
Palestine: Action Action

to end the Israeli genocide and war in general



David Shayler+
29 July 2025



Palestine: a Call for Action to end the Genocide

It is very good for us

The very first reaction of Benjamin Netanyahu, Israeli Prime Minister at the time of the 9/11 attacks and Prime Minister now, on being asked about the attacks by a journalist

The only way to end the humanitarian crisis is to end the blockade

Jonathan Reynolds, UK business and trade secretary, 7:21 a m, 28th July 2025

The UN is creating an excuse and a lie about the State of Israel. They claim we're blocking humanitarian supplies. That's false

Benjamin Netanyahu, quoted by i24News, **Netanyahu: 'We're making progress toward victory and hostage release'**, 27th July 2025

Background to call for military action

Action on Israel's genocide of the Palestinian people

I first prepared the formal binding Judgment sworn into law when I saw the response of Western media and governments to the genocide of the Palestinian people after $7^{\,\text{th}}$ October 2023 in the light:

- a. of the failure of government and media to address the incontrovertible evidence relating to the truth about Israeli involvement in the 9/11 and 7/7 attacks and MI6 connections to the Manchester attack on 22nd May 2017;
- b. of the ongoing genocide of the Palestinian people carried out by Zionist Israelis;
- c. the attempt of the UK government to escalate the conflict in Ukraine into WWIII by providing weapons and finance to a corrupt government.

I now draw people's attention to the Judgment and its contents as the basis in fact and law for a humanitarian peace mission on the part of British and French troops on the ground, as the current belated efforts are failing, to:

- a. feed the people of Palestine who are being forced into starvation by supplying food -- preferably dehulled hemp seed, given the extent of the malnutrition;
- b. supply medical aid;
- c. and remove the troops belonging to the Israeli Defence Force who are blocking food entering Gaza.

If the occupying IDF troops do not unconditionally surrender and lay down their weapons, they will have committed an act of war on the troops of two signatories of the

[Type here]

End the Genocide

North Atlantic Treaty Organisation's governing treaty and will therefore under that Treaty have attacked all nations bound by the Treaty.

As the UK and its people were attacked by Israel on 7/7, ministers of the UK government have a duty to invoke Article 5 of the Nato treaty, forthwith. Until, they do, we run the risk that Israel will again use subterfuge to launch false-flag terrorist operations against the British people and other peoples of the world in response to the humanitarian mission.

US troops will not be welcome on this humanitarian mission, as its government has funded the genocide while stalling international efforts to oppose it.

There is a very real danger the US government will use such an opportunity to occupy Palestine on behalf of the war-criminal state of Israel. The US has a duty though to supply food and medical aid for this humanitarian intervention for peace.

When the UK government invokes Article 5, the US will be bound by its duties under the Nato Treaty to abandon all material and other support for Israel otherwise it will be supporting an aggressor to its own people and the peoples of the other Nato signatories.

All parents and mothers in particular have a duty to support this call for action because if the Zionists can force starve children to death in Palestine, then they can force starve your children to death, mothers of the world.

On the subject of conflict, I will tell you that the men and women who died opposing the Nazis are heroes, as are the men and women who freed the Falklands and Kuwait from military occupation by extremist powers. They made the supreme sacrifice for freedom.

We dishonour their memory by allowing the UK to behave like the sort of societies they died to free people from.

The same cannot be said of those who have fought for the UK and its allies in recent conflicts like Afghanistan, Iraq, Syria and Libya, as those wars were waged to protect the monetary system or Mammon, as it is called in the Bible. The same applies to those who have funded this violence.

See paragraphs 796 to 799, The Judgment https://www.bookofthelaw.org/downloads/jdgt/JDGT-2024-0001-911-and-related-matters.pdf

The powers-that-be are now trying to wage war on Russia, using false information, with a view to starting WWIII. The government and the media never mention that the current Ukrainian government came to power in a fascist coup in 2014 and then persecuted the Russian-speaking people of the Donbass region, murdering 7,000 people.

Nor do they mention that in a free and fair referendum overseen by independent international observers, the people of the region voted to become independent republics in the Russian Federation. Russia is not therefore occupying Ukraine and has lawful reason to have its troops in these republics.

