he helped found – to produce a projection of UK deaths from ‘SARS-Cov2’. In mid-March 2020, he deduced there would be 500,000 deaths from the disease within months in the UK. Even using the inflated figures created by the wholly flawed PCR test, there have been only around 150,000 ‘cases’ over two years.

<https://www.imperial.ac.uk/news/196234/covid-19-imperial-researchers-model-likely-impact/>

519. Although some have tried to defend Ferguson’s figures in that he was giving a ‘worst case’ scenario if HMG took no measures to prevent the spread of ‘Covid-19’, he was later forced to admit his computer model was based on undocumented, 13-year-old computer code designed to deal with the flu and not a ‘coronavirus’. Despite pressure from his fellow academics, Ferguson declined to release his original code for peer-review for six weeks and then only a heavily revised version.
520. Yet, Ferguson should have been discredited many years earlier. The Centre for Global Infectious Disease Analysis, which he heads as professor, had produced ‘worse case scenarios’ predicting apocalyptic pandemics, which had in reality not only failed come to pass, they had been proven woefully wrong:

Year	Disease	Projected deaths	Actual Deaths	Location
2001	Foot-and-Mouth	150,000	200	UK
2002	BSE (Mad Cow)	50,000	177	UK
2005	Bird Flu	150,000,000	282	Worldwide
2009	Swine Flu	65,000	457	UK

521. Given that SARS-Cov and SARS-MERS had only caused deaths in the thousands worldwide, one might wonder what data and method this mathematician and his team employed to come to arrive at the statistical projections they ventured.

The Government's behaviour in the Lockdowns

522. While it is clear lockdowns were at least partly introduced as a short-term solution for decades of failed economic policies and to stave off a potential popular uprising –rather than being a rational response to a disease – this becomes even more obvious in the light of ministers' attitude to the restrictions they imposed on others.
523. Media investigations have detailed numerous parties where members of the government and civil servants are reported to have broken their own rules with regard to 'Covid19' restrictions. This has been largely confirmed by an official enquiry conducted by Sue Gray, the second permanent secretary at the Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities.
524. They are summarised below:

First UK National Lockdown

24 March to 23 June 2020

15 May 2020: PM Boris Johnson and his wife Carrie were covertly photographed drinking wine at a party on the terrace in the Number 10 garden. It also shows the PM's principal private secretary, Martin Reynolds, and his then chief adviser, Dominic Cummings, and groups of other staff speaking around tables.

20 May 2020: the PM has admitted to being at a 'bring your own booze' gathering in the Downing Street Garden, arranged by his principal private secretary.

19 June 2020: Downing Street have confirmed that on 19 June 2020 there was a gathering in the cabinet room in Number 10 to mark the prime minister's 56th birthday with his wife and 30 other people present.

Second UK National Lockdown

5 November to 2 December 2020

13 November 2020: Dominic Cummings has alleged that a party took place in the Downing Street flat where the PM lives with his wife but the PM has denied this.

25 November 2020: The Treasury has confirmed 'impromptu drinks' occurred while working on the spending review at which a reported two dozen individuals were present.

27 November 2020: the PM is reported to have made a speech at another leaving party for a member of staff.

After second lockdown ended but many restrictions remained in place including social distancing, various parties are reported to have taken place involving civil servants and – separately – staff at Conservative HQ on 10 December 2020, 14 December 2020, 15 December 2020, 16 December 2020, 17 December 2020 and 18 December 2020.

Third National Lockdown

6 January 2021 to 16 July 2021

21 April: 30 Downing Street staff partied into the early hours at a

gathering which took place at Number 10, the night before Prince Philip's funeral, at a time when indoor mixing was banned.

Dates from Lockdown Timeline, Institute for Government
<https://www.instituteforgovernment.org.uk/sites/default/files/timeline-coronavirus-lockdown-december-2021.pdf>

525. It is clear from the above that HMG did not believe that there was a highly contagious disease with a high fatality rate justifying the counter-measures they imposed on the people of this country. Otherwise, they would have actually observed the restrictions to preserve their own health and to not transmit the disease to their loved ones and other people they came into contact with. The fact they frequently flouted them meant they did not see social gatherings as a risk to contracting or transmitting 'Covid-19'.
526. This is also proven by photographs of the ministers of HMG at various formal functions and conferences where they wore masks and socially distanced for the official photos then took their masks off, without observing social distancing, when they thought they weren't being photographed or filmed.


The Psychological Operation

527. In fact, the inconsistency of the measures they promoted proves they had absolutely nothing to do with public health and everything to do with waging a psychological war on the British people. This pseudo-scientific advice has created divisions between those who have blindly followed government diktat – wrongly believing their health was at risk – and those who saw through the faked disease, partly because the counter-measures were so risible – and tried their best to carry on as normal.
528. I cite the following examples:
- a. The Rule of Six meant couples with three children could only meet either nana or grandad but not both at the same time.
 - b. It was illegal to see parents in their back garden but legal to meet them in a pub garden with lots of other people.
 - c. Gyms and exercise classes were forced to close but fast-food outlets were allowed to remain open.
 - d. Individuals had to wear a mask in pubs to get from the door to the table and the table to the toilet – but not wear one while sitting down.
 - e. People in a Tier 3 area could walk two minutes down the road for a pint in Tier 2.
 - f. In Wales, supermarkets were allowed to stay open but the aisles containing children's clothing and books were taped off.
 - g. Singing in a choir was illegal but not at a football match.
 - h. Having a flask of tea or coffee on a walk meant it was classified as a picnic -- and therefore illegal.
 - i. Two people were allowed to go for a walk on a golf course but if they took clubs and balls, it was an offence.
 - j. One-way systems in shop and pubs ensured that people went everywhere in the establishment.
 - k. People were allowed to work in a room with multiple people for hours but it was illegal to briefly sit on a bench drinking coffee with one of

them.

1. People were made to form a socially distanced queue at the airport before being sardined into a packed plane with the same people, two hours later.
529. The fines imposed on the men and women who did not consent have only served to deepen the resentment towards HMG and its real attitude to its restrictive measures while of course bringing in much-needed revenue to service the government debts run up as a result of ministers' largesse with public money.
530. The idea that the government's approach to the fake Covid-19 pandemic was a form of psychological warfare is supported by a recent letter to Parliament's Public Administration and Constitutional Affairs Committee, signed by 40 psychologists. They drew attention to the government's campaign of 'grossly unethical' scare tactics, comparing it to 'totalitarian China', citing the example of adverts that used slogans like: 'Stay home to help us save lives' and 'If you go out and spread it, people will die'.

<https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-10454515/Psychologists-slam-Governments-nudge-unit-use-grossly-unethical-scare-tactics-Covid.html>



The above are screenshots taken from HMG advertising proving that its ministers waged psychological war on the people of the UK to make them think a disease similar to the seasonal flu was contagious and deadly

No Long-Term or World-Wide Evidence of a Pandemic

531. Up to 15th January 2022, official government figures show that there had been 15.2million reported 'cases' attributed to 'Covid-19' in the UK, leading to 152,000 attributed deaths, a 1% death rate among those who caught it and 0.22% rate among the general population of 67million. Across the world, up to the same date, there had been 328million reported 'cases', leading to 5,540,000 deaths attributed to Covid-19, a death rate of 1.6% among those said to have contracted the disease, or 0.07% of the world's population of 7.8billion (see also Dr Denis Rancourt's findings proving there was no Covid-19 pandemic below).


532. This puts it toward the relegation zone in the table of case fatality rates for individual diseases, even before we allow for the manipulation of the data by which deaths up to 28 days after a positive PCR test continue to be classified as 'Covid deaths'. When we do, the disease is likely to have a similar fatality case rate to the flu – of around 0.5%, where people are largely killed by the co-morbidly of pneumonia.

Table showing mean and median averages by sex, distinguishing between deaths due to COVID-19 and all those involving COVID-19

	Median age in years	Median age in years	Mean age in years	Mean age in years
	Involving COVID-19	Due to COVID-19	Involving COVID-19	Due to COVID-19
Persons	83	83	80.3	80.4
Male	81	81	78.6	78.7
Female	85	85	82.3	82.5

Based on deaths registered in England and Wales up to and including week ending 2nd October 2020,
Freedom of Information request reply, 11 January 2021
<https://www.ons.gov.uk/aboutus/transparencyandgovernance/freedomofinformationfoi/averageageofthosewhohaddiedwithcovid19>

533. Covid also has a similar average and median age profile for death with the flu. The median – the middle value when a data set is ordered from least to greatest – age of death both 'involving' and 'due to' 'Covid-19' was 83 and the mean or average age of death was 80.3 'involving' the disease and 80.4 'due to' it. In other words, we have no evidence of anything more severe than a condition which kills off the elderly and infirm, which is entirely routine for illnesses.
534. Because only First World nations have generally carried out draconian lockdowns and similar measures, this slightly elevated excess mortality rate in the UK is not significant in global mortality rates, which for the years 2016-2020 have remained stable at 7.7 deaths per 1,000 people. If there had been a global pandemic driven by a highly contagious disease with severe symptoms causing death then we would expect to see higher excess mortality rates across the planet:



Dr Denis Rancourt's findings proving there was no Covid-19 pandemic

535. Dr Denis Rancourt, a former physics professor at the University of Ottawa in Canada, has published several detailed studies of the raw statistics of excessive death rates from across the Western World during the 'pandemic'. His initial findings, All-cause mortality during COVID-19: No plague and a likely signature of mass homicide by government response, has been available since June 2020, a few months into the 'pandemic'.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341832637_All-cause_mortality_during_COVID-19_No_plague_and_a_likely_signature_of_mass_homicide_by_government_response

536. They prove that there is no excess mortality for the pandemic and – where there are spikes of all-cause excess mortality – they immediately follow the restrictions like lockdowns put in place to supposedly combat the so-called pandemic (see also Use of Midazolam on Care Home Residents below).
537. Rancourt has published two further studies – along with Marine Baudin, a researcher at the Laboratory of Biology and Applied Pharmacology at the University of Paris-Saclay in France, and Jérémie Mercier, an independent PhD qualified researcher based in Estonia – proving that there is no excess mortality caused by Covid-19.
538. In the first study published, Rancourt et al found that these excess deaths are proportionately higher in the elderly and young men:

Among the most elderly (85+ years), many died from the immediate response to the pandemic that was announced by the WHO on 11 March 2020.

Predominantly young males (0-44 years, and also 45-64 years) probably indirectly died from the sustained pandemic response, in the summer months of 2020, and into the fall and winter, starting in May 2020, especially in Alberta, significantly in Ontario and British Columbia, whereas not in Quebec.

Denis G Rancourt, Marine Baudin, Jérémie Mercier, Analysis of all-cause mortality by week in Canada 2010-2021, by province, age and sex:, Rancourt's Website, 6 August 2021

https://denisrancourt.ca/entries.php?id=104&name=2021_08_06_analysis_of_all_cause_mortality_by_week_in_canada_2010_2021_by_province_age_and_sex_there_was_no_covid_19_pandemic_and_there_is_strong_evidence_of_response_caused_deaths_in_the_most_elderly_and_in_young_males

539. In their second study, the authors concluded that:

All-cause mortality by time is the most reliable data for detecting true catastrophic events causing death, and for gauging the population-level impact of any surge in deaths from any cause.

The behaviour of the USA all-cause mortality by time (week, year), by age group, by sex, and by state is contrary to pandemic behaviour caused by a new respiratory disease virus for which there is no prior natural immunity in the population.

Its seasonal structure (summer maxima), age-group distribution (young residents), and large state-wise heterogeneity are unprecedented and are opposite to viral respiratory disease behaviour, pandemic or not. We conclude that a pandemic did not occur.

We infer that persistent chronic psychological stress induced by the long-lasting government-imposed societal and economic transformations during the Covid-era converted the existing societal [factors] into deadly agents, largely acting together, with devastating population-level consequences against large pools of vulnerable and disadvantaged residents of the USA, far above pre-existing pre-Covid-era mortality in those pools.