The media also held Ukraine to be the most corrupt country on earth until the current conflict.

Conscription

The powers-that-be have recently talked about conscription being inevitable in the coming years to fight a war with Russia and about raising the military budget to 3.5% of GDP. Although officially the government say they have no 'current' plans for conscription that rather indicates that they have future plans otherwise why not just clearly state: 'There are no plans for conscription at all'.

When asked if the UK government was considering introducing conscription to boost the armed forces in March this year, Cabinet Office minister Pat McFadden did not rule it out. He said it was important the UK does not find itself operating under 'old assumptions - and that it may be 'decisions are needed in the future that respond to a new reality'.

He told Sky News:

We do have to recognise that the world has changed. The phrase 'step up' is used a lot. Europe does have to step up in terms of its own defence

https://news.sky.com/story/uk-has-no-plans-for-conscription-but-future-decisions-will-respond-to-new-reality-says-minister-13324894

That will mean that any children you have face being conscripted to fight a war with Russia not for reasons of protection of International Law and the people it protects but to distract from the failing UK economy. Every parent must surely feel duty bound to oppose this with every fibre of their being.

On the subject of the economy, the UK government is currently paying the Bank of England around £2billion a week -- £103.2billion for the 2024-25 financial year -- in interest payments alone on the national debt. To put this in perspective, the government recently proposed cutting disability benefits by £6billion a year at the expense of some of the most vulnerable people in society.

The government provides this money from the taxpayer to the bank under a secret deed of indemnity with regard to Quantitative Easing aka money printing -- or the expansion of credit –at compound interest.

See page 135, 'Covid-19' and 9/11: Fakery & the War waged on Mankind https://www.bookofthelaw.org/downloads/911_to_covid19_fakery_and_the_war_waged_on_mankind.pdf

Key evidence in judgment

The Judgment sets out the incontrovertible evidence that:

- a. the official account of 9/11 is scientifically impossible;
- b. there is no reasoned evidence of Muslim involvement;
- c. Israel beyond reasonable doubt played a part in the attacks.
- d. It is beyond reasonable doubt that elements of the US government played a part in these attacks.
- e. evidence to support Muslim involvement in 7/7 is faked;
- f. Israel played a part in the 7/7 attacks.

9/11

In the case of 9/11, you only have to observe the following images to see for yourself that the official story of 9/11 is wrong:



The official account says that this a building collapsing due to fire and gravity!

This image disproves the official 'piledriver' theory of collapse ventured by the US National Institute of Standards and Technology in a report published in 2005. On the basis of the selective use of evidence – popularly known as 'cherry picking' – it claimed that the momentum of the storeys of the towers above the impact zones caused the floors below them to simultaneously collapse at freefall rate, allowing for air resistance. This is an impossibility according to the 'laws' of physics.


The image on the left disproves this because, as anyone can see for themselves, the top of the tower is twisting off to the side rather than piledriving the floors below.

Clearly these images show that the collapse of the South Tower bear all the hallmarks of a controlled demolition using advanced technology, as do the North Tower and WTC7, which also fell into its own footprint that day. The collapse of WTC7 was not mentioned by the 9/11 Commission who formally investigated the attacks in an enquiry held under law.

If you are in still in any doubt, this is the video of the collapse, which shows the South Tower falling in around ten seconds, which is freefall speed allowing for air resistance. In other words, none of the floors below the impact zone offer any resistance to the collapsing floors above:


https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oCPVNLLo-mI

This is clearly not a controlled demolition using conventional explosives as the 220 storeys of the Twin Towers are completely reduced to dust, as can be seen in the photo on the left taken on the day of the attacks before any clear-up operation could begin:



For the full account of the collapse, see The Collapse of the WTC Towers, paragraphs 371 to 516 in the Judgment.

This sequence of still images is taken from a video of the object entering the South Tower, which the official account claims is United Airlines Flight 175:





As you can see, it penetrates the 1metre-thick reinforced concrete and steel without breaking up in any way-- even though the nose of a commercial jet is made of plastic and carbon -- which is part of a 110-storey skyscraper, estimated to weigh half a million imperial tons. This is what happens when a bird made of low-density flesh, feather and hollow bones comes into contact with the nose of a commercial jet in flight:



You will also note from the image of the object impacting the South Tower that it fires a shot. Commercial airliners are not capable of firing ordnance.

Other official data from separate US radar stations shows that American Airlines Flight 11 and UA175 impacted the North and South Towers respectively, at 430mph and 520mph:






Dr Daniel R Bower, Senior Aerospace Engineer, Rada Data Impact Speed Study, AA11 and UA175, National Transportation Safety Board, 7 February 2002

The object which hit the Pentagon was travelling at 530mph, according to radar data.

Airline pilots and Boeing, the manufacturer of these aircraft, have confirmed that jet airliners simply cannot travel this fast so close to sea level. (You may have heard the captain of a plane say that it is travelling at 500mph but that is at 30,000 feet where the air pressure is much lower because the atmosphere is much thinner).

An enhancement of one of the few images to be released of the Pentagon attack, below, shows that the object impacting the building left a corkscrew trail. As you will know from your own everyday observation, commercial jet airliners do not leave trails close to sea level. Missiles do though leave corkscrew trails at all altitudes:



In the only recording of the object approaching and impacting the North Tower, you can hear a whistling sound indictive of a missile. It cannot be the sound of a jetliner which would make a low rumbling noise at these altitudes.

In 1996, the US Air Force acknowledged the existence of a new form of advanced hologram technology, known as a '3D volumetric projection', which could project a three-dimensional visual image precisely into a selected area removed from the display generator. Later documents written by the US military envisage how these advanced holograms can be used in Psy War or psychological operations in war. This explains why witnesses saw passenger jets, not missiles, and did not hear the sound of jetliners.

For a full account of the evidence re 'planes', see No evidence of passenger aircraft being used in the attacks, paragraphs 209 to 319, the Judgment

Did you also know that:

• The 9/11 Commissioners have effectively disowned their own report on the grounds that many US officials brazenly lied to them?

See The Context and Failure of the 9/11 Commission, paragraphs 108 to 163, the Judgment

• The FBI produced no evidence to back up its identification of the 19 alleged suicide bombers and did not forensicate the sites of the attacks or otherwise investigate the attacks?

See The FBI's failure to investigate the actual attacks, paragraphs 311 to 370, The Judgment

• No air crash investigations were carried out even though they are required under law? There is therefore no primary source evidence that the planes allegedly identified were flights AA11, AA77, UA175 and UA 93.

Regarding Israeli involvement in the attacks, my judgment is based on official US Federal Government evidence gathered by the FBI on the day of, and shortly after, the 9/11 attacks and the Drug Enforcement Agency in the months before the attacks.

According to these official sources, dozens of Israeli nationals connected to a company called 'Urban Moving Solutions' were arrested on the day of 9/11. Five of them --popularly known as 'the Dancing Israelis', two of whom have been reported to be Mossad officers -- were seen to celebrate the second attack at least. When arrested by local police, they had material covered in traces of explosive, targeting maps and false identity cards.



The still image above is a screenshot taken from a feature by US network broadcaster ABC which examined the evidence against the 'Dancing Israelis'. The broadcaster was forced to take down the evidence after being subject to a Denial of Service attack on its website, most likely co-ordinated and carried out by Israeli lobby groups and other Zionists.

Many witnesses at the World Trade Centre Complex on the day of the attacks reported hearing explosions, not linked to the two objects hitting the Twin Towers. For example, William Rodriguez, the janitor at WTC1, has related how he heard explosions before the first object hit that Tower.

With the exception of Rodriguez, the 9/11 Commission failed to hear witnesses to explosions or take any evidence of explosions on the day of the attacks. They failed to mention Rodriguez's evidence in their final report.

In the months running up to 9/11, over 100 Israelis with intelligence backgrounds were quietly expelled from the US for undeclared spying operations after being detected in an operation run by the Federal Drug Enforcement Agency.

They were found to live in the same areas as the alleged hijackers. Given there is no actual evidence the alleged hijackers being behind the attacks, it is beyond reasonable doubt that these intelligence officers used stolen or fake Muslim identity documents to create patsies for the 9/11 attacks.