Denis G Rancourt, Marine Baudin, Jérémie Mercier, Nature of the COVID-era public health disaster in the USA, from all-cause mortality and socio-geo-economic and climatic data, 25 October 2021
https://denisrancourt.ca/entries.php?id=107&name=2021_10_25_nature_of_the_covid_era_public_health_disaster_in_the_usa_from_all_cause_mortality_and_socio_geo_economic_and_climatic_data

540. Rancourt has also gathered data showing there has never been a rise in all-cause excess mortality for any of the reported pandemics throughout history. In an interview in February 2022 with independent investigator and analyst, James Corbett, Rancourt disproved the selectively used statistics which claimed to corroborate a deadly pandemic and set them in context. Graphs based on the data he gathered and analysed, discussed in the show, can be downloaded at this address.

https://www.corbettreport.com/cache/rancourt_slideshow.pptx

NOW What About Excess Mortality? Questions For Corbett No083,
The Corbett Report, 15 February 2022
<https://www.corbettreport.com/mortality/>

541. Applying these findings and Occam's Razor to the actual data concerning deaths in the pandemic attributed to 'Covid-19' in the context of the almost total disappearance of the season flu, we can conclude that the vast majority of diagnoses of 'Covid-19' were simply seasonal flu because of:
- a. the similarity of the symptoms of the flu and the symptoms of 'Covid-19' in the vast majority of cases;
 - b. the unreliability of the PCR test and the absence of any evidence of a virus causing 'Covid-19'.
542. Rather than questioning the official narrative coming from governments and health bodies, like SAGE, NICE, the WHO, the US CDC, among them – especially in the light of the data showing the curve for excessive deaths spiked during lockdowns rather than flattened – the editors and journalists chose to support these eugenicist policies.
543. In a free society, the media has a duty to hold government to account.
544. If editors had performed their duty to the truth in this area after the first lockdown, they might have saved the lives of the tens of thousands who died in the second and third lockdowns.
545. Yet, they continued to support official calls for lockdowns, even as late as December 2021 when the 'Omicron variant' emerged, even though the symptoms were mild and by the government's own manipulated figures

based on the faulty PCR test did not cause the levels of hospitalisations and deaths attributed to the 'Delta variant'.


546. A principle of Law states: 'The truth that is not sufficiently defended is frequently overpowered; and he who does not disapprove, approves', meaning that you consent to a lie by your silence and lack of action. In this case, they joined the conspiracy to wage war on men, women and children.
547. These editors, journalists and publishers have blood on their hands and that is before we hold them to account for their fraudulent failure to fact check the case for the existence of a non-existent, supposedly deadly virus or verify that the PCR test could do what the powers-that-be were claiming.

Accounting for the raised excess death rate

548. The graph below is based on official HMG figures for deaths in the UK in the years 2020 to 2023.

Total deaths from all causes were above the five-year average in Week 16 2023

Number of deaths registered by week, England and Wales, 28 December 2019 to 21 April 2023



Publication: Deaths registered weekly in England and Wales, provisional: week ending 21 April 2023

Office for National Statistics

I swear the graph above is a true representation of ONS figures for all cause deaths, 3. January 2020 to 21 April 2023. Excess deaths continue to be recorded because the five-year average was inflated by the spike in excess deaths during the original lockdown

549. It is absolutely clear that deaths in both the years 2020 and 2021 were close to the five-year average indicated by the dashed blackline – apart from the periods when the government obliged people to live under unusual and extreme lockdown measures and after the introduction of the novel, experimental mRNA injection:
- 24 March to 23 June 2020
 - 5 November to 2 December 2020, although many restrictions remained in place afterwards:
 - 21 December: Tier 4 restrictions came into force in

London and South East England

- ii. 26 December: More areas of England entered tier 4 restrictions
 - c. Third Lockdown in England, beginning on 6 January 2021, lasting until July
550. It is also absolutely clear that there were no excess deaths prior to the introduction of the mRNA treatment outside lockdowns. There continue to be excess deaths at the time of writing this affidavit, which the men and women of HMG continue to ignore.
551. Given that little else permanently changed and the mRNA treatment is experimental so its effects on humans are unknown, particularly in the long term, and it was widely administered to men, women and children in Britain, the most likely cause of these excess deaths is the mRNA treatment, although other factors, discussed below, will have contributed.
552. In October 2020, before HMG locked down the People of the UK for the second and third times causing excess deaths, Dr David Nabarro, the World Health Organisation special envoy for Covid-19, warned that the organisation did not advocate lockdowns as the best way to manage Covid and warned they could cause a 'global catastrophe':
- We in the World Health Organisation do not advocate lockdowns as the primary means of control of the virus. [...] It seems we may have a doubling of world poverty by next year. We may well have at least a doubling of child malnutrition [...] This is a terrible, ghastly global catastrophe.
- WHO Covid-19 envoy David Nabarro with Andrew Neil
The Week in 60 Minutes #6, Spectator TV, 8 October 2020
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=x8oH7cBxgwE>
553. A UN report published at the outset of HMG's measures to combat the non-existent disease called 'Covid-19' in April 2020 warned of 100,000s of children being killed by the economic impact of lockdowns, while tens of millions more were said to face poverty and famine. The world body also said in its risk report that nearly 369 million children across 143 countries who normally relied on school meals for a reliable source of daily nutrition had been forced to look elsewhere.
- <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-health-coronavirus-children-un/u-n-warns-economic-downturn-could-kill-hundreds-of-thousands-of-children-in-2020-idUSKBN21Y2X7>
- https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/un-pandemic-could-push-tens-of-millions-into-chronic-hunger/2020/07/13/0733e34e-c51e-11ea-a825-8722004e4150_story.html
554. Around the same time, Mark Reger, a professor of psychiatry and behavioural sciences at the University of Washington School of Medicine, applied the findings of existing research into the association between sustained economic stress and severe mental health problems to the psychological effects of the restrictions brought in to combat 'Covid-19'.
555. As a result of his study, he predicted higher suicide rates induced by the increased economic pressures as a result of social distancing and closures of public institutions:

There are fears that the combination of cancelled public events, closed

businesses, and shelter-in-place strategies will lead to a recession. Economic downturns are usually associated with higher suicide rates compared with periods of relative prosperity.

Since the Covid-19 crisis, businesses have faced adversity and laying off employees. Schools have been closed for indeterminable periods, forcing some parents and guardians to take time off work. Therefore, from a suicide prevention perspective, it is concerning that the most critical public health strategy for the Covid-19 crisis is social distancing.

Mark A Reger et al,
Suicide Mortality and Coronavirus Disease 2019—A Perfect Storm?
10th April 2020
<https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamapsychiatry/fullarticle/2764584>

556. People's inability to earn a living; see family and friends or attend church and social events; have autonomy over their own lives; or see any hope for their own and others' futures can have a devastating impact on their mental health. These in turn have led to suicide, alcoholism, drug use and other social/mental health crises spiking all over the world.
557. There was never any evidence therefore to show that locking down – or imprisoning the British people under house arrest, a tactic of totalitarian regimes – would ever help prevent the spread of a disease, which was known at the time to be no more contagious or deadly than the seasonal flu.
558. Ministers cannot therefore say they weren't warned of the likely effect of their restrictions on the mental health of the population, which are already beginning to put enormous strain on already struggling health and social care systems from the economic devastation and the unemployment associated with it.
559. Given the data and the warnings, the ministers of HMG cannot say they did not know when they decided to institute further unreasonable and therefore unlawful restrictions on the right to free association and movement under the Law.
560. At the same time, the restrictions brought about by the fake pandemic have hit women particularly hard on a global level. According to a report by the charity Oxfam published in April 2021, the crisis has meant that more than 64 million jobs done by women were lost, costing them at least \$800 billion (£592billion) in lost income in 2020, a 5% loss – compared to a 3.9% loss for men – more than the combined GDP of 98 countries.

<https://www.oxfam.org/en/press-releases/covid-19-cost-women-globally-over-800-billion-lost-income-one-year>
561. The conservative estimate doesn't even include wages lost by the millions of women working in the informal economy — domestic workers, market vendors and garment workers — who had been sent home or whose hours and wages were drastically cut.
562. At the same time. missed and delayed surgeries and screenings as a result of the government's draconian 'Covid-19' counter-measures – which were fully supported by the Labour Party – are likely to have already increased mortality caused by already existing health conditions, among them, heart disease, cancer and strokes.

<https://www.birmingham.ac.uk/news-archive/2020/covid-19-disruption-will-lead-to-28-million-surgeries-cancelled-worldwide-1>

<https://web.archive.org/web/20200724212540/https://amp.theguardian.com/society/2020/jun/01/millions-in-uk-miss-cancer-screenings-tests-and-treatments-due-to-covid-19>

563. Even as the restrictions were relaxed, many more people are likely to have suffered early death or increased adverse effects from severe disease as a result of continuing to be denied treatment because already stretched health and care resources have been ascribed to the mass 'vaccination' programme and treating the severe adverse reactions the jab has caused in some.

Covid, 5G and Wireless Radiation or EMFs

564. The more unusual symptoms of 'Covid-19', which are different from the symptoms of seasonal flu, are more likely caused by the broadcast of electromagnetic frequencies (hereafter 'EMF'), particularly 5G.

Background

565. Given that 'Covid-19' was first observed a couple months after the 5G was first turned on in Wuhan in China and was also reported on the Princess Diamond cruise ship which had introduced 5G, some individuals reasonably suggested there might be a relationship between the two, especially as the symptoms attributed to 'Covid-19': nausea; digestive problems; muscle pain; tachycardia; hypotension; cardiac arrhythmias; strokes and seizures have also been reported by people suffering the adverse effects of EMF radiation.

<https://alchemistcook.blogspot.com/2020/05/the-potential-dangers-of-5g-radiation.html>

566. There is no evidence showing that EMFs are safe. On the contrary, the available evidence shows that EMF radiation can cause all sorts of diseases and other adverse conditions in relation to it. In an extensive review of the medical literature concerning the effects of EMF, Dr Martin L Pall, professor emeritus of biochemistry and basic medical sciences at Washington State University, concluded:

Putting in tens of millions of 5G antennae without a single biological test of safety has got to be about the stupidest idea anyone has had in the history of the world.

Martin L Pall, 5G: Great risk for EU, U.S. and International Health!
Washington State University, 17 May 2018
<https://peaceinspace.blogs.com/files/5g-emf-hazards-dr-martin-l.-pall-eu-emf2018-6-11us3.pdf>

567. His report discusses specific health effects of radio frequency energy, and why 5G is different and potentially more dangerous. He lists hundreds of studies on the effects of microwave energy on biological systems. Most of those studies, linking cell phones to cancer, autism and other disorders are known. Most astonishing is that no one independent of the telecommunications industry has yet tested the effects of pulsed 5G energy to determine its biological effects.
568. In his report, Pall concludes that there is a high level of scientific certainty, for each of eight pathophysiological effects caused by non-thermal microwave frequency EMF exposures, providing a substantial body of evidence on the existence of each effect. I quote them verbatim. EMFs:

- a. attack our nervous systems including our brains leading to widespread neurological/neuropsychiatric effects and possibly many other effects. This nervous system attack is of great concern;
- b. attack our endocrine (that is hormonal) systems. In this context, the main things that make us functionally different from single celled creatures are our nervous system and our endocrine systems – even a simple planaria worm needs both of these. Thus the consequences of the disruption of these two regulatory systems is immense, such that it is a travesty to ignore these findings;
- c. produce oxidative stress and free radical damage, which have central roles in essentially all chronic diseases;
- d. attack the DNA of our cells, producing single strand and double strand breaks in cellular DNA and oxidised bases in our cellular DNA. These in turn produce cancer and also mutations in germ line cells which produce mutations in future generations;
- e. produce elevated levels of apoptosis (programmed cell death), events especially important in causing both neurodegenerative diseases and infertility;
- f. lower male and female fertility, lower sex hormones, lower libido and increased levels of spontaneous abortion and, as already stated, attack the DNA in sperm cells;
- g. produce excessive intracellular calcium and excessive calcium signalling;
- h. attack the cells of our bodies to cause cancer. Such attacks are thought to act via 15 different mechanisms during cancer causation.

569. The substantial evidence he has reviewed indicates that in terms of actual disease EMF causes very early onset dementias, including Alzheimer's; ADHD and autism; fatal heart attack and many cancers. Rather than investing in expensive research to develop expensive treatments for these conditions, it would make far more sense in terms of economics and Law to remove from the environment the contributory causes of them.

The Evidence of a Link from the outset of the Pandemic

570. At the beginning of the 'pandemic', around March-April 2020, a study into the relationship between the rollout of 5G antenna and 'Covid-19' carried out at Barcelona University was published. Although the exact publication date is not stated, the English translation was dated 24 April 2020. It was therefore available to any minister of HMG, any member of Parliament, any journalist or any medical practitioners from shortly after the first lockdown and long before the introduction of the mRNA treatment.

571. The results obtained demonstrate a clear and close relationship between the rate of coronavirus infections and 5G antenna location, indicating a possible cause-effect relationship with the 'Covid-19' pandemic. I quote the study's conclusions verbatim here:

- i. A 'border effect' is significant, original and unique to this pandemic: it presents marked differences between contiguous states with and without 5G installation. It is particularly significant that the countries bordering China have very low rates of infection. One may also compare between Mexico and the USA or between Portugal and Spain, etc.

- ii. The case of San Marino is particularly significant. It was the first state in the world to install 5G and therefore, the state whose citizens have been exposed to 5G radiation the longest, and suspiciously, the first state in the world with infections. The probability of this happening [randomly] is 1 in 37,636.
- iii. In the cities studied, Madrid, Barcelona and New York, this correlation is also observed. In the study of the city of Barcelona (pp. 7-8), it can be seen that the socio-economic factor plays a significant role.
- iv. It is very significant that on the African continent, with scarce health resources but without 5G, the rate of infection is very low, except for some antennas in South Africa, which also presents the highest rates of infection in Africa.
- v. The rates of infection are diluted. The rates of some regions are influenced by cities with 5G, but the rates of infection of these cities are diluted in those of the region to which they belong.
- vi. So it is more significant, as is the case of Spain, to compare uni-provincial autonomous regions, than among those that are formed by 3 or more of the old provinces. Thus we see that some regions with 5G such as Rioja, Madrid and Navarra, have rates between 4 and 8 times higher than others without 5G.
- vii. The same is true in other cities around the world where the 5G network does not cover the entire territory of the state or region.

Bartomeu Payeras i Cifre, Study of the correlation between cases of coronavirus and the presence of 5G networks, March-April 2020
http://www.tomeulamo.com/fixers/264_CORONA-5G-d.pdf

Large Scale Review of the Link

- 572. In September 2021, Dr Beverley Rubik and Dr Robert R Brown had a paper published which reviewed the existing peer-reviewed literature on the detrimental effects of wireless communications radiation – another term for EMFs – had on human health.
- 573. First let me point out that the authors have on the surface allowed the echo chamber of the rumour mill to influence their thinking: they assume that there is a disease called 'Covid-19' caused by an isolated virus known as 'SARS-Cov2'. This may though be a ruse to slip their research under the noses of the unofficial censors as they point out:

Assessing the potentially detrimental health effects of WCR [Wireless Communication Radiation] may be crucial to develop an effective, rational public health policy that may help expedite eradication of the Covid-19 pandemic.

Beverly Rubik and Robert R Brown,
 Evidence for a connection between coronavirus disease-19 and exposure to radiofrequency radiation from wireless communications including 5G,
 Journal of Clinical and Translational Research, 29 September 2021
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8580522/?fbclid=IwAR2b26ZhckNH7bwnDPH8lXPDiQRDIpcxum7FpAd6j10ln6aGIBaT18kuWOW>

- 574. If understanding the health effect of 5G helps expedite the eradication of 'Covid-19', then the authors must suspect that 'Covid-19' and its symptoms are not caused by a virus but by 5G and other EMFs especially as the

authors go on to say that the symptoms of Covid were first observed after a city-wide roll-out of 5G in Wuhan.

575. The authors have also established a 'correlation' between the introduction of 5G to communities across the world and the spread of 'Covid-19' to those communities. We already know about 'Covid-19' appearing two months after 5G was switched on in Wuhan but outbreaks soon followed in other areas where 5G had also been at least partially implemented, including South Korea, Northern Italy, New York City, Seattle, and Southern California.
576. They also quote an earlier study, done in Belarus which comes to similar conclusions. In May 2020, Vladimir Mordachev reported a statistically significant correlation between the intensity of radiofrequency radiation – and regulation of it – and the mortality from SARS-CoV-2 in 31 countries throughout the world:

The validity of the hypothesis about the possible impact of the EM background created by the public wireless information systems, first of all by systems of cellular (mobile) communications, on the relative lethality rate from Covid-19 is indirectly confirmed by the results of the analysis of correlation between the degree of severity of hygienic regulation of levels of radio frequency EM background for the population in different countries according to data and the lethality rate from Covid-19, in relation to the number of people infected.

Vladimir Mordachev, Correlation between State University of Informatics and Radio-electronics, Minsk, Republic of Belarus, 15 June 2020
<https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/correlation-between-the-potential-electromagnetic-pollution-level-and-the-danger-of-covid-19-4g-5g-6g-can-be-safe-for-people>

577. In other words, the fatality rate from 'Covid-19' increases in countries which have not sought to regulate the background environmental pollution from EMF. Rubik and Brown go on to state:

During the first pandemic wave in the United States, Covid-19-attributed cases and deaths were statistically higher in states and major cities with 5G infrastructure as compared with states and cities that did not yet have this technology.

There is a large body of peer reviewed literature, since before World War II, on the biological effects of WCR that impact many aspects of our health. In examining this literature, we found intersections between the pathophysiology [the disordered physiological processes associated with disease or injury] of SARS-Cov2 and detrimental bioeffects of WCR exposure.

Background, Ibid

578. If this pathophysiology from Wireless Communication Radiation was well-known before the arrival of 'Covid', then clearly this range of symptoms cannot be caused simply by any notional 'SARS-Cov2 virus' which came later.
579. After a comprehensive analysis of the adverse effects of EMF radiation – even at low levels documented in scientific literature across the world over six decades – they identified several ways in which the adverse 'bioeffects' from EMF exposure correlate with 'Covid19' manifestations then organised their findings into five categories:

1. Blood changes

2. Oxidative stress
3. Immune system disruption and activation
4. Increased intracellular calcium
5. Cardiac effects

580. They are summarised in the table below:

Bioeffects of Wireless Communication Radiation (WCR) exposure in relation to COVID-19 manifestations and their progression	
Wireless communications radiation (WCR) exposure bioeffects	COVID-19 manifestations
Blood changes Short-term: rouleaux, echinocytes Long-term: reduced blood clotting time, reduced hemoglobin, hemodynamic disorders	Blood changes Rouleaux, echinocytes Hemoglobin effects; vascular effects →Reduced hemoglobin in severe disease; autoimmune hemolytic anemia; hypoxemia and hypoxia →Endothelial injury; impaired microcirculation; hypercoagulation; disseminated intravascular coagulopathy (DIC); pulmonary embolism; stroke
Oxidative stress Glutathione level decrease; free radicals and lipid peroxide increase; superoxide dismutase activity decrease; oxidative injury in tissues and organs	Oxidative stress Glutathione level decrease; free radical increase and damage; apoptosis→Oxidative injury; organ damage in severe disease
Immune system disruption and activation Immune suppression in some studies; immune hyperactivation in other studies Long-term: suppression of T-lymphocytes; inflammatory biomarkers increased; autoimmunity; organ injury	Immune system disruption and activation Decreased production of T-lymphocytes; elevated inflammatory biomarkers. →Immune hyperactivation and inflammation; cytokine storm in severe disease; cytokine-induced hypo-perfusion with resulting hypoxia; organ injury; organ failure
Increased intracellular calcium From activation of voltage-gated calcium channels on cell membranes, with numerous secondary effects	Increased intracellular calcium →Increased virus entry, replication, and release →Increased NF-κB, pro-inflammatory processes, coagulation, and thrombosis
Cardiac effects Up-regulation of sympathetic nervous system; palpitations and arrhythmias	Cardiac effects Arrhythmias →Myocarditis; myocardial ischemia; cardiac injury; cardiac failure

Supporting evidence including study details and citations are provided in the study under each separate subject heading

I swear the above is a true representation of the table shown in Rubik and Brown's paper

581. Although the authors as academics are loathe to declare a causal link between adverse health effects attributed to Covid-19 and EMF radiation, particularly 5G, the correlation is extensive, although not always there. Self-styled intellectual commentators will tell you that correlation does not equal causation but they don't seem to realise that it doesn't rule it out either. Correlation is in fact the first step to further investigation to confirm or rule out causation.
582. Given that we have established that there is no evidence of an isolated virus causing the more peculiar symptoms of 'Covid-19', there really is no other rational explanation for the relationship between increased presence of EMF in the environment and these 'bioeffects'. There may though be other factors contributing to them like environmental pollution – prevalent in Wuhan where the symptoms of 'Covid-19' were first identified – which may work in conjunction with the wireless radiation.

<https://aaqr.org/articles/aaqr-20-07-covid-0438>

583. There can though be no doubt that the data analysed by Rubik and Brown has unequivocally demonstrated that EMF radiation causes health issues even at low levels.
584. Either way, investing money in novel, experimental treatments like the mRNA injection to treat non-existent 'viruses' is a waste of government money, which would be better used cleaning up the air we breathe and removing the toxins which surround us. Any roll-out of 5G for the 'Internet of Things' needs to be paused and our reliance on Wifi in general should be scaled back and not increased. High speed communications can after all be passed through fibre optic cable.
585. The 'pandemic' actually increased exposure to EMFs, as Rubik and Brown observe:

Human exposure to ambient WCR significantly increased in 2020 as a 'side effect' to the pandemic. Stay-at-home measures designed to reduce the spread of Covid-19 inadvertently resulted in greater public exposure to WCR, as people conducted more business and school related activities through wireless communications.

Telemedicine created another source of WCR exposure. Even hospital inpatients, particular ICU patients, experienced increased WCR exposure as new monitoring devices utilised wireless communication systems that may exacerbate health disorders.

Discussion, Ibid

See also Dr Beverly Rubik on Wireless and 5G Harms to Biofields, Blood, Life/Covid-5G Connection, Bitchute, 20 January 2022

586. Given that there is no proof of a naturally occurring 'SARS-Cov2 virus', it appears that this evidence of likely causation between 5G and the reported incidences and severity of the disease means that EMF provokes respiratory and other diseases. It may be that the seasonal flu, like scarlet fever and whooping cough before it, was dying out anyway. Vaccination programmes supposedly designed to reduce the severity of the symptoms of the seasonal flu may have slowed its eradication, as happened with the vaccination programmes for polio and currently, measles.
587. Lockdowns were used as protection for the 5G Towers installed before the 'pandemic' began and as cover to allow further installation of them because some people had taken it upon themselves to pull them down, prior to lockdown. These people would, of course, have a defence under the Law to the charge of criminal damage, which recognises that you have a right to lawfully damage property to protect the safety and property of yourself and other people.

Legal Action against HMG policy towards 5G

588. In March 2023, The High Court, King's Bench Divison, ruled against a petition by the Action Against 5G campaign, led by Mike Mansfield, KC, to induce the courts to carry out a judicial review of the failure of the ministers of HMG to hear evidence about the health risks posed by 5G.
589. Mansfield has argued that holding to account the executive or legislative powers to comply with the law and legal duties is undoubtedly a proper and essential function for the Court, especially in the context of protection of

men, women and children from harms to their health, among them loss of life and serious harm to health.

590. He has provided evidence from a multitude of respected and eminent experts concerning the health effects of the technology used by 5G, and the attendant risks to the health of men and women, which the ministers of HMG have failed to act on:

The Defendants cannot lawfully continue to ignore or overlook the evidence that indicates the existence of a risk that has not been quantified.

To date there has been a failure to engage with this body of evidence, and an inappropriate attempt to delegate any assessment of risk to an external body – a body against which membership legitimate criticism of industry finance and conflict of interest is levelled.

<https://actionagainst5g.org/legal-case/>

591. Mansfield has specifically highlighted:

- a. the absence of due investigation of the nature and extent of the risks to the safety of individuals, and human health by the relevant United Kingdom authorities;
- b. the absence of appropriate measures, systems and safeguarding steps to address the identified risks or potential risks; and
- c. a failure to adopt and apply a precautionary principle, or informed foresight, to the exposure of non-consenting children and adults to a risk of harm.

592. Mansfield has also highlighted the influence of the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (hereafter 'ICNIRP'), a small private corporate entity which has been widely accused of having conflicts of interest and refusing to acknowledge the robust body of peer reviewed research demonstrating harmful bio-effects from EMF.