Given that this evidence comes from official US government agencies, it cannot be said to be 'conspiracy theory', as meaningless as that term has become. There is no evidence that the 9/11 Commission even received any of this evidence, let alone examined or investigated it.

See Israeli involvement in 9/11, paragraphs 525 to 649, Judgment

7/7



Figure 85. The impossible picture, showing a railing going in front of the arm of the figure at the back (circled in red) and possibly into his head

The British government has never held a formal enquiry into the 7/7 attacks, even though it is the biggest terrorist attack on British soil. Then Prime Minister Tony Blair, who is a war criminal under the Principles of Nuremberg and is known to have made a fraudulent case for war in connection with the invasion of Iraq in 2003, said at the time that any enquiry would be a 'ludicrous diversion'.

Instead, it published an 'Official Narrative', containing errors and assertions not based on evidence.

The first image to be released of the 7/7 attacks (Figure 85 in the Judgment, pictured above) shows obvious signs of being manipulated and is therefore fake or false evidence. This is supported by the fact that an image from the so-called practice run for the attacks on 28^{th} June 2005, below, is much clearer even though it is taken from the same camera.





See paragraphs 732 to 740, The Judgment, for the full analysis of manipulated images

The government did not include the image directly above in its Official Narrative of the 7/7 attacks while the mainstream media has failed to include consideration of its implications.

Independent investigators established that it belonged to a company called Kingstar Ltd, based in Hitchin, Herefordshire, 'specialising in [...] controlled demolition'.

The damage to the bus is not consistent with a bomb being placed on one end as the whole roof has been blown off, leaving a clean, rather than jagged, edge. It is though consistent with an explosion in a controlled demolition.

I judge that MI5's extensive record of Sidique Khan combined with its failure to act against him – and its failure to share an image of him with the FBI –indicates the service failed to disclose their record of him because he was an agent of MI5 ('asset' in US). This is supported by reports that he was a 'police informer', given that MI5 relies on local Special Branches to help handle its agents.

See paragraphs 750 to 758, The failure to publicly disclose evidence vital to the Official Account, The Judgment

As in the case of 9/11, there is evidence that Israel had prior knowledge of the 7/7 attacks, indicating complicity in the attacks. Before the attack at around 08.43am that morning then Israeli Finance Minister, former Prime Minister and Prime Minister now, was staying in a Russell Square hotel and was warned not to go out due to a possible terrorist attack.

An article was published at 16:00 Jerusalem time – 14:00, BST, just four hours after the attacks – on the 7th July 2005, on the Jerusalem Post website, entitled 'Rules of Conflict for a World War'. In it, Efraim Halevi, a former head of Mossad, Director of Israel's National Security Council, and formerly Israeli ambassador to the European Union, stated:

The multiple, simultaneous explosions that took place yesterday on the London transportation system were the work of perpetrators who had an operational capacity of considerable scope.

At this point, no one knew that the explosions were 'simultaneous'. Throughout the 7th and 8th July, these explosions were being described as spread out over half an hour. Only on 9th July 2005 did the Metropolitan police state that the explosions were simultaneous.

Witnesses at the scenes of the attacks have reported that the explosions made holes in the floors of the tube train compartments where they happened with the metal around the holes pointing upward. This, along with the injuries sustained by bystanders to the legs, strongly indicates that the bombs were placed under the carriages and were not the result of bombs in the backpacks of the three alleged suicide bombers.

At the time of the attacks, Israeli security firms were responsible for the CCTV coverage of the London Underground network. They would therefore have the access and capability to execute this kind of attack and cover up their part in it.

See paragraphs 759 to 771, Evidence of Israeli complicity in 7/7, The Judgment

The attacks on Paris, 13th November 2015 (13/11)

Given the similarity of the modus operandi of the 13/11 attacks to the 9/11 and 7/7 attacks and the failure of the French government to assess the attack in the light of the truth about 9/11 and 7/7, we may reasonably judge that 13/11 bears all the hallmarks of a false flag operation in which Mossad faked the involvement of apparent Islamic terrorists to deflect attention from Israeli responsibility. That is why the French government has a particular duty to take part in this humanitarian peace mission.