593. The UK Health and Security Agency and the WHO take their guidance from ICNIRP.

594. This all once again highlights the failure of the legal system and its courts of equity to deal with harms caused to men, women and children and shows that the men and women who hide behind legal fictions have no understanding of their duties under the Law. It either betrays an enormous arrogance and ignorance on their part or it proves that they are in fact being blackmailed to make rulings on behalf of the shadow government at the expense of the rights of man.

595. In reality, the ministers of HMG have once again failed to perform their duties under the Law by violating the precautionary principle and failing to perform their duties to enquire into matters solemnly and with due diligence to establish truth under the Law. The following Principles of Law support this reasoning:

Abundant caution does no harm.

No rule of law protects anyone who wilfully closes his ears to information, or refuses to make inquiry when circumstances of grave suspicion imperatively demand it.

Truth fears nothing but concealment.

We can do nothing against truth.

Truth is the mother of justice.

<https://www.bookofthelaw.org/index.php/we-hold-these-truths-to-be-self-evident/>

596. The precautionary principle is recognised in the European Union Treaty at Article 191, paragraph 2, stressing the importance of prevention of harm rather than any cure for it. Where legal definitions comply with the Law, they carry the force of Law.

The messenger ribonucleic acid or mRNA treatment

597. Despite massive propaganda on the part of pharmaceutical companies, there is no evidence that any vaccine has prevented the contraction or transmission of any disease in history. Although many will claim that polio and smallpox were eradicated by vaccines, the truth is these diseases were already in enormous decline. The introduction of the vaccines actually slowed down their eradication.
598. This has led to their producers claiming that vaccines, like the one for seasonal flu, are effective because they reduce the severity of symptoms of the disease in question rather than preventing the transmission and contraction of it, reducing the burden of health care providers by, for example, reducing hospital admissions and the number of deaths caused by the disease.
599. However, even this claim in the case of the seasonal flu is contradicted by an enormous research study conducted by Dr Michael L Anderson, PhD – an associate professor in the department of agricultural and resource economics at the University of California, Berkeley, published in March 2020, long before the mRNA jab was introduced.
600. He concluded that increased vaccination rates among adults aged 65 years and older did not reduce hospitalisations or mortalities, after evaluating 170 million care episodes and 7.6 million deaths. He told Helio News:

Previous studies, which used research designs that were at greater risk of confounding, found that the standard seasonal influenza vaccine decreased hospitalization and mortality rates for the elderly by double-digit percentages.

Unfortunately, we found effectiveness against these severe outcomes to be much lower, and not significantly different than zero.

Flu Vaccination does not reduce hospitalisations death in older adults<
Helio News, 3 March 2020

https://www.healio.com/news/primary-care/20200302/flu-vaccination-does-not-reduce-hospitalizations-death-in-older-adults?fbclid=IwAR0NKG_iKbysNRGUVSUwGUgJ6IGOdCrthTtkEMlcm5NWozq3rwnQyH87jdw

The history of the mRNA treatment

601. Prior to the alleged Covid-19 pandemic in 2020, which was reportedly caused by 'the SARS-Cov2 coronavirus', researchers had failed to produce an mRNA

'vaccine' to treat the existing 'coronaviruses', like the common cold, MERS and the original SARS, partly because the bodies' natural defence mechanisms struggle to protect the upper respiratory tract, which is principally affected by the particle said to cause the symptoms of disease.

602. Because the body has different responses to different particles causing disease, researchers admit that you can't simply taking an existing treatment and, in the case of vaccines, swap the attenuated sample, meant to provoke an antibody response to confer immunity on the recipient, of one 'virus' for another.

603. Professor Ian Frazer of the University of Queensland, who spent years developing the vaccine for the human papilloma 'virus', which it is claimed causes cervical cancer, told the Australian Broadcasting Corporation:

If a vaccine elicits an immune response that misses the target cells, the result could potentially be worse than if no vaccine was given. One of the problems with corona vaccines in the past has been that when the immune response does cross over to where the virus-infected cells are it actually increases the pathology rather than reducing it.

So that immunisation with SARS corona vaccine caused, in animals, inflammation in the lungs which wouldn't otherwise have been there if the vaccine hadn't been given.

Jo Kahn, We've never made a successful vaccine for a coronavirus before. This is why it's so difficult
Australian Broadcasting Corporation, 17 Apr 2020
<https://www.abc.net.au/news/health/2020-04-17/coronavirus-vaccine-ian-frazer/12146616>

604. Rather than introducing an attenuated version of the virus, mRNA treatments work by injecting viral mRNA into the body, where it replicates inside the host's cells and encourages the body to recognise, and make antigens for, the 'spike proteins' of the virus:

That is, for this specific class of vaccines, introduction of DNA and RNA provides the instructions to the body to produce the antigen itself. They can be injected in various ways (under the skin, in the vein or in lymph nodes) and then they can enter our body's cells.

Those cells will use the RNA sequence of the antigen to synthesise the protein. After this step, the mechanism is similar to classical vaccines: the antigen is presented at the surface of a subset of cells and triggers the activation of specific cells of the immune system.

Alexis Hubaud,
RNA vaccines: a novel technology to prevent and treat disease
Harvard University Graduate School of Arts and Sciences, 5 May 2015
<https://sitn.hms.harvard.edu/flash/2015/rna-vaccines-a-novel-technology-to-prevent-and-treat-disease/>

605. One of the failed SARS-Cov vaccines actually caused hypersensitivity to the SARS virus, meaning that vaccinated mice could potentially get the disease more severely than unvaccinated mice:

challenge of mice given any of the vaccines led to occurrence of Th2-type immunopathology suggesting hypersensitivity to SARS-CoV components was induced. Caution in proceeding to application of a SARS-CoV vaccine in humans is indicated.

Robert B Couch et al,

Conclusion, Immunization with SARS Coronavirus Vaccines Leads to
Pulmonary Immunopathology on Challenge with the SARS Virus
PLOS, National Library of Medicine, 20 April 2012
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3335060/>

606. Another study showed that ferrets which had received the mRNA treatment:

exhibited strong inflammatory responses in liver tissue. Inflammation in control animals exposed to SARS-CoV was relatively mild. Thus, our data suggest that vaccination with rMVA [sic] expressing SARS-CoV S protein is associated with enhanced hepatitis.

Jinzing Cao et al,
Immunization with Modified Vaccinia Virus Ankara-Based Recombinant Vaccine against Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Is Associated with Enhanced Hepatitis in Ferrets
Journal of Virology, American Society for Microbiology, 15 November 2004
<https://journals.asm.org/doi/10.1128/jvi.78.22.12672-12676.2004>

607. The potential of mRNA treatments to damage the liver – as well as suppressing the body's natural defence mechanisms against disease – was supported by peer-reviewed research published in 2010 into the toxicity of positively charged lipid nanoparticles, which are used to deliver the mRNA into the gelatinous liquid that fills the inside of a cell.
608. In the study, the mice treated with positively charged lipid nanoparticles – hereafter (+)NPs – showed increased liver enzyme release, indicating damage to the liver, and body weight loss compared to mice treated with neutral or negatively charged lipid nanoparticles.
609. Injections of the (+)NPs also induced an 'interferon type I response', which leads to suppression of an organism's ability to defend itself during chronic viral infections, and in response to this, elevated mRNA levels of genes 15–25-fold higher than neutral and negatively charged lipid nanoparticles in different subsets of the mice's leukocytes, which help the body to fight disease.
610. Too many cytokines can lead to excess inflammation – and induce conditions like autoimmune diseases. Treatment with (+)NPs provoked a dramatic inflammatory response in the mice by creating cytokines at a 10–75-fold higher rate than treatment with control particles, leading the authors to conclude:

Under certain experimental conditions, a formulation of DOTAP-based nanoparticles has induced systemic toxicity, Th1 cytokines expression and activated a type I interferon response. We found that these cationic nanoparticles activate toll-like receptor 4 expressed on leukocytes in a specific manner.

This study lays the foundation for evaluating different types of nanoparticles and their immune toxicity.

Dan Peer et al,
The systemic toxicity of positively charged lipid nanoparticles and the role of Toll-like receptor 4 in immune activation, Elsevier, Science Direct, September 2010
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0142961210006459>

611. Given that the lipid nanoparticles, which are not naturally occurring fatty acids or lipids, have a positive electric charge, the damage they do may be

amplified by electro-magnetic frequencies, like 5G (see Covid, 5G and Wireless Radiation or EMFs below).

612. For further toxicity associated with the mRNA injection, see the research cited at Evidence gathered by UK Citizens 2021 below showing the mRNA treatment vials contain graphene oxide, a substance known to be toxic to human beings.

Medical treatments which were suppressed

613. One of the considerations, when considering whether to authorise an emergency treatment is the availability of existing treatments to counter-act the disease, its severity and its symptoms. There is no evidence that HMG took evidence about treatments which could prevent the contraction of 'Covid-19' before 'authorising' the novel and experimental mRNA treatment.

614. HMG not only failed in its duty to enquire into the availability and efficacy of alternative treatments to 'Covid-19', its ministers – along with the General Medical Council, which licenses medical doctors in the UK – conspired to suppress Ivermectin, a drug used in medicine to kill parasites which cause a range of conditions, after receiving its formal approval of 'safe and effective' from the US Food and Drug Administration in the 1980s but remains unlicensed in the UK.

615. According to a Freedom of Information Act request, the UK Border Force seized 120,250 doses of ivermectin Feb 2021 to Feb 2022, whereas prior the pandemic, it had seized none.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/freedom-of-information-responses-from-the-mhra-week-commencing-7-march-2022/freedom-of-information-on-how-many-seizures-of-medicines-have-been-made-in-the-last-12-months-for-medicines-that-do-not-require-any-form-of-import-lic>

616. Although Ivermectin was first used as treatment for animal parasites – provoking coverage discrediting it in certain media outlets as a 'horse dewormer', it is on the WHO's List of Essential Medicines,

<https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/325771>

617. In 2015, William Campbell and Satoshi Ōmura won the 2015 Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine for its discovery and applications. In 2020, it was the 423rd most commonly prescribed medication in the United States, with more than 100,000 prescriptions. It has been administered more than 4 billion times across the world.

https://web.archive.org/web/20151005210425/http://www.nobelprize.org/nobel_prizes/medicine/laureates/2015/press.pdf

<https://clincalc.com/DrugStats/Drugs/Ivermectin>

618. According to the scientific research, Ivermectin is a cheap, remarkably safe drug with reported minimal, minor adverse reactions, particularly where it has been used to treat 'Covid-19'.

<https://covid19criticalcare.com/faq-items/is-ivermectin-safe-and-are-there-any-contraindications-for-use/>

619. Despite this, the US Food and Drug Administration, the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the European Medicines Agency and the WHO have stated that Ivermectin is not authorised or approved to treat 'Covid-19'.
620. At the very time HMG was authorising the novel, experimental and highly dangerous mRNA treatment, a peer-reviewed study was published which concluded that:

the results provide evidence of the potential benefit of early intervention with the drug ivermectin for the treatment of adult patients diagnosed with mild COVID-19. First, early intervention promoted faster viral clearance during disease onset, which might have prevented significant immune system involvement and hastened the recovery.

Secondly, early intervention reduced the viral load faster, thus may help block disease transmission in the general population. A larger randomised controlled clinical trial of ivermectin treatment appears to be warranted to validate these important findings.

Wasif Ali Khan et al, A five-day course of ivermectin for the treatment of COVID-19 may reduce the duration of illness, International Journal of Infectious Diseases, 2 December 2020
10.1016/j.ijid.2020.11.191
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7709596/>

621. In summer 2021, the American Journal of Therapeutics published an extensive analysis of existing studies into the effectiveness of Ivermectin.

Moderate-certainty evidence finds that large reductions in COVID-19 deaths are possible using Ivermectin.

Using Ivermectin early in the clinical course may reduce numbers progressing to severe disease. The apparent safety and low cost suggest that Ivermectin is likely to have a significant impact on the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic globally.

Andrew Bryant et al,
Ivermectin for Prevention and Treatment of COVID-19 Infection: A Systematic Review, Meta-analysis, and Trial Sequential Analysis to Inform Clinical Guidelines, American Journal of Therapeutics
July/August 2021,
https://journals.lww.com/americantherapeutics/Fulltext/2021/08000/Ivermectin_for_Prevention_and_Treatment_of.7.aspx.

622. Ivermectin is therefore evidentially safe and effective in the acute treatment of infection with SARS-Cov2, as also evidenced by its widespread use in numerous jurisdictions worldwide. It is included in the protocols developed by the highly respected Front Line Covid-19 Critical Care Alliance (FLCC). Its members have also explained why certain studies have under-estimated the effectiveness of Ivermectin:

Many of the trials have extreme conflicts of interest and appear to have been designed to fail and predetermined to show ivermectin as ineffective.
[...]

The trials often under-dosed and started treatment far too late, even though in the medical community it is common knowledge that COVID-19 becomes far more difficult to treat the longer a patient has had symptoms.

Treating early is imperative. [...]

FLCCC physicians have understood for nearly 18 months that Ivermectin works best against COVID-19 when administered early, in combination with other therapies and given with a fatty meal for at least 5 days or until symptoms resolve.

<https://covid19criticalcare.com/faq-items/what-about-the-recent-large-randomized-controlled-trials-that-seem-to-show-ivermectin-is-not-effective-for-covid-19/>

The process of approval of new medical treatments

623. Developing a new treatment can take many years, if not decades, from the early stages of laboratory research through the essential stages of clinical trials and regulatory checks to the point where it can be legally administered:

Preclinical: testis on animals.

Phase I: tests on a small number of humans, to ensure the treatment is safe.

Phase II: further tests on humans, to ensure the treatment is effective.

Phase III: yet more tests on larger samples of humans, to confirm its effectiveness.

Phase IV: Once the treatment has been rolled out, ongoing formal monitoring of the treatment, to make sure it doesn't have long-term adverse effects.

624. The WEF states that in normal circumstances it takes around \$500million over at least ten years before a vaccine completes Phase III testing:

- i. Discovery research, 2-5 years, looking at 100 potential vaccines
- ii. Preclinical, 2 years, narrowing down to 20 potential vaccines
- iii. Clinical development:
 - a. Safety, 1-2 years, narrowing to ten potential vaccines
 - b. Immune response, 2-3 years, narrowing down to five potential vaccines
 - c. Effectiveness, 2-4 years, one vaccine

<https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2020/06/vaccine-development-barriers-coronavirus/>

625. After the above trial testing from Preclinical to Phase III, the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) assess all the evidence to determine whether the product is safe and effective. It then grants a license before the treatment can be made available for widespread use in the UK. The license determines the recommended effective and safe dose to best treat a particular condition and the recommended dosage.

626. When a medication has a license from the MHRA that in theory confirms it is safe and effective, it can be made available in the UK to buy privately at a price set by the pharmaceutical company that manufactures it. In practice, many treatments which have passed these stages of trial have later been found to be injurious to human health and have been withdrawn, largely

because of the poor design of the trials (see also The unreliability of medical research above).

627. When considered in the light of the placebo effect and return to mean, they have little or no proven benefit, particularly with regard to mental health treatments.
628. Once the price of the medication is set, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) assesses the evidence for the effectiveness of the treatment against its cost and recommends whether it should be funded by the National Health Service (NHS) and for which specific conditions and symptoms. If given the go ahead, the new treatment is generally available on the NHS within three months of NICE making its funding decision.
629. After the treatment has been prescribed, it is routinely monitored to ensure its safety and effectiveness (Phase IV) above.
630. In the case of the various novel mRNA treatments, HMG 'authorised' the emergency use of a variety of treatments based on mRNA under Regulation 174 of the Human Medicine Regulations 2012 (as amended) on 2nd December 2020 then renewed that emergency authorization over the following months of the pandemic.

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/1022642/Conditions_of_authorisation_for_Pfizer_BioNTech_vaccine__27_Sept.pdf

Trials of the mRNA treatment using the 'SARS-Cov2 virus'

631. In the case of an mRNA treatment for 'Covid-19', I can find no evidence of any animal trials being carried out let alone completed, and I believe none exists. These trials were omitted even though the animal trials for the mRNA treatment for SARS-Cov resulted in significant harm to the subjects (see The history of the mRNA treatment above).
632. Phase I and II, trials to determine the basic safety and effectiveness of a new vaccine normally take between three and five years before Phase III trials on larger numbers of test subjects are conducted. In normal circumstances, it would have taken until at least January 2023, three years after the 'SARS-Cov2 virus' discovery, to complete Phase I and II trials yet these were carried out in just months before Phase III testing on large numbers of men and women began.
633. At the time of the emergency 'authorisation' by HMG, there was little or no verifiable data from the Phase III trials as they were scheduled – in the case of the Pfizer mRNA treatment for 'Covid-19' at least – not to be completed until February 2023. Despite this, many prominent politicians, television personalities, journalists and commentators with the backing of political parties and media outlets they were part of, among them the BBC, making claims that the mRNA treatment was safe and effective.

Iain Davis, What Vaccine Trials?, Off Guardian, 21 January 2021
<https://off-guardian.org/2021/01/03/what-vaccine-trials/>

634. The late-stage human trials were therefore not peer-reviewed or were abandoned after 'severe adverse effects':

<https://web.archive.org/web/20201128213442/https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/results/NCT04516746>

<https://web.archive.org/web/20201229112508/https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT04540393>

635. In addition, HMG gave the novel mRNA treatment for 'Covid-19' its emergency 'authorisation' even though ministers did not know the totality of the contents of the mRNA 'vaccine'. The financial news agency Bloomberg has reported that Pfizer has fought to control the secret behind its \$36 billion 'vaccine':

Yet there is one point on which the Pfizer CEO won't budge: his vaccine's secret formula.

<https://www.bloomberg.com/graphics/2021-pfizer-secret-to-whats-in-the-covid-vaccine/>

636. Given that mRNA treatment is novel and experimental – and the pharmaceutical industry has a history of misrepresenting its safety data in pursuit of its massive profits, the ministers of HMG's decision to give the treatment an emergency 'authorisation' constitutes the offence of reckless endangerment, violating the Nuremberg Code of medical ethics:

A defendant may be guilty, either if they intended to endanger life by damage, or was reckless that life would be endangered by the damage. Thus, those who drop objects on a moving train or railway line, or throw missiles at or ram police cars may be properly convicted of an offence under section 1(2) of the CDA [Criminal Damage Act]1971.

[https://www.cps.gov.uk/legal-guidance/criminal-damage#:~:text=A%20defendant%20may%20be%20guilty,2\)%20of%20the%20CDA%201971.](https://www.cps.gov.uk/legal-guidance/criminal-damage#:~:text=A%20defendant%20may%20be%20guilty,2)%20of%20the%20CDA%201971.)

A person who without lawful excuse destroys or damages any property, whether belonging to himself or another—

- a. intending to destroy or damage any property or being reckless as to whether any property would be destroyed or damaged; and
- b. intending by the destruction or damage to endanger the life of another or being reckless as to whether the life of another would be thereby endangered;

shall be guilty of an offence.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1971/48/section/1>

637. In addition, the Chief Executive Officers of the pharmaceutical corporations involved in producing the mRNA treatment had a duty to declare to ministers any potentially toxic substances in it under Control of Substances Hazardous to Health 2002 health and safety regulations, which apply to nanotechnology.

[https://www.hse.gov.uk/nanotechnology/cosHH.htm#:~:text=COSHH%20is%20the%20law%20that,to%20health%20\(risk%20assessment\)%3B](https://www.hse.gov.uk/nanotechnology/cosHH.htm#:~:text=COSHH%20is%20the%20law%20that,to%20health%20(risk%20assessment)%3B)

638. This constitutes a conspiracy between the ministers of HMG and the men and women working for the pharmaceutical corporations involved in producing the MRNA treatment to cause harm to the health of those receiving the treatment in the mistaken belief that it was safe and effective.

639. In any case, it is up to those who 'authorised' and supported the 'Emergency Authorisation' of the mRNA treatment to establish their case with reference to data and reasoned analysis.

Transmission reduction

640. Even then, there was never any reasonable claim that the novel mRNA treatment for 'Covid-19' conferred immunity from infection or prevented a man who had contracted the disease from passing it to other people. In October 2020, before the 'Emergency Authorisation', an article in the British Medical Journal confirmed that the mRNA trials were not designed to even try and assess if the treatment limited transmission:

Peter Hotez, dean of the National School of Tropical Medicine at Baylor College of Medicine in Houston, said, "Ideally, you want an antiviral vaccine to do two things . . . first, reduce the likelihood you will get severely ill and go to the hospital, and two, prevent infection and therefore interrupt disease transmission."z

Yet the current phase III trials are not actually set up to prove either.

None of the trials currently under way are designed to detect a reduction in any serious outcome such as hospital admissions, use of intensive care, or deaths. Nor are the vaccines being studied to determine whether they can interrupt transmission of the virus.

Peter Doshie, Will covid-19 vaccines save lives? Current trials aren't designed to tell us

BMJ 2020;371:m4037, British Medical Journal, 21 October 2020

<https://www.bmj.com/content/371/bmj.m4037>

641. When they released their almost wholly untrials mRNA gene therapies for 'Covid-19', the producers were quite clear their product's 'efficacy' was based on reducing the severity of symptoms, although this did not prevent the ministers who are members of HMG, many politicians, journalists and media personalities, among others, from claiming that the experimental jab stopped transmission and contraction of 'Covid-19'.
642. Given the rapid development of the mRNA treatment for 'Covid-19', it is clear the pharmaceutical corporations simply used versions of the 'SARS-Cov2 virus' created from the genetic soup with template in silico. There was never a naturally occurring version of the 'SARS-Cov' virus to use to develop an effective 'vaccine' against the alleged disease.
643. Without a 'virus' to counter-act, no 'vaccination' can be effective. Vaccines normally take at least ten years, if not longer, to develop yet the mRNA jab for the made-up 'SARS-Cov2 virus' was rolled out a year after Chinese scientists had claimed to first identify the cause of 'Covid-19' in Wuhan.
644. Reason dictates there can therefore be no long-term safety data.
645. The 'vaccine' has in any case in its declared use already killed or severely source maimed millions and that is before the long-term effects have been observed. Given that adverse events after traditional vaccination are – according to peer-reviewed analysis – under-reported in the short term by a factor of ten and in long term by a factor of a hundred, these adverse events represent the tip of the iceberg.

646. HMG also failed to set up a formal system for monitoring the safety of the 'emergency authorised' mRNA treatment by, for example, insisting that those who had undergone the treatment were formally contacted over the following days, weeks and months after having received the treatment to ensure they had not experienced any adverse events.

647. This means that any data indicating that the mRNA injection is safe is meaningless in scientific and practical terms. It also constitutes a grave dereliction of the duty to protect human life and health, constituting the offences of fraud as defined by section 3 of the 2006 Fraud Act (see paragraph 43) above.

648. In addition, reports of adverse events have a far greater frequency in certain production batches of the mRNA treatment, an issue the ministers of HMG have failed to deal with or detail to the recipients of subsequent mRNA injections, violating their duties of truth and consideration to those considering the treatment.

<https://rumble.com/v2x6le4-criminal-study-shows-1-in-3-pfizer-batches-likely-placebo-regulators-likely.html>

649. The concentration of adverse events associated with certain production batches implies that the contents of the mRNA injections were different from batch to batch. This represents a failure to comply with legislation detailing that pharmaceutical corporations produce a consistent treatment.

650. It also strongly implies that the producers of the vials have manipulated the safety data by producing vials without mRNA or the other toxic substances that have been found by scientific analysis to exist in the treatment under review to skew the percentage proportions of adverse events to doses delivered.

651. This is in addition to the fact that people were categorised as 'unvaccinated' up to 14 days after receiving the mRNA treatment. Given that the vast majority of adverse reactions, among them death, to vaccines and other treatments happen within this period, this represents an egregious fraud on the part of the ministers of HMG to trick potential recipients of the treatment into believing it was far safer than it turned out to be.

652. As we already seen, the ministers in HMG have waged war on men, women and children in the Middle East while using the fraud of the legal system to undermine the rights that are paramount and mandatory and are a customary part of the culture of the people of the UK and the Western world. They have also failed to speak or act against organisations proposing a depopulation programme, meaning, at the very least, they have consented to this by their silence.