The Manchester attack

The enquiry into the Manchester attacks has failed to hear evidence that Salman Abeidi, the man the powers-that-be hold responsible for the attack, was the son of Ramadan Abeidi, whom I know from my time in MI5 to be an agent of MI6. In 1995-96, MI6 paid Ramadan tens of thousands of pounds to assassinate Colonel Gaddafi, then the ruler of Libya. The attack went wrong killing innocent civilians. Both Ramadan and Salman Abeidi are reported to be members of the Islamic Extremist group, the Libyan Islamic Fighting Group, which has been separately reported to be funded by MI6.

See paragraphs 772 to 791, The Manchester Arena attack, 22^{nd} May 2017, The Judgment

Lawful resistance to occupation

Although the British government has declared Hamas to be a 'terrorist' organisation, this decision is without basis in fact and law– which does allow governments to take pre-emptive action against those organisations who pose an immediate threat to the life and limb of its people – because Hamas only targets its occupiers.

It does not pose any threat to the safety of the British people in the same way the French Resistance, which carried out attacks on the Nazi occupier, posed no threat to the British people in the second world war.

Israel has claimed that the deaths of Palestinian civilians are necessary in order to destroy Hamas, often referred to in intelligence and security circles as 'collateral damage'. Again, this has no basis in law because an occupier has no right to self-defence. On the other hand, Hamas can argue that any harm, loss or injury caused by the actions of its operatives is lawful because it is acting in self-defence under the Law.

Israel almost certainly let the attacks of 23rd October 2023 happen. The exception to this is the attack on the Supernova, open-air music festival in Southern Israel. That has all the hallmarks of being a false-flag operation, carried out by military operatives.

Hamas is the democratically elected government of Gaza, carrying out the functions of legitimate government in the best way it can, given the extraordinary circumstances of the blockade, followed by re-occupation.

In the 1930s, the Nazis invaded Czechoslovakia and Poland to create 'Lebensraum' or 'living space' for the German people. Today, we call this 'ethnic cleansing', which is exactly what Israeli nationals are doing to the Palestinians in the occupied territories. The Nazis then turned areas of cities with a high concentration of Jewish people into ghettoes, and then forced them into concentration camps.

Before the current re-occupation of Gaza, its people were subjected to a blockade by Israel for nearly twenty years, creating a de facto ghetto in Gaza of around 2.3million Palestinian people. Although the Israeli regime has argued this is not an occupation, it still constitutes waging war, under the Principles of Nuremberg.

Palestinians removed from their homes in the West Bank have no choice but to live in 'refugee' camps, where the Israeli military bombs and murders them; and unlawfully arrests them, detaining them without a fair trial, one of the cornerstones of a just and free society.

Use of chemical weapons

Israel has admitted dropping the chemical weapon white phosphorus on the people of Palestine in the Noughties and there is evidence it was used in the Israeli attacks on Gaza after 7th October 2023.

White phosphorus burns through the skin to the bone, causing almost unimaginable agony to the men, women and children it is used against. These are war crimes against civilians, even if the powers-that-be falsely claim their military activity was lawful in the first place. During the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq, NATO and the coalition respectively deployed the chemical weapons white phosphorus and depleted uranium against civilian populations.

Under the Law of Love your neighbour as you love yourself, you have a duty to act empathetically and put yourselves in the shoes of others.

Can you imagine what it is like for parents who like you are trying to do the best to look after and protect their children when bombs rein down on them, not because of anything they or their country have done but because their enemy has carried out a false-flag operation wrongly blaming their government for the attack?

What do you think these struggling parents make of those in the West who blindly follow these lies and ignore the evidence gathered by independent investigators like myself?

The fake pandemic

You only have to briefly examine the graph below based on data from the UK Office of National Statistics to see there are none of the excess deaths that would be associated with a pandemic outside lockdowns and that excess deaths are only recorded after the introduction of the experimental mRNA jab:




Figure 94. A graph showing that, according to the UK government's own figures for the period 3rd January 2020 to 21st April 2021, there were no excess deaths outside the three periods of lockdown until after the introduction of the mRNA injections. Excess deaths continue because the five-year average was inflated by the rise in excess deaths during the lockdowns.