653. In summary, they gave the mRNA treatment an 'Emergency Authorisation':

- a. in the absence of complete and tested evidence showing the treatment was safe and effective;
- b. without knowing the contents of the mRNA injection vials.

654. Ministers later failed to review the 'Emergency Authorisation' even when campaigners submitted evidence to the police that the vials for the mRNA injection contained contain substances toxic to human health in February 2021, two months after the mRNA treatment programme began and therefore

before many had received the treatment (see Evidence gathered by UK Citizens 2021 below).

655. In the light of this, we might reasonably infer that the mRNA treatment is part of the programme to either depopulate God's earth or to subject the people to mind control. Either way, the actions of the ministers of HMG and those who supported the mass mRNA treatment programme constitute crimes against humanity as defined by the Principles of Nuremberg underpinned by the Nuremberg Code of Medical Ethics.

Medical ethics deriving from Nuremberg

656. From 1946 to 1947, twenty-three doctors and other people associated with the Nazi regime were put on trial for organising and participating in war crimes and crimes against humanity in the form of medical experiments and medical procedures inflicted on prisoners and civilians without their consent.
657. The trials were held before US military courts, not before the International Military Tribunal, which conducted the trials which led to the Principles of Nuremberg but took place in the same rooms at the Palace of Justice in Nuremberg.
658. Known commonly as the Doctors' Trial or the 'subsequent Nuremberg trials' and listed as the USA vs Karl Brandt, the senior medical official of the Nazi government during World War II, the US military tried Brandt and senior doctors and administrators in the armed forces and SS on four counts:
1. conspiracy to commit war crimes and crimes against humanity;
 2. war crimes, meaning crimes against people protected by the laws of war, such as prisoners of war;
 3. crimes against humanity, among them, people not protected by the 'laws' of war;
 4. membership in a criminal organisation, the SS.
659. The specific crimes covered a series of medical experiments concerning the effects of and treatments for high altitude conditions, freezing, malaria, poison gas, sulfanilamide, bone, muscle, and nerve regeneration, bone transplantation, saltwater consumption, epidemic jaundice, sterilization, typhus, poisons, and incendiary bombs.
660. The charges also related to the eugenics practiced by the Nazi regime which had murdered and maimed people, many of them Jews, living under occupation, concentration camp prisoners and prisoners of war on the grounds of mental health issues, incurable illness or disability as part of the Nazi regime's Euthanasia Program.
661. Karl Brandt and six other defendants were convicted, sentenced to death, and executed; nine defendants were convicted and sentenced to terms in prison; and seven defendants were acquitted.
- https://nuremberg.law.harvard.edu/nmt_1_intro
662. To prevent similar atrocities happening again a code of practice for medical experimentation was devised, focusing on informed consent and absence of coercion; properly formulated scientific experimentation; and a moral duty to protect participants in medical trials.

663. In 1947, the ten points of the code were given in the section of the verdict entitled 'Permissible Medical Experiments':

1. The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential.
 - i. This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, over-reaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved, as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision.
 - ii. This latter element requires that, before the acceptance of an affirmative decision by the experimental subject, there should be made known to him the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences and hazards reasonably to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person, which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment.
 - iii. The duty and responsibility for ascertaining the quality of the consent rests upon each individual who initiates, directs or engages in the experiment. It is a personal duty and responsibility which may not be delegated to another with impunity.
2. The experiment should be such as to yield fruitful results for the good of society, unprocurable by other methods or means of study, and not random and unnecessary in nature.
3. The experiment should be so designed and based on the results of animal experimentation and a knowledge of the natural history of the disease or other problem under study, that the anticipated results will justify the performance of the experiment.
4. The experiment should be so conducted as to avoid all unnecessary physical and mental suffering and injury.
5. No experiment should be conducted, where there is an *a priori* reason to believe that death or disabling injury will occur; except, perhaps, in those experiments where the experimental physicians also serve as subjects.
6. The degree of risk to be taken should never exceed that determined by the humanitarian importance of the problem to be solved by the experiment.
7. Proper preparations should be made and adequate facilities provided to protect the experimental subject against even remote possibilities of injury, disability, or death.
8. The experiment should be conducted only by scientifically qualified persons. The highest degree of skill and care should be required through all stages of the experiment of those who conduct or engage in the experiment.
9. During the course of the experiment, the human subject should be at liberty to bring the experiment to an end, if he has reached the physical or mental state, where continuation of the experiment seemed to him to be impossible.
10. During the course of the experiment, the scientist in charge must be prepared to terminate the experiment at any stage, if he has probable cause to believe, in the exercise of the good faith, superior skill and careful judgement required of him, that a continuation of the experiment is likely

to result in injury, disability, or death to the experimental subject.

The Nuremberg Code,
Pages 181-182, Vol. 2, Trials of War Criminals before the Nuremberg Military Tribunals
under Control Council Law No. 10,
US Government Printing Office, 1949
<https://research.wayne.edu/irb/pdf/2-2-the-nuremberg-code.pdf>

664. The code was also published in the British Medical Journal in 1996.

The Nuremberg Code, British Medical Journal, 7 December 1996)
<https://www.bmj.com/content/313/7070/1448.1.full>
<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.313.7070.1448>

665. If we replace the words 'for the good of society' in point 2 above with 'for the common good' and 'legal' with 'lawful', the above points become consonant with the Law and its Principles – designed to protect the lives and health of men and women – so carry the force of Law as a series of principles governing medical experimentation on people.

666. It is absolutely clear that the words and actions of the officers of HMG and those who supported the 'Emergency Authorisation' of the mRNA treatment constitute crimes against humanity deriving from violating every single point of the Nuremberg Code of Medical Ethics.

667. That is evil enough in itself. However, there is also incontrovertible evidence that these men and women also waged biological warfare – or biowarfare – on the British people, violating counter-biological warfare treaties.

The mRNA treatment as a form of biowarfare and mind control

668. We have already established that there was research to show that the mRNA treatment and the positively charged lipid nanoparticles used to make them work on the cell induced toxicity in animal experiments, meaning that the mRNA treatment could have a function in biological warfare (see paragraphs 607 to 611).

669. In addition, the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA)– research and development agency of the US Department of Defense, responsible for the development of emerging technologies for use by the military — is publicly known to have encouraged and funded development of the mRNA injection.

670. In 2002, the US Air Force's Counter-Proliferation Centre produced a paper highlighting how gene therapy – of which the mRNA treatment is a part – could be used in biological warfare to either create a 'genetically manipulated virus' which the body's defence mechanisms would not be able to deal with, or to insert harmful genes 'into an unsuspecting population':

One class of experimental vectors is the retroviruses which permanently integrate themselves into human chromosomes. HIV, which causes AIDS, is a retrovirus. So it should not be hard to understand that gene therapy might have a sinister capability.

A viral vector has already produced a lethal strain of mousepox virus. The genetically manipulated virus completely suppressed the cell-mediated response (the arm of the immune system that combats viral infections) of the lab mice. Even mice previously vaccinated against the natural

mousepox virus died within days of exposure to the super virus.

Mousepox, which does not infect humans, and smallpox are related viruses. If smallpox were to be similarly genetically manipulated, our current vaccine may not protect against it.

These vectors are not yet very efficient into introducing genes into tissue cells. But if a medical technique is perfected, similar vectors might eventually be used to insert harmful genes into an unsuspecting population.

Colonel Michael J Ainscough,
Page 20 (page 30 of the PDF), Gene Therapy as a Weapon,
Next Generation Bioweapons, The Counter-Proliferation Papers,
USAF Counter-Proliferation Centre, April 2002,) <https://irp.fas.org/threat/cbw/nextgen.pdf>

671. In this light, I now put forward evidence of the existence of mind control technology, which may have been delivered under cover of the mRNA injection.

The Rockefeller mind control chimera

672. In April 2017, the Rockefeller University published, researchers have developed wireless technology to exert control over the brain cells of mice 'at the push of a button'.

673. At the flick of the switch of a radio-operated remote control, they found they could increase or suppress the appetite of the mice while the animals went about their daily lives without noticing. Although the technique is being used to study the 'neurological basis of eating' with a view to tackling eating disorders, it is likely it can be applied to other 'hard-wired behaviours'.

674. Previous efforts to induce reactions in the brain by stimulating neurons involved electric wires, pulses of light or drugs. In other words, the subjects would have been aware of the invasive techniques being used on them. In 'radio-genetics' or 'magneto-genetics', biologists can quickly and repeatedly turn neurons on or off in a live animal at will, without the creature being conscious they are being manipulated by radio waves or a magnetic field. To make the animals receptive to these forms of radiation required a genetically engineered 'virus'.

W Wayt Gibbs, Flipping a Switch Inside the Head,
Rockefeller University, 1 April 2017
<https://seek.rockefeller.edu/flipping-a-switch-inside-the-head/>

Friedman et al, Bidirectional electromagnetic control of the hypothalamus regulates feeding and metabolism,
Nature, 23 March 2016
<https://www.nature.com/articles/nature17183>

675. In other words, by creating a genetic mishmash of human, camel and jellyfish DNA and combining it with an 'adenovirus', the research team created a bio-device which can unlock cells and induce a variety of behaviours.
676. This is proof that mind control is not some theoretical idea. If it can be done in mice, the same technique can presumably be used in humans. The only remaining issue would be how to get the genetically modified 'virus' into the

target without them noticing and that is where the 'mRNA jab' programme may have come in.

677. There is also academic research to show that – once inside the body – drugs can be released from inside carbon nano-technology particles like those established to be in mRNA jab vials by laboratory analysis (see Evidence gathered by UK Citizens 2021 below) by boiling the water within. The same principles could of course be used to release a genetically altered 'virus', like the one developed at the Rockefeller University.

<https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-017-04981-2>

678. There is also patented 5G technology which could draw on the ability of 5G's milli-wavelengths to boil the water in the nanotube – in conjunction with the newly rolled out 5G networks (see Covid, 5G and Wireless Radiation below).

<https://www.fbcoverup.com/docs/library/2020-03-20-Charles-%5bM%5d-Lieber-Patent-Inventor-search-IN-Lieber,-Charles-US-Patent-Office-compiled-Mar-20-2020.pdf>

679. As we have established, there is no isolated 'SARS-Cov2 virus', nor is there – or was there – any evidence of a pandemic. There is therefore no justification or reason for the mass 'vaccination' of humanity under Emergency Authorisation legislation.
680. Applying Occam's Razor in the light of that established evidence, it would not be unreasonable to conclude that extra-governmental agencies with the support of governments and media induced people to have the experimental jab because it contained a genetically altered chimera to control their minds, given that government and media have never been less popular than at this time.

Voice in the Head Technology

681. It may be though that mind control by planting a voice in an individual's head – inducing them to act on what they heard in the belief that it was a divine communication, for example – could be achieved without a genetically manipulated chimera.
682. In December 2007, a previously classified US army document dating from 1998, Bioeffects of Selected Non-Lethal Weapons, was released under the Freedom of Information Act. Dubbed a 'telepathic ray gun' by New Scientist magazine, the technology could send pulsed radiofrequencies at 2450 MHz at humans causing 'thermo-elastic expansion', making any target experience the immediate sensation of 'microwave hearing' in the head.
683. The sounds heard would include buzzing, ticking, hissing or knocking. As the report explains, tuning microwave hearing could enable communication with individuals from a distance of up to several hundred meters.

In one experiment, communication of the words from one to ten using 'speech modulated' microwave energy was successfully demonstrated. Microphones next to the person experiencing the voice could not pick up these sounds. [...]

Application of the microwave hearing technology could facilitate a private message transmission. It may be useful to provide a disruptive condition to a person not aware of the technology. Not only might it be disruptive to the sense of hearing, it could be psychologically devastating if one

suddenly heard 'voices within one's head'.

Pages 7-8, Bioeffects of Selected Non-Lethal Weapons, US Army Office of Intelligence and Security Command, 17 February 1998
https://www.wired.com/images_blogs/dangerroom/files/Bioeffects_of_Selected_Non-Lethal_Weapons.pdf

684. At the time the report was prepared the technology to create these effects – aiming devices; microwave energy and radar units, which could be adapted for purpose – was already available.
685. The report also outlined several other types of non-lethal laser applications, including disrupted neural control, microwave heating and temporary blinding.
686. In May 2020, the US Army Joint Intermediate Force Capabilities Office issued guidance for the deployment of this weapon, focusing on only the microwave heating effect, which it called 'Active Denial Technology' or 'ADT':

ADT may be used to complement force application and force protection missions, to include manoeuvre, patrol and convoy protection, perimeter security, and other defensive and offensive operations from fixed-site or mobile platforms. [...]

Traveling at the speed of light, an invisible DE beam of radio frequency milli-meter waves engages the subject, penetrating skin to a depth of only about 1/64th of an inch—the equivalent of three sheets of printer paper. This repel-effect produces an intolerable heating sensation, compelling the targeted individual to instinctively move.

Active Denial Technology Factsheet, Joint Intermediate Force Capabilities Office, U.S. Department of Defense Non-Lethal Weapons Program, 11 May 2020
<https://jnlwp.defense.gov/Press-Room/Fact-Sheets/Article-View-Fact-sheets/Article/577989/active-denial-technology/>

687. Milli-meter length waves are associated with 5G. Although the document stresses the non-lethal nature of the weapon and its defensive capabilities, officials are hardly likely to admit to its use to attack, for example, individuals at a demonstration.
688. It is also reasonable to surmise that a change in frequency might induce lethal or long-term adverse effects on health. The technology could also be used in conjunction with drone technology to attack people over a far greater range.

See also:

Allan H Frey, Human auditory system response to modulated electromagnetic energy, Journal of Applied Psychology, 1 July 1962
<https://journals.physiology.org/doi/abs/10.1152/jappl.1962.17.4.689>

Xiang-Jun Hu et al, Recent advances in the effects of microwave radiation on brains, Military Medical Research, 21 September 2017
<https://mmrjournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s40779-017-0139-0>

Criminal acts during the 'pandemic'

Use of Midazolam on Care Home Residents

689. During the three lockdowns, there was a huge spike in deaths occurring in care homes, attributed to 'Covid-19' but more likely down to a deliberate programme of euthanasia, using Midazolam. According to the Office for National Statistics (ONS) s there were 26,541 deaths in care homes in the first full month of lockdown, an increase of 17,850 on the five-year average (see Table below), or around three times as many deaths.

<https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/datasets/monthlymortalityanalysisenglandandwales>

	Month of occurrence	Five-year average (2015-2019)		2020	
		Home	Care home	Home	Care home
England	January	11,503.8	12,059.4	11,319	10,831
England	February	9,897.0	10,022.2	10,348	9,522
England	March	10,316.6	10,166.6	12,766	11,827
England	April	9,384.6	8,691.0	16,909	26,541
England	May	9,491.4	8,401.0	13,308	13,953
England	June	8,917.0	7,809.2	12,096	7,971
England	July	9,191.0	8,089.0	11,832	7,557
England	August	9,093.6	8,169.0	11,663	7,838
England	September	8,877.2	8,099.4	11,130	7,775
England	October	9,779.0	9,239.2	12,500	9,119
England	November	10,002.4	9,372.2	12,365	9,676
England	December	11,135.0	10,830.0	12,657	10,335

I swear this table is a true representation of official UK figures for deaths in care homes

690. Sold under the brand name Versed, among others, Midazolam is a benzodiazepine or depressant medication used for anaesthesia, procedural sedation, trouble sleeping and severe agitation. It is a commonly used drug in palliative – or end of life care. It has even been used to execute people by lethal injection in the US.
691. On the 19th March 2020, five days before lockdown, the NHS sent out a directive which required hospitals to discharge all patients who they deemed to not require a hospital bed. They declared that transfers from the ward must happen within one hour of that decision being made to a designated discharge area, and that discharge from hospital should happen within two hours – which looks like indecent haste.
692. At the same time, NHS trusts were told that 'they must adhere' to the new directive. It freed up so many beds that bed occupancy from April to June 2020 was 30% down on the previous year.

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/911541/COVID-19_hospital_discharge_service_requirements_2.pdf

693. Matt Hancock, then health minister in HMG, ordered a two-year supply of Midazolam to be used according to the protocols laid down in the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence guidance NG163 and NG31 for 'the last hours and days of life', where – at least in theory – the disease, whatever it was, was proving to be fatal.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=s-dqiRr-o5A>
<https://www.bmj.com/content/369/bmj.m1461>

694. After a month of lockdown, eleven consultants, doctors, medical academics and others wrote to the British Medical Journal to express concerns about the protocols for using the drug:

The earlier NICE guideline NG31 (2015) for symptom management at the end of life was based on studies [...from which] the evidence base was so poor that it did not publish detailed recommendations for drugs and doses.

We are unaware of more recent high-quality research evidence that NICE [the National Institute for Clinical Excellence] could have used to produce such specific drug and dosing recommendations now for Covid-19 patients.

Professor Emeritus Sam H Ahmedzai et al, The University of Sheffield,
Letter to the BMJ, 20 April 2020
<https://www.bmj.com/content/369/bmj.m1461/rr-1>

695. The letter further explained that the NG31 guidelines were aimed at care of people who were likely to die imminently – usually from the advanced stages of diseases like cancer, from which recovery was highly unlikely. The letter went on:

It takes great skill and experience to use palliative sedation proportionately so that extreme physical and existential distress are palliated, but death is not primarily accelerated. NG163 states:

Sedation and opioid use should not be withheld because of a fear of causing respiratory depression.

If Covid-19 infection were uniformly fatal, this would be an acceptable statement. But for people not previously known to be at the end of life, there is potential risk of unintended serious harm, if these medications are used incorrectly and without the benefit of specialist palliative care advice.

Ibid

696. This is polite language for saying that any recommended doses of Midazolam were not based on sound science and would likely kill patients who were not otherwise at risk of imminent death. In law, this constitutes the offence of murder.
697. By October 2020, the supply of Midazolam had been used up – hence the increased excess rate of death at the time – meaning that the UK government had to order further supplies from France, presumably in time for the second and third lockdowns, where excessive deaths once again spiked.
698. At the same time, an Amnesty report condemned the blanket use of 'Do Not Resuscitate' orders in Care homes in the UK. A month later, it was followed up by a report from the Care Quality Commission, which found 34% of Health and Social Care workers said they had felt pressured to place 'Do Not Resuscitate' orders on care home residents without informing the resident or their loved ones. In addition, the government banned people from visiting care homes and had by this time indemnified NHS workers for liability for any injury they caused under section 11(1) of the 2020 Corona Act.

<https://committees.parliament.uk/publications/5747/documents/65438/default/>

699. In other words, government directives were designed to ensure that people were hastily put into care homes without access to loved ones so they could be killed more easily by doctors and nurses who had been legally indemnified for their actions. This does not though give them an immunity for the offence of murder or crimes against humanity, among others.
700. This smacks of the kind of eugenics practiced by the regime in Nazi Germany in the 1930s and 40s, where those deemed imperfect or inferior were murdered by doctors and others with impunity under the legal system of the day. As we have seen above, those who committed these crimes against humanity were though put to death after being found guilty at the post-war Nuremberg trials.
701. But it is not the only example of this kind of behaviour practiced by HMG during the fake pandemic.
702. In December 2021, The Telegraph newspaper reported that children with learning disabilities had been offered 'Do Not Resuscitate' (hereafter 'DNR') orders during the first year of the fake pandemic. It cited the case of Oliver Corns – who had been diagnosed as an infant with a learning disability and congenital classic autism, which cannot in any way be said to be fatal conditions.
703. During the pandemic, his doctor offered him a DNR to not apply CPR – Cardiopulmonary resuscitation – in the event of heart failure even though he was only 15. At the same time, the jab has been cited as a cause of unusual cardiac arrest in the young. You don't have to be a conspiracy theorist to see what is going on here.
- Investigations Team,
Children with learning disabilities offered 'do not resuscitate' orders during Covid pandemic,
21 December 2021
<https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2021/12/26/children-learning-disabilities-offered-do-not-resuscitate-orders/>
704. On 21 December 2021, the People's Union of Britain – a Common Law advocacy trust – submitted its case to Westminster Magistrates' Court to take out a private prosecution against government ministers for their part in the Midazolam Murders. It has the support of a leading criminal prosecution barrister, among others, and is now finally being investigated by the Metropolitan police.
705. A number of people have also made criminal complaints to the police about the so-called 'Covid-19' pandemic and the reaction of the powers-that-be to it, including the so-called mass 'vaccination programme'. This has come about as a result of the catastrophic death and injury figures to both adults and children across the UK.

Report of Crime to the Metropolitan Police

706. On the 20th December 2021, ex-constable Mark Sexton along with Lawyer Lois Bayliss, among others, reported a number of crimes in connection with the reported pandemic to Hammersmith police, a division of the Metropolitan Police. It acknowledged the suspected criminal nature of the complaint under case number: 6029679/21. Sexton was also part of a group which

lodge a formal crime report to the International Criminal Court (ICC) in the Hague on 6 December 2021 (see Complaint to the ICC below).

707. According to Sexton's website, the following have happened, as a result:

- a. The Superintendent assistant to then Met Commissioner Cressida Dick, who recently resigned from the post, acknowledged in an email that Dick was aware of the complaint.
- b. The Metropolitan Police have also been provided details of the ICC application. The Met Police have a duty to carry out a full and competent criminal investigation as detailed in the ICC's guidelines.
- c. All 43 Chief Constables in England and Wales have been contacted by email to advise them of the Metropolitan Police's criminal investigation and the ICC application;
- d. Scotland and Northern Ireland police chiefs have also been informed and formally requested to intervene in the mRNA jab program.

<https://notaakhirzaman.com/9199/>

708. By 13 January 2022, 103 statements had been gathered by Bayliss from those reporting severe mRNA 'vaccine' injuries and from relatives of those who died after having it, which have been submitted to the police as part of the case. Twelve statements are from identified NHS whistle-blowers, who've provided 'deeply disturbing' evidence. The complaints have been directed against government ministers, the NHS and other bodies responsible for health, civil servants and media organisations, among others.

Complaint to the ICC

709. In December 2021, Sexton was among a group of UK-based scientists and activists who lodge a case at the International Criminal Court in The Hague, Netherlands, represented by solicitor Hannah Rose. The filing was acknowledged on 6 December 2021 under application reference: OTP-CR-473/21, claiming violations of the Nuremberg Code and violations of the Statute of Rome, articles 6,7 and 8, which cover genocide, crimes against humanity and waging war.

710. Although the fact checkers have tried to undermine this by stating neither the police nor the ICC are actually investigating, the recording of a crime number to these complaints means there is a case to answer. Given that the UK police are now investigating, any enquiry on the part of the ICC is automatically stayed until domestic routes of investigation are exhausted.

<https://checkyourfact.com/2022/01/31/fact-check-covid-19-vaccine-criminal-investigation-uk/?fbclid=IwAR0bgXt5fHhbQXogKd680LrFT6ajOs0hjOZAPc9ITzFNAWGrgqxS3-QEf5k/>

711. According to official Home Office policy for recording crimes which came into effect into April 2021:

An incident will be recorded as a crime (notifiable offence) for 'victim related offences' if, on the balance of probability:

- a. the circumstances of the victims' report amount to a crime

defined by law (the police will determine this, based on their knowledge of the law and counting rules); and

- b. there is no credible evidence to the contrary immediately available.

Home Office Counting Rules for Recorded Crime, effective April 2020
https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/992833/count-general-jun-2021.pdf

- 712. The balance of probabilities is the standard of proof in civil cases in the legal system. A victim does not have to prove the crime they are alleging beyond reasonable doubt, the standard of proof in a criminal trial.
- 713. Once a crime is reported, the police as sworn officers of the Law have a duty to investigate. Given the compelling evidence independent investigators have gathered and the gravity of the crimes reported, any decent journalist would be asking why the investigations haven't been expedited to the highest priority and accorded all the necessary resources.