For the full primary source evidence from across the world that there were no excess deaths outside lockdowns, see pages 121-123, 9/11 to 'Covid-19'

One of the reasons for the excess deaths in lockdown was that people in care homes were murdered by the NHS using Midazolam, a toxin used to execute convicted murderers in the US.

For a full account of deaths due to Midazolam, see pages 127-129, 9/11 to 'Covid-19'

Evidence gathered by UK Citizens 2021

According to a briefing document published by Ian Clayton in February 2021 – who was at the time coordinating the response to 'Covid-19' by legal practitioners as UK Citizens 2021 – a British medical practitioner seized an injection vial from the surgery where she worked and gave it to an independent investigator examining injuries sustained after the mRNA injection. Further vials have since been obtained which cover the three main manufacturers supplying the 'vaccine' in the UK: Pfizer, Moderna and AstraZeneca.

The commissioned spectroscopy report – which is quality assured – discovered the following particles, which are toxic to human health and were not formally declared to be in the jabs by the producers of the mRNA jab:

- a. Graphene
- b. SP3 Carbon
- c. Iron Oxide
- d. Carbon derivatives
- e. Glass shards

The police have a duty to forensically examine the material handed to them as part of a criminal complaint for evidential use.

There is no evidence that the police investigated this crime against humanity with due diligence.

See paragraphs 717 to 730, Affidavit of Law, 27th July 2023 https://www.bookofthelaw.org/downloads/20230727_Affidavit_of_Law.pdf

Final points

To understand the clarity of my case, you will need to read both the Judgment and the 9/11 to 'Covid-19', Fakery and the War waged on Mankind document, which can be found at the following link:

https://www.bookofthelaw.org/downloads/911_to_covid19_fakery_and_the_war_waged_on_mankind.pdf

If you do have evidence or analysis which contradicts my judgment, you have a duty to swear it into law and bring it to my attention so I can formally judge whether it affects the judgments set out in the original document.

Given that the Judgment is sworn into law before God, anyone trying to undermine its evidence or reasoning other than by sworn oath is guilty of obstructing or perverting the course of justice.

Because it is part of the karmic law, anyone's attitude towards it will play a part when they are judged by the One True God: 'You reap what you sow'. And the retributive karma may be coming rather sooner than people think.

According to the intelligence and security services of the US and UK, Saddam Hussein and the Taliban posed the greatest threat to the safety and well-being of the British and American peoples. Yet, both were in this position because they had been trained and armed by the US and UK governments: Iraq because it fought Iran, the traditional enemy of the US and Israel, and the Taliban because it grew out of the Mujahadeen, which fought the former Soviet Union in Afghanistan in the 1980s.

By their own admission therefore, the US and UK governments materially helped to create the greatest threats to their own peoples. This disproves the assertion by certain individuals that the operatives of the intelligence and security services resort to either unlawful or morally dubious actions to protect the people.

They must now atone for their crimes against humanity with a humanitarian peace mission to end the forced starvation of the men, women and children of Gaza.

This also applies to each and every one of you who has in any way supported the false accounts of 9/11, 7/7, 13/11 and the 2017 Manchester attack and the actions adopted in response to the lies you were told about them.

[Type here]

End the Genocide

You cannot appease Israel and be a good man or woman.

Dave Shayler+

29th July 2025

dave@davidshayler.com

www.thelifeofdave.org

https://www.bookofthelaw.org/downloads/jdgt/JDGT-2024-0001-911-and-related-matters.pdf

https://www.bookofthelaw.org/downloads/20230727_Affidavit_of_Law.pdf

 $https://www.bookofthelaw.org/downloads/911_to_covid19_fakery_and_the_war_waged_on_mankind.pdf$

The image on the cover of this document is based on a photograph of five-month old, Siwar Ashour, taken in May 2025. I am presuming she is now dead from malnutrition, which is why I have used her image to stir people into acting on conscience. It was published by the BBC in May 2025

'No food when I gave birth': Malnutrition rises in Gaza as Israeli blockade enters third month Fergal Keane, 6 May 2025