The Crimes Cited

- 714. Sexton's website cites the following criminal offences that can arise from the complaints:

- 1. misfeasance in public office
- 2. misconduct in public office
- 3. conspiracy to commit grievous bodily harm
- 4. conspiracy to administer a poisonous and noxious substance to cause serious harm and death
- 5. gross negligence manslaughter
- 6. corporate manslaughter
- 7. corruption,
- 8. fraud
- 9. blackmail
- 10. murder
- 11. conspiracy to commit murder
- 12. terrorism
- 13. genocide
- 14. torture
- 15. crimes against humanity
- 16. false imprisonment
- 17. multiple breaches of our human rights
- 18. war crimes
- 19. multiple breaches of The Nuremberg Code 1947
- 20. multiple breaches of The Human Rights Act 1998

<https://notaakhirzaman.com/9199/>

- 715. Given the serious threat posed to the health of men, women and children – and the apparent inaction of police forces across the country – some campaigners called on members of the public to approach any location administering the mRNA treatment in accordance with Section 3(1) of The Criminal Law Act 1967:

A person may use such force as is reasonable in the circumstances in the prevention of crime, or in effecting or assisting in the lawful arrest of offenders or suspected offenders, or of persons unlawfully at large.

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1967/58/contents>

716. This gives anyone the right to seize evidence used in a crime, in this case the novel, experimental 'vaccine' vials, which have been proven to contain unlisted ingredients likely to cause harm to the health of those to whom it is administered. The most serious aspect of the complaint about the jab is that it contains graphene oxide and/or graphene hydroxide, which has been shown to cause severe, adverse health effects, as set out immediately below.

Evidence gathered by UK Citizens 2021

717. According to a briefing document published by Ian Clayton in February 2021 – who was at the time coordinating the response to 'Covid-19' by legal practitioners as UK Citizens 2021 – a British medical practitioner seized an injection vial from the surgery where she worked and gave it to an independent investigator examining injuries sustained after the mRNA injection. Further vials have since been obtained which cover the three main manufacturers supplying the 'vaccine' in the UK: Pfizer, Moderna and AstraZeneca.

718. The commissioned spectroscopy report – which is quality assured – discovered the following particles:

- a. Graphene
- b. SP3 Carbon
- c. Iron Oxide
- d. Carbon derivatives
- e. Glass shards

http://www.ukcitizen2021.org/Case_Briefing_Document_and_lab_report_Ref_AUC_101_Report%20.pdf

719. The police have a duty to forensically examine the material handed to them as part of a criminal complaint for evidential use.

720. Graphene is produced by the chemical reduction of graphene oxide using mechanical or thermal exfoliation, chemical vapour deposition and epitaxial growth, a type of crystal formation.

<https://www.graphenea.com/pages/graphene-oxide#.ZELiEy8w0dW>

721. The graphene family cause the same adverse symptoms so the terms 'graphene' and 'graphene oxide' appear to be used interchangeably. Because graphene oxide is slow to degrade, it can get into the water supply and can spread into humans, animals, soil and vegetation.

<https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.1222276110>

<https://www.liebertpub.com/doi/abs/10.1089/ees.2013.0392>

722. The peer-reviewed medical literature has established that graphene nanomaterials or GFNs can penetrate the body's natural barriers and damage the central nervous system. Graphene oxide:

- i. can damage internal organs;
- ii. damages the reproduction and development system;
- iii. destroys blood health;

- iv. damages and destroys cells;
- v. can trigger cancer and accelerate ageing;
- vi. damages mitochondria and DNA;
- vii. triggers an inflammatory response and three different kinds of cell death;
- viii. causes changes in gene function.

Longquan Shao et al,

Toxicity of graphene-family nanoparticles: a general review of the origins and mechanisms, Particle and Fibre Toxicology, Biomed Central, 31 October 2016

<https://particleandfibretoxicology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12989-016-0168-y>

723. Given the clear and present danger to human life and health, the UK Citizen 2021 briefing document called for an immediate halting of the mRNA treatment programme until its safety could be established, according to tested evidence and analysis.
724. Although the 'fact checkers' have tried to dismiss this as 'conspiracy theory', they have not considered the laboratory analysis of the contents of the 'vaccine' vial, nor have they included the following evidence in their coverage of the graphene oxide/hydroxide issue.
725. As we have already established in this affidavit, the 'vaccines' developed to counter 'Covid-19' were rushed out without being properly subjected to scientific trials even though the totality of their contents was not actually known:

Yet there is one point on which the Pfizer CEO won't budge: his vaccine's secret formula.

<https://www.bloomberg.com/graphics/2021-pfizer-secret-to-whats-in-the-covid-vaccine/>

726. There is further academic research to show that the mRNA treatment does contain undeclared graphene oxide and/or graphene hydroxide, which can form nano-particles when injected into the body.

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/yBA64ddHth7C/>

<https://expose-news.com/2021/12/07/did-german-chemist-dr-andreas-noack-die-after-direct-energy-weapon-attack/>

727. Researchers into mRNA have declared in the past they were exploring the use of graphene oxide in jabs for cancer and there are papers in the medical literature discussing its use, including in gene therapy, of which the jabs are a form:

Gene Delivery

GBNs can interact not only with the drugs, but also with other biomolecules like nucleic acids, DNA and RNA.

<https://www.insideprecisionmedicine.com/topics/molecular-dx-topic/rna/mrna/graphene-hydrogel-could-help-mrna-vaccine-target-cancer-more-effectively/>

<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s40820-018-0206-4>

See also Pablo Campra, Detection of Graphene in Covid-19 Vaccines, ResearchGate, November 2021
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/355979001_DETECTION_OF_GRAPHENE_IN_COVID19_VACCINES

728. Shortly after making a video in November 2021 about the graphene hydroxide he had found in the mRNA treatment, researcher Dr Andreas Noack died after suffering breathing difficulties. His partner has alleged he may have been subject to an attack by Directed Energy Weapons, like those used to bring down the Twin Towers on 9/11.
729. The UK police investigation has failed to take evidence from the German Corona Investigative Committee, chaired by Dr Reiner Fuellmich, a lawyer and one of four members of the committee.

<https://corona-investigative-committee.com>

730. Since July 2020, this Committee has been hearing the testimony of a wide range of abundantly qualified scientists and doctors – many of them recognised experts in their field, like Mike Yeadon, a former research scientist with ‘vaccine’ producer, Pfizer - to establish the truth about the ‘pandemic’. Fuellmich has done a presentation summarising the findings of the enquiry.

https://odysee.com/@Corona-Investigative-Committee:5/Reiner-Fuellmich-Introduction-English_BestCut:e

Respondent's further duties

731. The 25 years since I was persecuted at the hands of HMG which includes the unlawful aggressions against God's children in God's land known as Iraq and Afghanistan and the failure to bring to justice the perpetrators of the present injustices waged on the British people represents a shameful period in British history. In that time, I have suffered endless persecution and have been blacklisted, as well as surviving several attempts on my life.
732. I have done everything in my power to enforce the Law but have been limited by the failure of other men and women to do their duties under the Law. Their failure to observe the Law in no way undermines the authority of the Law because it is paramount and mandatory.
733. Given the serious threats to our society from paedophilia, false-flag and real terrorism; unjustified war and unprosecuted war criminals, it cannot be in the common good of man to pay funds to institutions, which conspire to wage war, falsely imprison and cover up the truth about major world events, like the attacks on the US of 11th September 2001.
734. Given the serious threats to our society from paedophilia, false-flag and real terrorism; unjustified war and unprosecuted war criminals, it cannot be in the common good for officers of the Law to spend – or cause to spend – the contributions to the state made through taxation on the prosecution of any man who has not caused harm, loss or injury to another man.
735. The universal values of honour, integrity and courage are protected and encouraged under the Law. The Office of the Sovereign of the UK has been

brought into dishonour by its previous incumbent, failing to discharge her duties, according to the Law and the Coronation Oath.

736. My sworn and un rebutted affidavit to the former monarch, dated 24th August 2010, page 2, states:

A legal fiction corporation cannot secure in personam jurisdiction over or against Affiant, a living man with a soul responsible to God, his Creator, without Affiant's voluntary election to submit.

Any Police Officer and/or Government/corporate officer, agent and/or employee who attempts to enforce statutes against Affiant would be violating the law and engaging in Enticement to Slavery.

It would be unlawful for any Police Officer, Government/corporate agent, official, employee or the like, to hold, incarcerate, detain, restrain and/or restrict the Affiant against the Affiant's will at any time whatsoever.

Any party that would order, represent or persuade the Affiant to falsely present the Affiant as a UNITED KINGDOM citizen, vessel or person directly or by deception, device, misnomer, mistaken identity, warrant or indictment, real or imagined, would be engaging in Enticement to Slavery.

It would be both a violation of law and a violation of the Affiant's God given unalienable rights if any government/corporative agent, officer or employee attempts to, or does in-fact, force, coerce, manipulate and/or deceive the Affiant into receiving any form of medical treatment at anytime whatsoever, including but not limited to vaccinations.

The Affiant is not a member of any society whatsoever and therefore the Affiant is not bound by any society's statutes, rules or codes

It would be unlawful for the Respondent and/or any of the Respondent's agents, officers or employees, and/or any Government/corporate agent, officer or employee, to remove the Affiant's property and/or interests, or restrict Affiant's use of Affiant's property and/or interests against Affiant's will and without Affiant's express consent.

Quoted at page 102, The Third and Final Testament, Part 1
https://www.bookofthelaw.org/downloads/The_Third_and_Final_Testament_Part_1.pdf

737. There cannot therefore be any reasonable dispute that the former monarch of the UK did not know that the Law of God is the higher Law and that any man may refuse consent to a society and its legal fictions, among them, statute and person. Since officers who have sworn fealty to her clearly violated the rights of real men and women, she knowingly broke the Law.

738. Time is of the essence. You, the King, now have an urgent and pressing duty to take measures which will restore honour to the Office of Sovereign of the UK and preserve your good character as a man.

739. In your efforts, you might like to rely on the following Principles of Law

Mistakes, neglect, or misconducts are not to be regarded as accidents.

No rule of law protects anyone who wilfully closes his ears to information, or refuses to make inquiry when circumstances of grave suspicion imperatively demand it.

He who does not deny, admits.

<https://www.bookofthelaw.org/index.php/we-hold-these-truths-to-be-self-evident/>

740. It is a violation of the Law for you, the King, your agents, officers or employees; or any corporate agent, officer or employee, to interfere in the enjoyment of any man's property or the pursuit of his interests under the Law.

741. This is supported by two Principles of Law:

He who does anything through another, is considered as doing it himself.

The master is liable for injury done by his servant.

742. And by the following passages of God's Bible:

If any man therefore sets aside the Law's demands, and teaches others to do the same, he will have the lowest place in the kingdom of Heaven, whereas anyone who keeps the Law and teaches others so will stand high in the kingdom of Heaven.

I tell you, unless you show yourselves far better men than the Pharisees and the doctors [teachers] of the law, you can never enter the Kingdom of Heaven.

Matthew 5:19-20

For if a man keeps the whole law apart from one single point, he is guilty of breaking all of it.

James 2:10-11

743. Any use of a notary public, Bank of England Promissory Notes or any other public facilities – among them, the postcode – when alternatives are generally unavailable, does not comprise:

- a. a submission to any political jurisdiction,
- b. the creation of an adhesion contract expressly or tacitly with the UNITED KINGDOM or any other party real or imagined,
- c. consent to appear before any body or tribunal, administrative or judicial, real or imagined.

744. You have a duty to disseminate this affidavit of Law to the men and women who have sworn any oath mentioning you or the Law – and to those who have so far consented to the oath by their silence – and a duty to ensure they perform any words, actions or deeds demanded therein in a timely fashion, solemnly and with due diligence.

745. Your failure to provide me with a verified rebuttal to this affidavit point-by-point no later than ten (10) days from the date of issuance, or request additional time to comply, will comprise you, the Queen's agreement with and confession of all facts herein, in perpetuity, the said confession being *res judicata* and *stare decisis*.

746. References are linked to on the internet but if you have any trouble accessing them, you have a duty to contact me so I can rectify this.

747. I, a man and god alive commonly known as David Shayler the Christ, on my own unlimited liability under the Law, swear before the One True God that I have adopted the above text as holograph; have read it and do know that the facts and law contained are true, correct and complete, not misleading, the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.

Signed and Sealed :

DM 27

27th day of July 2023 at Ronaymede, Surrey

By: David Shayler+ (Affiant) All rights reserved.
David Shayler, the Christ and Lord, *in rerum natura*

AFFIXED HERETO :

The HOLY ROYAL SEAL)
)
of)
)
The Office of The Lord – David Shayler+)

